

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIAL 1010a (ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No. 2)

 $\bar{\Gamma}$

 Δ

University Microfilms Inc.

 \mathbf{I}

 $\Omega_{\rm eff} \sim 10$

 $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is

300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106

INFORMATION TO USERS

This reproduction was made from a copy of a manuscript sent to us for publication and microfilming. While the most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript, the quality of the reproduction is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted. Pages in any manuscript may have indistinct print. In all cases the best available copy has been filmed.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help clarify notations which may appear on this reproduction.

- 1. Manuscripts may not always be complete. When it is not possible to obtain missing pages, a note appears to indicate this.
- 2. When copyrighted materials are removed from the manuscript, a note appears to indicate this.
- 3. Oversize materials (maps, drawings, and charts) are photographed by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each oversize page is also filmed as one exposure and is available, for an additional charge, as a standard 35mm slide or in black and white paper format.^{*}
- 4. Most photographs reproduce acceptably on positive microfilm or microfiche but lack clarity on xerographic copies made from the microfilm. For an additional charge, all photographs are available in black and white standard 35mm slide format. $*$

•For more inform ation about black and w hite slid e s or enlarged paper reproductions, please contact the Dissertations Customer Services Department.

U.M.I Dissertation
 U.M.I Information Service

University Microfilms International A Bell & Howell Information Company 300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

8618735

Aprahamian, Steve Lawrence

REARRANGEMENT-DISPLACEMENT OF ARYL(CHLOROMETHYL)DIPHENYLSILANES WITH NUCLEOPHILES

The Ohio State University Ph.D. 1986

 \mathbf{r}

University Microfilm s International 300 N, Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Mi 46106

PLEASE NOTE:

In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy. Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark \rightarrow .

- 1. Glossy photographs or pages $\frac{1}{2}$
- 2. Colored illustrations, paper or print
- 3. Photographs with dark background_____
- 4. Illustrations are poor copy
- 5. Pages with black marks, not original copy______
- 6. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page
- 7. Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages
- 8. Print exceeds margin requirements
- 9. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine
- 10. Computer printout pages with indistinct print______
- 11. Page(s) _______ ____ lacking when material received, and not available from school or author.
- 12. Page(s) seem to be missing in numbering only as text follows.
- 13. Two pages numbered ______ . Text follows.
- 14. Curling and wrinkled pages
- 15. Dissertation contains pages with print at a slant, filmed as received $\sqrt{ }$
- 16. Other

University M icrofilm s International

REARRANGEMENT-DISPLACEMENT OF

ARYL(CHLOROMETHYL) DI PHENYLS I LANES WITH NUCLEOPHILES

DISSERTATION

Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Philosophy in the Graduate School of the Ohio State University

by

Steve Lawrence Aprahamian, B.S. (with Honors)

* » * *

The Ohio State University

1986

Reading Commitee:

- **Dr. Steven M. D'Ambrosio**
- **Dr. David** *J.* **Hart**
- **Dr. Harold Shechter**
- **D r . John S , Swenton**

Approved by

Ivi ser Department of Chemist

To My Parents: for allowing me to be everything I've wanted.

 \mathcal{L}^{\pm}

To My Wife: for being everything I've wanted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Harold Shechter for all his help and guidance on this research project and in the editing of this manuscript. I would also like to thank the Shechter Research Group for their help. Special thanks go to Dr. Anil Kumar, for all his excellent suggestions; and to Steve Mulhall, for all our discussions - both chemistry related and especially non-chemistry related.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my family for all the support they have given me through the years and to my wife for her help in typing this thesis and other material and for her support and encouragement.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge the funding of the Ohio State University and the National Science Foundation.

i i i

VITA

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 \bullet

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF TABLES (cont'd)

TABLE Page 2014 TABLE 2014 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 **10. Calculated Rho Values for the S u b s t i t u t e d - p h e n y 1 Groups at 0 °C and -20 °C Using Data from Table 9 and Sigma and Sigma-zero Values 82** 11. Statistically Corrected Relative **Migratory Aptitudes for Substitutedphenyl Groups at Various Temperatures 115**

LIST OF FIGURES

 $\alpha_{\rm{max}}$

LIST OF FIGURES (cont'd)

FIGURE

CHAPTER I: STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

The present study involves determination of the migratory aptitudes in rearrangement-displacement of (chloromethyl)trisubstitutedsilanes 1_ with nucleophiles at various temperatures (Equation 1). Though previous investiga-

$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
R-5i-CH_2-C1 \\
R \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n-CI \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n1 \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n1 \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n3 \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
R\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
(1)
$$

tions,¹ as well as those reported while this work was in progress.^{2.3} indicate that carbanionic features in pentaco**ordinate silyl processes (Equation 1) control migrations, discrepancies exist in the data and there is speculation that cationic features are important in the rearrangement**displacements.^{4,5}

The purpose of this research, therefore, is to deter**mine the electrical requirements for rearrangement-dis**placement of pentacoordinate silicon 5 as in Equation 2. **The electronic effects were studied by use of arylfchlorom e t h y l)diphenylsilanes 4, where aryl refers to a**

*p a r a***- substi t u t e d - p h e n y 1 group. Si lanes 6 a. are formed by aryl migrations and 6 b result from phenyl migrations in E>.** Migratory aptitudes for various substituted-phenyls could **thus be determined by examining the product ratios of 6 a to 6 b. The rearrangement-displacements were also conducted at different temperatures to study any temperature dependency in the reactions.**

CHAPTER II: HISTORICAL

When the first organosilane, tetraethylsilane, was **prepared by Friedel and Crafts in 1063,® it was never dreamed that organos1 lanes would be of any value in organic chemistry. In fact, Kipping, one of the pioneers in the** preparation of organosilanes, concluded as late as 1937:

Host if not all of the known types of orga**nic derivatives of silicon have now been consi**dered and it may be seen how few they are in com**parison with those which are entirely organic; as moreover the few which are known are very limited in their reactions, the prospect of any immediate and important advance in this section of organic chemistry does not seem to be very hopeful.**

In the last two decades, however, the use of silicon in organic chemistry® as well as in other fields9 has increased dramatically. The interest in organosi1icon compounds has increased so rapidly that since 1973 Annual Surveys of Organosi1icon Chemistry have been divided into four topics: *Synthesis And Reectjvi ty* **,** *Bonding And* $Structure, ¹¹$ *Applications to Organic Synthesis*¹² and *Reaction M echenisms***. Since 1900 an Annual Survey of** *Silicon; The Silicon-Carbon Bond*¹⁴ has also been published.

More recently, attention has been focused on carbonfunctional organosi1 icon compounds and their abilities to extend the properties and the applications of silicon compounds.^ Some carbon-functional organosi1 icon compounds even exhibit biological activity.¹⁶ (Halomethyl)trisubstitutedsilanes are one such class of carbon-functional organosilicon compounds that has received special attention.

The reactions of nucleophiles with (halomethyl)trisub**stitutedsilanes are multi-faceted in that there are many** sites for attack. Whitmore and Sommer¹⁸ found that (chloromethyl)trimethylsilane (7) is much more reactive to **nucleophiles than its carbon-analog neopentyl chloride (8 , Equation 3). This difference is presumed to be due prima-**

CHa I Nu c h ³ -m-ch2-ci ----- *>* **I c h 3 7. M = Si 9, M = Si** *l±,* **M = Si 8 , M = C 10, M = C** *V2,* **M = C c h3 H 3<\ • /C H 3 S " I s - N u --- C ---Cl** / \ **R R -Cl CH-I C H 3 -M-CH2-Nu I CH-a (3)**

rily to the longer carbon-si 1 icon bond in *7_* **(1.90 A 1®) as** compared to the carbon-carbon bond in **8** (1.54 $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{20}$). The **difference in the bond lengths allows a transition state for reaction as in 9 to be less sterically strained than in 1 0 . O x y g e n , ni t r o g e n , sulfur and carbon n u c 1 eophiles among**

others, can displace chloride ion in 7 efficiently to yield carbon substitution products. Table 1 has some examples of such reactions.

Table 1. Reactions of (Chloromethyl)trimethylsilane (7) with Nucleophiles Resulting in Substitution on Carbon.

 M^+ Nu⁻

a Not reported.

bafter hydrolysis with KOH and acidification (HCl).

Since strong bases (Nu⁻) frequently attack halogen in carbon systems to give metal-halogen exchange, analogous **chemistry might be expected for silicon systems (Equation** 4). Indeed 7 reacts with *tert*-butyllithium to produce

$$
R = \begin{array}{c}\nR \\
R - \text{Si} - \text{CH}_2 - \text{Cl} + \text{Nu} \\
R \\
R\n\end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}\nR \\
\text{NUC1} \\
\text{R} \\
\text{R}\n\end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}\nR \\
R - \text{Si} - \text{CH}_2 \\
\text{R}\n\end{array} \tag{4}
$$

tet rame thy 1 s i 1 ane (1_4> and isobutylene (Equation 5) 30

c h 3 ch 3 (CH3)3CLi | (CH3)3 CC1 I 7 ----------- » C H 3 -Si-CH2-Li --------------- *>* **CH 3 -Si-CH3 (5) -(CH3)3 CC1 | - C H2 = C (CH3)2 , I C H 3 -LiCl CH 3 13 14**

These products apparently result from attack of the alkyllithium on (chloromethyl) silane *7* to give tert-butyl chloride and trimethylsilylmethyllithium (13). Lithio-base 13 **then eliminates hydrogen chloride from (ert-butyl chloride to produce isobutylene and silane 1 4 .**

A nucleophile may also attack an acidic proton on carbon adjacent to both chlorine and silicon in $7.30-34$ D'ya**konov and coworkers^ report that n-butyl 1 1 thium removes a** proton in Z to yield chloro (trimethylsilyl)methyllithium

(15) which eliminates lithium chloride to form (trimethylsilyl) carbene (16, Equation 6). Reaction of 15 and 16 with **elimination of lithium chloride is presumed to produce 17. Addition of** *n* **-butyl 1 i th ium to olefin 1J7 gives** *16* **after acidification. Products of intramolecular reaction of 16 were not reported.**

CH₃ CH₃ CH₃ H₃C H₃
\nCH₃-Si-CH=CH-Si-CH₃
$$
1
$$
 n -BuLi $\xrightarrow{\text{H}_{3}C}$ CH₃
\nCH₃-Si-CH=CH-Si-CH₃ (6)
\nCH₃ CH₃ CH₃
\nCH₃ CH₃ H₃C H₃ (6)
\nH₃C H₃-Si-CH₂-CH-Si-CH₃ (6)
\nH₃C n-Bu CH₃
\n12 18

Products 17 and 18 may also have been formed by mechanisms that do not involve carbene 16. Formation of 15 **by deprotonation of £ could be followed by coupling of 1_5 with 7 to yield disilane L9 (Equation 7), Coupling product**

n-BuLi -n-BuH 15- -LiCl c h 3 Cl c h 3 I I I C H 3-S i-CH2 -CH-S i-CH-I I < 7) CH. CH< 19

19 could then react with n-butyllithium with elimination of **hydrogen chloride to form olefin 1_7 or be displaced by n - b u t y l 1 ithium to yield 18 (Equation 8).**

After Kreeger³² reported generation of bromo(trime**thyl s i lyl Imethyl i thium (2_1) from (bromomethyl) t r imethyl s i** lane (20) and lithium diisopropylamide (Equation 9), Magnus

C H 3 H 3C Li I LiNCCHCCHglglj 1 | C H 3 -Si-CH2-Br ------------------- *>* **CH 3 -Si-CH-Br (9) I - H N C C H (C H3)2 : 2 I c h 3 h 3c 20 21**

and associates³³ developed preparation of 15 from 7 and **sec-butyl1 ithium in tetrahydrofuran/tetramethylethylenedia**mine at -78 ^oC. *Alpha*-Lithio compound 15 was used by **Magnus** *at a l .* **to prepare** *alpha* **, />e£a-epoxysilanes from**

aldehydes and ketones. Under such reaction conditions 15 **does not** *alpha-al* **iminate to give carbene 16 .**

Carbene V6 is reported to be formed in the reactions of (dichloromethy1)trimethyIsilane with sodium/potassium in the gas phase at 260-280 °C.³⁵ Intramolecular insertion **into a carbon-hydrogen bond of one of the methyl groups in 16 is presumed to give 1 ,1 -dimethyl-l-silacyclopropane (2 2)** which rearranges to dimethylvinylsilane (23, Equation 10).

Reactions of *7_* **with alkali metals at room temperature** are also postulated to involve *alpha*-elimination to give 16 **q e which converts to .22 and its cleavage products. The carbene chemistry of 1J> has also been investigated via** t hermolysis^{32,37} and photolysis^{32,37,38} of trimethylsilyl**diazomethane (24, Equation 11). Further, nucleophilic**

$$
H_3C
$$

\n
$$
CH_3-Si-C
$$

\n
$$
H_3C
$$

attack on 7 by lithium 2,2,6 ,6 -tetramethylplperidide (LiTMP) in hexanes involves *alpha*-elimination to 16, which adds stereospecifically to alkenes to give (trimethylsi**l y l)eyelopropanes 25a- 25 c (Equation 1 2).34**

A major difference between 7 and its carbon-analog 8 arises from the electronegativities of silicon and carbon. Carbon has an electronegativity of 2 .5 ^ while silicon's is 1 .8 . 3 9 Therefore carbon is more electron attracting than silicon and in a carbon-sillcon bond, the silicon atom has more positive character. Thus it is not surprising for nucleophiles to attack silicon. In fact reactions of

(c h l o r o m e t h y l)trimethylsi lane (7) with alkoxides produce Ulkoxymethy 1)trimethylsilanes 26 along with alkoxytrimethylsi lanes 27 (Equation 13).^^ Si lanes 27 are presumably

$$
CH_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{C}} CH_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{NaOR}} CH_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}} CH_{3} - \text{Si} - \text{CH}_{2} - \text{Cl} \xrightarrow{\text{ROH}} CH_{3} - \text{Si} - \text{CH}_{2} - \text{OR} + \text{CH}_{3} - \text{Si} - \text{OR} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}} \text{CH}_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}} \text{CH}_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}} \text{CH}_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{Z} \text{C}} \text{H}_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{C}} \text{H}_{3}
$$

formed by attack of alkoxides on silicon in 7 with chloronethide ion expulsion (Equation 14). The chloromethide ion is presumed to protonate to methyl chloride. Support for the cleavage process comes from isolation of methyl chloride from reaction of sodium ethoxide with 7 in ethanol.⁴⁰

RO CHg RO-Si-CHg-Cl / \ **HgC CHg -~c h 2ci 27 (14)**

Attack on silicon in (halomethyl)silanes can also lead **to interesting rearrangements.⁴¹ It has been found¹ that**

when aryl(chloromethyl)dimethylsilanes 28 react with sodium ethoxide in ethanol several products are obtained. Substi**tution on carbon produces 29 (Equation 15). Arylethoxydimethylsi lanes J30 arise from loss of chloromethide ion (Equ**ation 16). Also produced are (arylmethyl)ethoxydimethylsilanes 31, as formed by migration of the substituted phenyl **groups (Equation 17). Further, attack of ethoxide on 31**

gives d iethoxyd ime thy 1 s i lane (,32) and toluene derivatives 33 (Equation 18). It is believed that ethoxide ion attacks

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n & & \text{Det} \\
 & & \text{H}_3-\text{Si-OEt} & + & \text{ArCH}_3 \\
 & & \text{CH}_3 & & \text{H}_3 \\
 & & & \text{CH}_3 & & \text{H}_3 \\
 & & & \text{CH}_3 & & \text{H}_3 \\
 & & & & \text{H}_3 & & \text{H}_3\n\end{array}
$$
\n(18)

on silicon to form pentacoordinate adduct *34* **which either rearranges to give 3_1 (Equation 19) or loses chioromethide** ion to form 30 (Equation 20). The exact nature of 34 is

unknown. Kinetic evidence has been obtained for the rate determining formation of an actual intermediate.⁴² For **convenience, 34 and similar structures are generally represented and referred to as intermediates, though they might actually be transition states.**

Another possible mechanistic scheme involves formation of aryldimethylsilylcarbenes 36 by aYpha-eliminatlon **of 28 (Equation 21), Carbene 36 would either be trapped by** ethanol to yield 29 (Equation 22) or rearrange to sila-al**kene 3£ which adds ethanol across its silicon-carbon double** bond to give 31 (Equation 23).

Ar Ar EtO v I -Cl | ^ 28 C H g - S i - C H - C l --------CH3-Si-CH (21) EtOH | | c h 3 ch 3 35 36

Ar EtOH | 36 *>* **CH 3 ~Si-CH2-OEt (22)** 1 **c h 3 29**

The carbenic mechani sm has been examined in react ions of (bromomethyl)trimethylsilane (38) with sodium methoxide in dioxane containing methanol-O-D $(-5x)$, $30,43$ If the alkoxide attacked 38 without forming carbene 16 both the **carbon substitution product, (methoxymethyl)trimethylsilane**

(39) and that derived by attack on silicon with rearrangement-displacement, ethylmethoxydimethylsilane (40, Equation

it would be trapped by methanol-O-D to yield deuterated 39 (39a, Equation 25) or else 16 could isomerize to sila-alkene 41 or silacyclopropane 22 , either of which would react

with the deuterated solvent to give deuterated 40^ <40a and 4 0 b . Equation 26). Indeed, in the above experiment, no deuterium was found in the products or in X6 , thus ruling out any process involving 16.

Attack on carbon or silicon in (halomethyl) silanes is **dependent on the nucleophile. Reaction of 36 with sodium methoxide in methanol, a protic solvent, yields primarily carbon substitution product _39. When the solvent is apro**tic (such as with dioxane or tetrahydrofuran), attack on silicon to give 40 and 27 $(R = CH₃)$ increases (Equation **27),30.43 Further the more "naked" the methoxide ion, the** greater the attack on silicon.^{2,4,43,44} Thus "hard" nucle**ophiles attack on silicon whereas "soft" nucleophiles react on c a rbon.^ For example, "softer" liquid ammonia reacts**

with (chloromethyl)trimethylsilane (7) to form carbon-substitution product 42 (Equation 28) while the "harder" so-

CH₃ CH₃
\n
$$
CH_3
$$
 CH₃
\n CH_3 CH₃ CH₃ CH₃ CH₃ CH₃ CH₃ CH₂ - NH₂ (28)
\n CH_3 CH₃ CH₃ CH₃

dium amide in liquid ammonia attacks silicon to give methyl(trimethylsilyl)amine (43, Equation 29). Silane 43 then

> (29)

reacts with another molecule of itself to yield methylbis- (trimethylsilyl)amine (44) and methylamine (Equation 30). ²⁵

 \mathbf{r} .

$$
\frac{43}{43} \xrightarrow{43} t \, (\text{CH}_3) \, {}_3 \text{Si} \, 1_2 \text{N-CH}_3 \quad + \quad \text{CH}_3 \text{NH}_2 \tag{30}
$$

Also, reactions of (chloromethyl) silane (45) with **"soft" trialkylphosphines result in attack on carbon (Equ**ation 31), whereas "harder" trialkyl(methylene)phosphoranes

$$
H_3Si-CH_2-Cl \xrightarrow{R_3P:} IR_3P-CH_2-SiH_3I^*Cl^-(31)
$$

react on silicon to give intermediates 46, which migrate hydride with loss of chloride to form C(methylsilyl)methy1Iphosphonium salts 47 (Equation 32). Phosphonium salts

$$
\xrightarrow{R_3P-CH_2} \begin{bmatrix} H \\ H-Si-CH_2 \stackrel{?}{C_1} \\ \vdots \\ H & CH_2-PR_3 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H \\ IR_3P-CH_2-SiH_2-CH_3IC1 \\ \underline{47} \\ \underline{48} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (32)

47 are then transformed into C(methylsilyl)methyleneIphosphoranes 40 (Equation 33).^®

$$
\xrightarrow{\text{R}_3\text{P}=\text{CH}_2} \text{R}_3\text{P}=\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_3 \qquad (33)
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\text{R}_3\text{P}=\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_3} \qquad (33)
$$

Sommer⁴⁷ reported that reaction of (chloromethyl)di**methylsilane (49) with ethanolic potassium hydroxide yields** trimethylsilanol (51) via pentacoordinate silicon intermediate 50 (Equation 34).

Similarly, reactions of (chioromethy1)pentamethyIdisilane (52) with sodium alkoxides in alcohols lead to migration of the trimethylsilyl group to form alkoxydimethy1- [(trimethylsilyl)methyl]silanes 54 (Equation 35). 48 Silyl

$$
H_{3}C \n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{CH}_{3} \\
\text{CH}_{3}-\text{Si-Si-CH}_{2}-X \\
\downarrow \\
\text{H}_{3}C \n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{CH}_{3} \\
\text{RO-Si-CH}_{2} \\
\text{RO-Si-CH}_{2} \\
\end{array}\n\longrightarrow\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{CH}_{3} \\
\text{CO-Si-CH}_{2} \\
\text{CO-Si-CH}_{2} \\
\end{array}\n\longrightarrow\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{CH}_{3} \\
\text{CO-Si-CH}_{2}-\text{Si-CH}_{3} \\
\text{CO-Si-CH}_{2} \\
\end{array}\n\longrightarrow\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{CH}_{3} \\
\text{CO-Si-CH}_{2} \\
\text{CH}_{3} \\
\end{array}
$$

 $X = CI$; R = CH_3 , CH_3CH_2 , CH_3 ² CH_3 , C_6H_5

migration also occurs with (halomethy1)trimothyldiorganodisilanes 55^ (Equation 36).^® Phenyl or methyl migration was not noted.

h 3c c h 3 ch 3 ch3 I I EtO- | | R-Si-Si-CH2-X --------- *>* **EtO-Si-CH2 -Sl-R (36) I I E t O H I t H 3C R' R' c h 3 55 56 R = CH 3 , CgHg R' = C H 3 , C 6 H s X = C l , B r , I**

Benzyl migration has been found in the reaction of **b e n z y l (chioromethyl>methoxymethylsilane (57) with sodium** methoxide in that dimethoxymethyl(2-phenylethyl)silane (58) is obtained (Equation 37).⁵⁰ The mechanism proposed for

\n
$$
\text{OCH}_3
$$

\n CH_3
\n CH_3
\n CH_2Cl
\n CH_2Ph
\n CH_2Ph
\n CH_2Ph
\n CH_2Ph
\n CH_2Ph
\n CH_2Ph
\n CH_3
\n $\text{$

formation of 58 does not involve pentacoordinate silicon. It has been suggested that 58 is formed from silacyclopropane 59 by ring opening and protonation (Equation 38). It is, however, possible that the mechanism does involve rear**rangement - d1 splacement.**

Alkoxide induced migration of hydride in (halomethyl)silanes has been studied in some detail. $45,51,52$ Migrations of methyl, $2, 4, 30, 32, 43, 53$ aryl, 1.4 vinyl and allyl^{2, 4} groups also occur by reactions of (halomethyl) silanes with alkoxides. Allyl migration (Equation 39) in

a 1 lyl(chloromethyl)dimethylsilane (6j)) could either occur by 1,2 migration (61) or via a cyclic rearrangement (62) to yield 3-butenylmethoxydimethylsilane (63, Equation 39). **Labeling studies proved that migration ocurred by 1,2 rearrangement < 61) . 2 • 4**

Nucleophilic rearrangement also occurs in the reaction **of benzoyldimethylpheny1silane (64) with sodium ethoxide to yield ethoxydimethyl** (diphenylmethoxy) silane (68, Equation **40).®^ The proposed mechanism is outlined in Equation 40,**

c c Sato and coworkers^{pp} report rearrangements in reac**tions of [<d i m e t h y l a m i n o)m e t h y1 Idiorganopheny1 silanes 6J) and C (d i m e t h y1 a m i n o)methyl 1 (tr imethyIs ilyl)diorganos ilanes 70 with benzyne (Equation 41). Addition of an amine to benzyne presumably yields dipolar intermediate** *71^t* **which**

attacks on silicon with migration of R' to yield *ortho-si***lyl-N,N-dimethylani1ines** *TZ,* **Migrations of phenyl and trimethylsilyl occur, but methyl migration was not observed.**

Reaction of (chloromethyl)(9-fluorenyl)dimethylsilane (73) with n-butyllithium gives butyl[(9-fluorenyl)methyl]-**C C d imethy1silane <74* Equation 42). This reaction does not**

follow the rearrangement-displacement mechanism of previous systems. Displacement of fluorene anion 75 occurs followed by coupling (Equation 43). Evidence for the different mechanism is obtained by trapping 75 with carbon dioxide

at -80 ^OC to yield 9-fluorenecarboxylic acid (77) after acidification (Equation 44).

Fluoride ion induces rearrangements of (halomethyl)si-Thus (halomethyl)triethylsilanes 78 react with lanes. potassium fluoride in dimethylformamide (DMF) to yield the cleavage product, triethylfluorosilane (79) and the rearrangement product, diethylfluoropropylsilane (80, Equation 45).⁵⁷ (Bromomethyl)trimethylsilane (38) behaves similar

$$
CH_{2}CH_{3}
$$

\n
$$
CH_{2}CH_{3}
$$

\n<math display="block</math>

to 78 in reactions with potassium fluoride in dimethylfor mamide or acetonitrile.³⁰ Migrations of vinyl, various alkyl and substituted-pheny1 groups hav e been examined in reactions of (chloromethyl)dimethylorganosilanes 8 l with fluoride ion (Equation 46).^

$$
CH_{3} = Si - CH_{2} - C1 \xrightarrow{-C1} C1 \xrightarrow{-C1} F - Si - CH_{2} - R \xrightarrow{C1} F - Si - CH_{2} - R \xrightarrow{C1} C1 \xrightarrow{C1} C1 \xrightarrow{C1} G1 \xrightarrow{C1} G2 \xrightarrow{C1} G3 \xrightarrow{B2} G3
$$
 (46)

Corey and coworkers®* have used this rearrangement to advantage in preparing medium-sized rings containing silicon (Equation 47).

 $X = CH_2$, CH_2CH_2 , NCH_3 , $A NC_2H_5$, $A O$, OCH_2 , SO_2 **a 2,8-Dibromo Derivative**

The mechanism proposed for these processes involves initial formation of pentacoordinate silyl intermediates 2 (Equation 1). A substituent then migrates to adjacent

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nR \\
R-Si-CH_2-C1 & \xrightarrow{Nu^-} & R \\
R-Si-CH_2-C1 & \xrightarrow{Nu^-} & \xrightarrow{R} & \xrightarrow{Cl^-} & R \\
R & R & \xrightarrow{Cl^-} & \xrightarrow{H_2-C1} & \xrightarrow{Cl^-} &
$$

carbon from which chloride is expelled to yield 3^. The rearrangement-displacement is analogous to the benzilic acid rearrangement (Equation 48).⁵⁹ The rate-determin**ing-step (RDS) for benzilic acid rearrangement involves**

0 0 If II **Ph-C-C-Ph H O** *r* 0***-> t** *\\~s* **RDS HO-C-C-Ph --- > I** *** **Ph O O II I HO-C-C-Ph I Ph O OH** - II I **O-C-C-Ph I Ph (46)**

migration of phenyl. Also, substituted-phenyl groups which **are more electron-withdrawing migrate better. Thus, it might be expected that more electron-withdrawing substitu**ents on <u>1</u> (Equation 1) should also migrate better. Eaborn¹ **studied the rearrangement-displacement of aryl(chloromethyl) dimethyl s i lanes j!8 with sodium ethoxide to yield (arylmethyl) ethoxydi methyl s i lanes 3_1 (Equation 49) along with**

other products. The *rat&s* **of reaction of starting material leading to rearrangement fall in the order Z = p-Cl** *>* **H >** p -CH₃ > p -CH₃0. This is what would be expected based on **formation of pentacoordinate silyl intermediate 34^ as rate determining.**

Reactions of 26 with fluoride ion (Equation 49) show a similar trend.^{3b} The rate of disappearance of 28 fall in the order $Z = a - CF_3 > p - C1 > p - F > H > p - CH_3$, suggesting **that the ability to stabilize 8 6 a increases the rate of reaction.**

The *proportion* **of rearrangement of 28 with sodium ethoxide, however, falls in the order Z =** $p-C1$ **>** $p-CH_3$ **>** p -CH₂O > H,¹ which does not correlate well with the **electron-withdrawing capabilities of Z. The aryl/methyl** ratios (67b/68b, Equation 49) in the reaction of 28 with sodium methoxide have the same trend: $Z = p - C F_2 > m - C1 >$ p -Cl > p -CH₃ > p -OCH₃ > H.⁴ It is noted in both cases that **the trend follows electron-withdrawing capabilities except for the unsubstituted-aryl (Z = H) which migrates the worst. It is postulated^ that significant carbon-chlorine bond breaking is involved during the rearrangement-displacement (Figure 1). Since the migrating group travels to the developing positive center, stabilization of the positive charge by participation involving the -n'-system could become dominant. In such cases, phenyls which contain substituents that are more electron-donating will migrate bet t e r .**

Figure 1. Rearrangement-displacement transition state with **significant carbon-chlorine bond breakage.**

Attempts to determine the migratory aptitudes (87a/ **6 8 a ? in reactions of 28 with anhydrous potassium fluoride** (Equation 49) led to complications.^{3b} The products of displacement with aryl migration, 87a, are cleaved by potas**sium fluoride to difluorodimethylsilane and potassium salts 69 of substituted-benzyl anions (Equation 50). Metallo**

$$
CH2-Ar
$$
\n
$$
CH3-Si-CH3
$$
\n
$$
CH3-Si-CH3
$$
\n
$$
F-Si-F
$$
\n
$$
CH2-Ar
$$
\n
$$
CH3-Si-CH3
$$
\n
$$
CH3
$$
\n $$

derivatives 89 react further to give products as follows. Displacements of the silicon-fluorine bonds of 87a and 88a by 89 thus yield bis (arylmethyl)dimethylsilanes 90 (Equation 51) and aryl(arylmethyl)ethylmethylsilanes 91 (Equa**tion 52). Metallo derivatives 89 also react with initial (chloromethyl)silanes 28 via pentacoordinate intermediates 92 (Equation 53) to yield 9t) and 91 .**

$$
CH2-Ar
$$
\n
$$
CH3-Si-CH3 + KCH2-Ar
$$
\n
$$
CH3-Si-CH3 + KCH2-Ar
$$
\n
$$
CH2-Ar
$$
\n
$$
CH3-Si-CH3 (51)
$$
\n
$$
CH3-Si-CH3 (51)
$$
\n
$$
CH2-Ar
$$
\n
$$
CH2-Ar
$$
\n
$$
CH2-Ar
$$

Ar **1 C H 3 -Si-CH2 C1 I CH, 89 Ar I Ar-CH² -Si-CH2-Cl** *fi.* **h 3c / W o r CH, -Cl 90 91 (53) 28 92**

The data reported^*3 for reactions of 2JJ w ith potassium fluoride as above give migratory aptitudes (Ar/Me) of $Z = H$ $> p$ -CH₃ $> a$ -CF₃ $> p$ -Cl $> p$ -F. The correlation coefficient **of the regression line calculated®® for the logarithm of** the migratory aptitudes versus the substituent constants is only 0.21 and thus is very poor.⁶¹ Therefore, the general **trend in the data does not support any conclusions based on the electronic effects in the rearrangement-displacements.**

Migratory aptitudes from pentacoordinate silicon have also been examined for groups which are non-aryl. Product ratios were determined in reactions of (chloromethyl>dimethylorganosilanes 81 with fluoride ion (Equation 54).^{3a} **Migratory aptitudes leading to 82/83. decrease in the order** R = CH₂=CH > C_BH₅ > c-C₃H₅ > C₂H₅ > CH₃ > n-C₄H₉ > i-C₃H₇. **The results support the postulate that groups which are**

more electron-withdrawing migrate better from pentacoordinate silicon. It is noted, however, that the migratory **aptitudes give correlations with very large deviations.®^**

In the present summary, it has been illustrated that (halomethyl)trisubstitutedsilanes react with nucleophiles in a variety of ways. The rearrangement-displacement pro**cesses involving attack on silicon have been examined to determine the electronic effects. The latter results obtained have been inconclusive, however, and the purpose of this research is to study the electronic effects in rearrangement-displacements in more detail.**

CHAPTER III: . RESULTS

INTRODUCTION

Nucleophilic attack on silicon in (chloromethyl)tri**subs1 1 t u t e d s i1 anes presumably 1 eads to negat ively charged pentavalent silyl adducts 2^ (Equation 2>. It is unclear, however, whether** *2* **is actually an intermediate or a transi**tion state. In the present dissertation 2 is generally written and discussed as if it were an intermediate. Mi**gration of a substituent from silicon to adjacent carbon with chloride expulsion is one pathway that intermediates such as** *2* **may take (Equation 1).**

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nR \\
1 \\
R-Si-CH_2-C1 & \xrightarrow{Nu^-} & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
R & R & R & \xrightarrow{1} & \downarrow \\
R & R & R & \xrightarrow{1} & \downarrow \\
\hline\n & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & &
$$

Negatively-charged intermediates 2 are presumably **stabilized by electron-withdrawing substituents bonded to silicon. Since the substituent migrates with negative charge from silicon to carbon, it might be presumed that the migratory aptitude of a substituent depends on its**

ability to stabilize negative charge. As summarized earlier (see Chapter II: Historical) there is some evidence for carbanionic control in rearrangements from silicon.¹⁻⁴ Re**sults have also been obtained which indicate that positive character at carbon to which the substituent migrates is s i g n i f l e a n t . * • ®**

Earlier investigators¹⁻⁴ studied migrations in varied **(chloromethyl)dimethylorganosi lanes B_1 (Equation 55). It**

R
\n
$$
CH_3-Si-CH_2-Cl
$$
\n
$$
CH_3
$$

 $R = CH_2 = CH - CH_2$, $CH_2 = CH$, $C_6H_4 - Z$, various alkyl

is not known, however, if size differences of the varied organo groups, R, compared to methyl contribute to migra**tory aptitudes. Thus further investigation of the electro**nic features of rearrangement-displacement of (halomethyl)**silanes is needed. The (halomethyl)silanes presently cho**sen are aryl(chloromethyl)diphenylsilanes 4 in which aryl is a para-substituted-phenyl group (Equation 2). The para**substituted-pheny1 s have the same steric size as phenyl, and the electronics can be modified with various electron**withdrawing $(Z = CF_3, C1)$ and electron-donating $(Z = CH_3,$

O C H g , N (C H 3 >2 > groups. The purposes of this research were to effect reactions of *4* **on silicon with nucleophiles <F~** and CH₃O⁻) and accurate determination of the products of **aryl (6 a) and phenyl migration <6 b, E q u ation 2). Prom the relative amounts of 6 a to 6 b formed from** *4* **the migratory aptitudes of the varied phenyl groups were to be calculated. With such information it might be possible to assess clearly the electrical effects in rearrangement-displace**ments of $\frac{4}{5}$.

PREPARATION OF ARYL(CHLOROMETHYL)DIPHENYLSILANES 4

Aryl(chloromethyl)diphenylsilanes 4 were needed conveniently and in quantity for the present research. There are many methods for constructing silicon-carbon bonds. 63 The most common method is by reaction of silicon halides with Grignard and related organometallic reagents. The ease of replacement of halide on silicon generally decreases with the number of organic groups on silicon. Thus. different Grignard reagents may be used in separate stages of replacement. Since three of the four groups are always the same in silanes 4 it was deemed most efficient to prepare the common trisubstituted product, chloro(chloromethyl)diphenylsilane (97, Equation 56) and then introduce the para-substituted-phenyl group in the last step. The method of preparation of 4 is summarized in Equation 56.

Excess trichloromethylsilane (95) was monochlorinated **with chlorine gas as initiated by 2 ,2 '- a z o b i s i s o b u t y r o n i trile (AIBN) to yield trichlorotchloromethyl)silane (96a).The reactions were stopped before significant amounts of the dichlorinated 96b and trichlorinated 96c** silanes were formed. (Chloromethyl) silane 96a was then **reacted with two equivalents of phenyImagnesium bromide to form ch1oro(chloromethyl>diphenylsilane (97).®®**

It was initially attempted to prepare 4 from 97 and the appropriate Grignard reagent (Equation 57). For whatever reasons, the Grignard did not substitute effectively

on 97 and only coupling of the Grignard reagent to the biphenyl occured (Equation 57). Treatment of 97, however, with the appropriately-substituted aryllithium yielded *4.* **(Chloromethyl) tr iphenyl s i lane (4jc) was not prepared by reaction of phenyl 1ithium with 97. When phenyImagnesium** bromide is reacted with trichloro(chloromethyl)silane (96a) **triphenylsilane 4c. is formed in addition to diphenyl si lane** 97. Sufficient quantities of 4c were obtained as a side**product for use in this research.**

The aryl 11 Lhiums were prepared by reactions of aryl bromides with lithium or *n* **-butyl 1 i t h i u m . 4-Bromotoluene** (98d) and 4-bromo-N, N-dimethylaniline (98f) convert effi**ciently to their lithium derivatives by reaction with lithium metal (Equation 58). 4-Bromobenzotrifluoride < 9 8 a)**

did not behave well with lithium, 4-bromochlorobenzene (98b) reacts unselectively at bromine and chlorine⁶⁶ and **4-bromoanisole <98e) undergoes deprotonation** *ortho* **to its methoxy group to yield 99 (Equation 59) in addition to**

1ithium-halogen exchange.®7 With halides 9 8 a . 98b and 96e 1 ithium-ha1 ogen exchange was accomplished satisfactorily with n-buty 1 1ithium (Equation 60).

Si lanes 4 are white crystalline solids and all but (chloromethyl)triphenylsilane (4c) are unreported in the **literature. The silanes gave proper analyses and have;** spectral properties (IR, ¹H NMR, and MS) consistent with **the assigned structures. Melting points and yields are given in Table 2.**

Tab 1e 2. Melting Points and Yields of A r **y 1(c h 1or o m e t h y 1) diphenylsllanes** *4.*

^aBased on reaction of <u>97</u> and ArLi, except as noted. **bLit6 0 112-115 °C.**

•■Obtained as a side-product in preparation of 97.

REACTIONS OF 4 WITH METHOXIDE ION

Studies of migratory aptitudes in reactions of 4 with **nucleophiles began with sodium methoxide in 1,4-dioxane, an aprotic solvent. An aprotic solvent was chosen since it had been shown that aprotic solvents favor silicon attack** over carbon attack with (halomethyl)silanes.^{2,4,30,43,44} **Products were expected to arise via three pathways (Equations 61-63). First, pentacoordinate silyl intermediate 1 0 0 . as formed from methoxide attack on silicon, could** migrate aryl groups (substituted-phenyls) with chloride expulsion to give $\{aryImethyl\}$ methoxydiphenylsilanes 101. **Cleavage of benzyl groups occurs with benzylsilanes and methoxides^*®® and thus it was anticipated that 101 would be converted by sodium methoxide to dimethoxydiphenylsilane (1 0 2 c) and the corresponding substituted benzyl sodiums (Equation 61). A second reaction path involves phenyl migration from 100 to form arylmethoxypheny1 (p h enylmethy1) si lanes 103 which like 101 can be cleaved by sodium methoxide to aryldimethoxypheny1 silanes 102 and benzyl sodium (Equation 62). From the amounts of 101 and 102c and of 103 and 102 the migratory aptitudes should be determinable. A third reaction process is loss of chloromethide ion from 100 to yield arylmethoxydiphenylsilanes 104 (Equation 63). This latter process does not affect any conclusions drawn**

 $\mathbf{4}^{\circ}$

from the migratory aptitudes arising from *rearrangementdisplacement .*

The product ratios and the yields from the above experiments with sodium methoxide were to be determined by gas chromatography using internal standards and response calibration techniques.^® There was, therefore, need for the authentic methoxysilanes expected from the above reac t ions.

Methoxyorganosilanes of the methyl silyl ether type are most commonly prepared by reaction of the appropriate silyl chloride with methanol.⁷¹ However, silyl chlorides **can be difficult to work with due to the reactivities of their silicon-chlorine bonds. A synthetic alternative is displacement of si1 icon-methoxy bonds with Grignard rea-**7 ? **gents***.' ^* **The preparations of the authentic methoxyorganosllanes are summarized in Equations 64-67.**

AryIdimethoxyphenylsilanes 102 were prepared from reaction of para-substituted-phenylmagnesium bromides with trimethoxyphenylsilane (105, Equation 64). Displacement of 105

with benzylmagnesium chloride gives dimethoxyphenyl(phenylmethyl)silane (106) which yields arylmethoxyphenyl(phenylmethyl)silanes 103 when reacted with appropriate aryllith**iums or arylmagnesium bromides (Equation 65). Treatment of**

dimethoxydiphenylsi1 ane (1 0 2 c) with appropriate arylmethylmagnesium chlorides results in (arylmethyl)methoxydiphenyl**silanes 101 (Equation 6 6). AryIdimethoxydiphenylsilanes**

104 were obtained from arylmagnesium bromides or aryllithiums and dimethoxydiphenylsilane (102c, Equation 67).

Reactions of sodium methoxide with 4 led to severe complications. Sodium methoxide and (chloromethyl)triphe**nylsilane <4^> in 1,4-dioxane give unidentified products in low yields which do not contain methoxy groups. Sodium** methoxide in methanol, however, reacts with 4c to form mixtures of methoxydiphenyl(phenylmethyl)silane (103c) and **dimethoxydiphenylsilane (1 0 2 c , Equation 6 8).**

Ph	CH ₂ Ph	OMe		
\uparrow	NaOMe	\uparrow	1	
\uparrow	\uparrow	Ph-Si-Ph	\uparrow	68)
\downarrow	MeOH	\downarrow	1	1
\uparrow	MeOH	\downarrow	1	
\uparrow	OMe	OMe		
\uparrow	OMe	OMe		
\uparrow	1	1		
\uparrow	OMe	1		
\uparrow	1	1		
\downarrow	102c	102c		

Reaction of (chloromethyl)(4-methylphenyl)diphenyl**silane (4d) with sodium methoxide in methanol yields products from all three expected pathways (Equations 69-71).** The major product, dimethoxydiphenylsilane (102c), presu**mably results from methoxide attack on methoxyC(4 -raethylphenyl)methylDdiphenylsilane (10 Id ? initially formed by para-tolyl migration and chloride loss (Equation 69). Reaction of methoxide on silicon in 4d^ with ch1 oromethide** loss apparently produces methoxy(4-methylphenyl)diphenylsilane (104d, Equation 70). Phenyl migration and chloride loss from 100d yields methoxy(4-methylphenyl)phenyl(phenyl**methyl)silane (10 3 d). cleavage of which by sodium methoxide results in d i m e t h o x y < 4 - m e t h y l p h e n y l)p h e n y l s i1 ane (10 2 d , Equation 71). Presumably toluenes 33c and 33d are also formed. Isolation of 3 3c and 3 3d was not attempted because the GC column temperatures (~250 °C) precluded their separation from solvent.**

B e n z y 1s i1anes 101 d and 103d could not be separated under the GC conditions and, in addition, contained an unidentified compound. Identification of 1 Old and 103d was made by comparison of their retention times and their NMRs with those of authentic samples. The additional products: 102c, 102d and 104d, were assigned from retention **times and the spectral properties (IR, 1H NMR and MS) of** authentic samples.

More complications arose in the reaction of sodium methoxide in methanol with (chloromethyl)(4-chlorophenyl)**diphenylsilane (4b). Reaction gives only unidentified products none of which contained methoxy groups. Due to** these complications it was impractical to pursue the reac**tions of 4 with sodium methoxide to determine electronic** effects in rearrangement-displacement.

REACTIONS OF 4 WITH FLUORIDE ION AT 25 °C

Since reactions of 4 with sodium methoxide led to severe complications, a more definitive nucleophile was needed. Rearrangement-displacement of (halomethyl)silanes by fluoride ion is known³, 5, 30, 57, 58 and thus investigation of such reactions of 4 was initiated. Tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) was the reagent chosen because of the "nak**edness" of its fluoride ion and its solubility in tetrahy**drofuran (THF). Other common fluorides (e.g. potassium or **cesium fluoride) are insoluble in organic solvents and thus their reactions with (halomethyl)silanes occur very slowly.**

Reactions of *A* **with TBAF are expected to result in re**arrangement-displacement. Fluoride attack on silicon would **presumably form pentacoordinate silyl adducts 107 which can collapse by the pathways outlined in Equations 72-74. Loss of chioromethlde from 107 would produce arylfluorodiphenylsilanes 106 (Equation 72). This process does not involve**

any migration in 107 and thus will not affect the migratory apt i t u d e .

The migratory aptitudes in the rearrangement-displacements were to be determined by measuring the ratios of pro**ducts from two sequences as follows. Aryl migrations with** chloride loss should produce (arylmethyl)fluorodiphenylsi**lanes 109 which can be cleaved with fluoride ion to yield d i f luorod iphenyl s i lane (1 1 0 c) and subs t i tuted-toluenes 3[3** (Equation 73).⁷³ Similarly, aryldifluorophenylsilanes **110** and toluene (33c) should be produced by cleavage of aryl**fluorophenyl(phenylmethyl)silanes 111 initially formed by phenyl migration with loss of chloride (Equation 74).**

Fluoride attack on silicon was subsequently found to produce only products derived from aryl and phenyl migrations (Equations 73 and 74). Arylfluorodiphenylsilanes 108 resulting from collapse of 107 with loss of chloromethide ion (Equation 72) were not formed. *Also, with greater than 2 equivalents of TBAF and proper conditions, cleavages of*

 \mathbf{a}

benzyl Anions from 109 And 111 occur red quantitative ly For all substituents' **Thus, the migratory aptitudes in reactions of** *4* **with greater than 2 equivalents of TBAF could be obtained directly by comparison of the amounts of substituted-toluenes 3^ to toluene (33c . Equation 75). Syntheses of authentic fluorosilanes (1 0 9 . 110 and 1 1 1 ? were not**

necessary since the toluenes < 3 3a - 3 3 f) were all readily availab1 e .

The amounts of toluene and substituted-toluene (Equation 75) were determined using anisole as an internal standard. Gas chromatography peak areas were corrected for detector response. This procedure is accurate to $+$ 5%.⁷⁰ This accuracy can be used as an approximation for the min**imum random error in the measurements. Migratory aptitudes** were calculated by comparing the amounts of 33 and 33c pro**duced in individual experiments. Statistical corrections were made since 4 contains two phenyl groups and only one aryl group. The minimum error in the measurement of the**

migratory aptitudes can be approximated by the sum of the errors in the measurement of 33 and 33c.^{74,75} Therefore the minimum error is $[(\pm 5\%) + (\pm 5\%) =] + 10\%$.

The general conditions for reactions of 4 with TBAF **are summarized as follows. Approximately 0.20 mmoles of a r y 1 Cchloromethyl>diphenylsilanes 4 were stirred with 2.5 equivalents of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in tetra**hydrofuran (THF) at 25 ^oC. Reaction times of 6-8 hours result in total yields of substituted-toluenes 33 and toluene (33c) of between 93 and 106%. Reactions were conducted for 4-11 times with each silane (4). The migratory ap**titudes determined were reproducible for all substituents. Since the relative migratory aptitude of the phenyl group** in $4c$ (Z = H) must be unity, the reaction of $4c$ was **conducted to confirm that its yield was ~ 100 %.**

A mean and standard deviation was calculated for the migratory aptitude of each substituted-phenyl group. Standard deviations of the migratory aptitudes for para-meth $oxyphenyl$ (e , $Z = OCH₃$) and $para-(dimethylamino)phenyl$ (f, $Z = N(CH_3)_{2}$ were larger than the minimum error $(1.10X)$ **because the GC peak areas for the substituted-toluenes were small and broad compared to toluene and the standard. The errors in the migratory aptitudes, for these substitutedphenyls were assumed to be the standard deviations of their mean migratory aptitudes. The means for all other substituted-phenyl groups had standard deviations smaller than the**

minimum error <+ 1 0 %) and thus the errors were assumed to be + 1 0 %. The average relative error for all the migratory apt i tudes is only +_ 13%. Tab 1 e 3 gives the mean migratory apt i tudes and calculated errors for the substituted-phenyl groups at 25 °C.

Tab 1e 3. Statistically Corrected Relative Migratory Aptitudes for Substituted-phenyl Groups at 25 ° C .

$Z-\bigodot_{1}^{1}Si-CH_{2}-Cl \xrightarrow{TBAF} Z-\bigodot CH_{3} + PhCH_{3}$		

 a ^a Mean value obtained \pm the error determined.

It is seen (Table 3) from the small range in values ${\text{maximum} = 2.72, minimum = 0.55; a factor of ~5}$ that the **migratory aptitudes are not highly substituent dependent.**

Such results fit with the Ideas that the rate-determining steps for the above reactions involve formation of pentacoordinate silyl intermediates and the subsequent transition states for rearrangement are structurally close to such intermediates. The results do show a trend, however, in that electron-withdrawing groups $(Z = CF_3, Cl)$ acceler**ate aryl over phenyl migration whereas electron-donating** groups $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{C}H_3$, $\mathbb{O}CH_3$, $\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{C}H_3)$ ₂] decrease aryl migration **relative to phenyl.**

Quantitative evaluation of the above migratory aptitudes was accomplished via the Hammett equation:

$$
\log \frac{k}{k_0} = \rho \sigma \tag{76}
$$

where *k* **denotes a rate** *ik)* **or an equilibrium <***K* **> constant** for a *meta-* or *para-*substituted aromatic compound and k_{α} denotes the rate (K_{Ω}) or equilibrium (K_{Ω}) constant for the **unsubstituted derivative undergoing the same reaction. The first empirical constant, sigma (o'), is a measure of the electron-donating or -withdrawing power of the substituent and is independent of the reaction. The other empirical constant, rho** *ip),* **is independent of the substituent and is determined by the reaction and the conditions (reagent, solvent, catalyst, temperature, etc.). Rho is a measure of the sensitivity of the kinetic or equilibrium process to a change in the substituent and is defined as unity for the**

ionization of benzoic acids. If the logarithms of the relative rates of reactions correlate linearly with sigma values for a series of related substituted-phenyl compounds, a mechanistic consistency is very likely within that series.

Solvolysis of triarylsi1anes by alcohols has been found to give satisfactory 1 inear-free-energy correlations. 7 7 **Gilman and Dunn found a good correlation of logarithms of the relative rates of reactions of aryldiphenylsilanes 112 with wet piperidine (Equation 77) versus sigma values for**

Ar	Ar				
	H_2O				
Ph-Si-H	(piperidine)	Ph-Si-OH	+	H_2	(77)
	(piperidine)				
Ph	Ph				

112

$$
Ar = C_6H_4 - Z
$$

$$
Z = p - CI, H, m - CH_3, p - CH_3, m - N(CH_3)_2, p - OCH_3, p - N(CH_3)_2
$$

the aryl substituents (Z). Schott and Harzdorf^® tried to correlate the logarithms of the relative rates of solvolysis of triarylsi lanes 113 in 94% ethanol (Equation 78) with

\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n \text{Ar} \\
 \text{Ar-Si-H} \\
 \text{Ar} \\
 \text
$$

sigma substituent values but found a much better correla- ${\tt tion\ with\ a\ signa-silicon\ } (\sigma_{S_1})$ parameter which involves **less resonance interaction (Equation 79), The different substituent constants were deemed necessary because of the interactions between the aryl group and the silicon** atom.

$$
\sigma_{Si} = \sigma_1 + 0.75 \sigma_R \tag{79}
$$

It was subsequently found⁷⁹ that the logarithms of the **above relative reaction rates could be correlated with** Taft's sigma-zero values $(\sigma^{-\alpha})$, 80 values which are used in **systems in which there is no conjugation of the substituent** and the reaction center. Later Schott⁸¹ showed that the **sigma-si1 icon values were actually sigma-zero values.**

In the present study the logarithms of the corrected relative migratory aptitudes were plotted against both sigma and sigma-zero values. Table 4 summarizes the sigma values, sigma-zero values and logarithms of the corrected relative migratory aptitudes including errors. The absolute errors in the logarithmic values equal the relative errors in the values divided by the natural logarithm of 10, since, if B = log(A), then dB = (dA/A)/ln(10).⁸²

The data in Table 4 were analyzed by least squares linear regression.⁶⁰ A plot of the logarithms of the mi**gratory aptitudes versus the sigma values (Figure 2) yields**

	z	$\sigma^{\rm a}$	σ oa		log (Ar/Ph)
\overline{a}	CF ₃	0.53	0.53		$0.43 + 0.04$
$\overline{\mathbf{p}}$	C1	0.24	0.24		$0.22 + 0.04$
\overline{c}	\mathbf{H}	0.00	0.00		0.00 ± 0.04
$\overline{\mathbf{q}}$	CH ₃	-0.14	-0.14	$-0.04 + 0.04$	
\mathbf{e}	OCH ₃	-0.28	-0.12	$-0.24 + 0.09$	
$\overline{\mathbf{r}}$	$NCH3$ ₂	-0.63	-0.32	$-0.26 + 0.08$	

Sigma Values, Sigma-zero Values and Logarithms of Table 4. Corrected Relative Migratory Aptitudes at 25 °C.

^aReference 83.

a straight line with slope (p) of 0.64 and an intercept of 0.05. The correlation coefficient (r) for the data is equal to 0.964 which means that the line obtained explains $(r^2$ =) 93% of the variance in the migratory aptitudes and therefore is considered satisfactory. 61 The average standard deviation calculated is \pm 0.065 which yields a mean relative error in the migratory aptitudes of \pm 14%. The mean relative error determined with linear regression $($ 14%) is comparable to that of the mean error in the migratory aptitudes of all groups $($ \pm 13%) and less than the \pm 15% specified for the Hammett equation. 75b

A plot of the logarithm of the migratory aptitudes versus sigma-zero substituent values (Figure 3) yields a

Figure 2. Plot of the logarithms of the corrected relative migratory aptitudes for substituted-pheny1 groups at 25 °C versus the sigma values.

Figure 3. Plot of the logarithms of the corrected relative migratory aptitudes for substituted-pheny1 groups at 25 °C versus the sigma-zero values.

straight line with a slope (p) of 0.84 and an intercept of **-0.01. There was a satisfactory correlation of 0.964,** which explains 93% of the variance of the migratory apti**tudes, and an average standard deviation of +_ 0.065, giving** an average relative error in the migratory aptitudes of + **14%. The correlation coefficients and standard deviations are identical for both regression with sigma and sigmazero.**

REACTIONS OF 4 WITH FLUORIDE ION AT LOWER TEMPERATURES

Because the range of migratory aptitudes for the rearrangements at 25 °C (Table 3> was small, it was desired to try and increase the range. It was postulated that if the temperature of the reactions were lowered the range in these values could be increased since, in general, lower reaction temperatures make reactions more selective. Thus the migratory aptitudes for the electron-withdrawing groups would increase and those for the donating groups would decrease. This would effectively increase the range of v a l u e s .

Reactions were thus conducted at both 0 °C and -20 °C in a manner analogous to the 25 °C reactions. Like the 25 °C reactions, all products resulted from a rearrangementdisplacement pathway and subsequent cleavage of
foenzy1s i 1anes to give toluenes. Table 5 contains the statistically corrected migratory aptitudes at 0 °C and their logarithms.

Table 5. Statistically Corrected Relative Migratory Aptitudes for Substituted-phenyl Groups at 0 ^oC and **the Logarithms of the Values.**

$Z \leftarrow \bigodot_{1}^{1} S_{1} - CH_{2} - C_{1} \xrightarrow{TBAF} Z \leftarrow \bigodot_{1} CH_{3} + PnCH_{3}$		

Comparison of the migratory aptitudes for reactions at 0 °C (Table 5) to those at 25 °C (Table 3) shows as predicted an increase for electron-withdrawing groups $(Z = CF₃)$, **Cl), though the increases are probably statistically insignificant. The electron-donating groups, on the other hand.**

do not behave as if the reactions are getting more selective but are acting like they are becoming *non-selective*!

Figures 4 and 5 show plots of logarithms of migratory aptitudes at 0 °C versus sigma and sigma-zero values, respectively. Regression analysis of all points for the 0 °C versus sigma plot (solid line in Figure 4) yields a slope of 0.41 and an intercept of 0.15. The average deviation is _+ 0.11, which yields a relative error of +_ 25%. The *±* **25% is significantly larger than the average error calculated < + 0.047 or + 11% relative error) and the correlation of 0.605 can best be termed poor.®* Since the correlation coefficient implies that the line calculated can only account for 65% of the variance in the points, other factors** must be affecting the migratory aptitude than just substi**tuent effects. It is noted from the graph in Figure 4 that for the points with sigma less than zero (electron-donating groups) there is a change in slope and the data might fit better with two intersecting lines (dotted lines in Figure 4). Linear regression of the points with sigma values greater or equal to zero leads to a line with slope of 0.90 and intercept of 0.03 with a good correlation of 0.981 <96% of variance is explained by the line) and average deviation of +_ 0.039 <+ 8 % relative error in migratory aptitudes). The slope of the line for points with sigma values less than or equal to zero, was calculated to be -0.09 with an intercept** of -0.02 . Average deviation was \pm 0.014 (\pm 3% of relative

Figure 4. Plot of the logarithms of the corrected relative migratory aptitudes for substituted-pheny1 groups at 0 °C versus the sigma values.

Figure 5. Plot of the logarithms of the corrected relative migratory aptitudes for substituted-pheny1 groups at 0 °C versus the sigma-zero values.

error in migratory aptitude), but the correlation coefficient was only 0.834 (only 70% of the variance is explain**able by the line). The poor correlation is due to the very small slope (within the errors the slope could actually be** 0.0) implying that the migratory aptitudes for electron-do**nating phenyls are not very substituent dependent.**

Changing to sigma-zero values alters the plot only slightly (Figure 5). The regression line using all the points (solid line in Figure 5) yields a slope of 0.61 and an intercept of 0.12 with only a fair correlation of 0.906 (82X of the variance in the data are explained) and an average deviationn of $+$ 0.079 $(+$ 18X relative error). Ana**lyzing the data with separate lines for electron-donating and electron-withdrawing phenyls yields for electron-donating groups virtually the same line as obtained with sigma values (since for these points the sigma and sigma-zero values are equivalent). The donating groups give a slope of -0.17 and an intercept of -0.02 with an average devia**tion of \pm 0.014 (\pm 3%) and like that with sigma values a poor correlation of 0.795 (only 63% of the variance is ex**plained) due to the small slope.**

Table 6 contains migration aptitudes at -20 °C along with their respective logarithmic values. Comparison with the values at 0 °C (Table 5) reveals very little change. Figures 6 and 7 are plots of the logarithms of migratory

Table 6. Statistically Corrected Relative Migratory Aptitudes for Substituted-phenyl Groups at -20 ^oC and **the Logarithms of the Values.**

aptitudes at -20 °C versus sigma and sigma-zero, respectively. Like the 0 °C reactions, the graphs are bent, and quantitatively there is very little difference at the two **temperatures.**

Regression analysis using all the points at -20 °C plotted against sigma values (Figure 6) yields a calculated line of slope 0.45 and intercept of 0.16. Average deviation is \pm 0.118 (\pm 27% average relative error in the migra**tory aptitudes) and the correlation coefficient is a poor 0.817 (only 67* of the variance is explainable by the line).**

Figure 6. Plot of the logarithms of the corrected relative migratory aptitudes for substituted-pheny1 groups at -20 °C versus the sigma values.

Two lines can be drawn from the data using electron-donators or electron-vithdrawers for each respective line (dashed lines in Figure 6). That for electron-withdrawing phenyls versus the sigma values a least squares slope is calculated to be 0.95 with an intercept of 0.03. The average deviation is \pm 0.037 (\pm 8% relative error in the migra**tory aptitudes) and there is a satisfactory correlation of 0.904 (97% of the variance is explained). The logarithms of the migratory aptitudes for electron-donating phenyls plotted versus sigma yield a slope of -0.07 and intercept** of -0.02 with a very poor correlation (due to the non-se**lectivity for migrations) of 0.568 (only 32% of the variance is explained by the line) and an average deviation of** $+$ 0.024 $(+$ 5% relative error).

The plot of the logarithms of the migratory aptitudes at -20 °C versus sigma-zero values is given in Figure 7. Least squares regression analysis for all the data points in Figure 7 results in a line w ith a slope of 0.67 and an intercept of 0.11. The correlation is fair, 0.912, explai**ning only 83% of the variance in the data and the average** deviation is \pm 0.084 yielding a relative error in the migratory aptitudes of $+$ 19%. The fair correlation and large **error point to other factors and two lines can again be drawn through the points (the dashed lines in Figure 7). The line using the electron-withdrawing groups gives an**

Figure 7. Plot of the logarithms of the corrected relative migratory aptitudes for substituted—phenyl groups at -20 °C versus the sigma-zero values.

analysis exactly like that for the sigma values. The plot of the logarithms of the migratory aptitudes of the elec**tron-donating groups versus the sigma-zero values has a slope of -0.15 with an intercept -0.02 and only a poor correlation of 0.615 (explaining only 38% of the variance)** with a relative error of only \pm 5% and an average deviation **of + 0 .0 2 .**

In the present study there seems to make little dif**ference whether the logarithms of the corrected migratory aptitudes are plotted versus the sigma or the sigma-zero** values. Low temperature effects do seem to be changing **the migratory aptitudes causing deviations in the Hammett plots. In the next chapter explanations for these changes will be presented and discussed in more detail.**

CHAPTER IV: DISCUSSION

OVERALL MECHANISTIC FEATURES

The data for the reactions of fluoride ion with *4* **(see Chapter III: Results) lead to various speculations as to the structures of the transition states for rearrangementdisplacements. Table 7 shows the rho values obtained for the reactions at various temperatures using the migratory aptitudes of all substituted-pheny1 s .**

Table 7. Rho Values Calculated for Reactions at Var ious Temperatures Using Regression with all Points.

 \log (Ar/Ph) = $p\sigma$

It can be seen in Table 7 that for all temperatures the rho values using sigma constants are lower than with sigma-zero constants. These results are due to the fact that the range in sigma values is larger than the sigmazero values. It is not clear from the data which corre**lations are more significant, however, since they are equivalent at 25 °C and poor with sigma and only fair with sigma-zero values and with the errors in the calculated line neither of which are that reliable at 0 and -20 °C.**

For reactions at different temperatures with substituent constants of the same type, the rho values increase, as expected, at -20 °C compared to 0 °C . However, upon d e creasing the reaction temperatures from 25 °C to the lower temperatures the rho values decrease. Because of the large errors in the correlations it becomes evident that quanti**tative interpretations should be made conservatively.**

What is most important, however, is that the rho val**ues for rearrangement-displacement are all greater than zero. This means that the reaction is facilitated by reducing the electron density at the reaction center, but since the rho values are less than unity, the effects of substituent are not very large. These results fit well with previous findings1-4 in which it has been concluded that carbanionic stabilization is important in the rearrangement.** Thus, when fluoride ion attacks on the chloromethylsilane

114 a pentacoordinate si lane intermediate such as 115 is presumably formed (Equation 80). The phenyl group attached

to silicon which can best stabilize negative charge on silicon and also stabilize the negative charge that is carried in rearrangement migrates better. Therefore, the more electron-withdrawing a phenyl group is, the better it migrates and the more electron-donating a phenyl group is, the poorer it migrates.

The poor to fair linear free-energy correlations at the lower temperatures raise extremely interesting questions. From the graphs of the logarithms of the migratory aptitudes versus sigma or sigma-zero values for the lower temperatures (Figures 4-7), it can be seen that the plots are not highly linear. When the substituent constants are less than zero the line seems to change slope. It is therefore possible that Hammett plots of the results should **not be linear. The poor correlations would then arise from assigning linear relationships when none exist.**

It has been found previously that Hammett relationships are not all linear.^{76,84-86} Deviations arise from **experimental errors, impurities in the compounds, side reactions, etc. Though experimental error cannot be totally ruled out in the present research, the deviations throughout are consistent and the reactions are reproducible. The Hammett deviations from linearity arise at the lower temperatures, and thus impurities are not the cause. Since the reactions go to completion and mass balances are excellent, side reactions are not the cause.**

Deviations in attempted linear free-energy correlations are frequently improved by changing to substituent constants which take into account varying polar and/or resonance effects. For example, as discussed in Chapter 1 1 1 , a change from sigma to sigma-zero constants can sometimes change a correlation. Such a change can not be made in the present work since the deviations do not occur for the data at 25 ^oC, but only at the lower temperatures. **If different substituent constants would make the reactions at the lower temperatures give a linear free-energy correlation, then the results at 25 °C should deviate significantly. It is therefore very likely that there are other factors which become important at lower temperatures.**

TWO COMPETING MECHANISMS

The dashed lines in Figures 4-7 represent fitting the data into two lines instead of a single line for all points. The rho values for each of the sets of data are given in Table 8 . If the data do fit "best" into two lines of this sort, each of the lines would represent a Hammett plot for a competing mechanism in the reaction.

Table 8 . Rho Values Calculated for Rearrangement-displacement at Lower Temperatures Assuming Two Competing Mechani s m s .

$\log (Ar/Ph) = p_{\overline{W}}\sigma$		$(\sigma \geq 0)$
$log (Ar/Ph) = p_D \sigma$		$(\sigma \leq 0)$

 \mathcal{L}

The rho values for rearrangements (Table 8) of phenyl groups containing electron-withdrawing substituents are seen to be greater than zero and very close to unity. Further, on lowering the reaction temperatures from 0 to -20 °C the rho value Increases as would be expected. Also, on comparing the rho values with that for the line containing all the points for rearrangement-displacement at 25 °C (Table 7), it again is observed that the values for rho increase at the lower temperatures.

For rearrangements of phenyl groups containing electron-donor substituents (p_n) , the rho values (Table 8) are **all less than but very near zero. Such an analysis for these rearrangements might indicate that the transition states leading to migration become increasingly electrondeficient and thus electron-donor phenyl groups actually migrate very slightly better than does phenyl. It is emphasized, however, that from the slight slope of the line and the errors, the rearrangements of phenyl groups containing the electron-donor substituents could be totally non-select i v e ,**

From the above correlations it might be argued that there are at least two mechanisms for rearrangement-displacement from pentacoordinate silicon. One of the possibilities to consider is that phenyls substituted by elec**tron-withdrawing substituents stabilize negative charge on pentacoordinate silicon and then migrate (S^ 2 like) with**

their sigma electrons to adjacent carbon displacing chlo**ride ion (Equation 31). This is the same mechanism that**

has been proposed earlier based on rho values determined for all points. A second mechanistic possibility is that electron-donor groups on phenyl destabilize the negative charge on pentacoordinate silicon and thus there is S_N1 **liKe loss of chloride leading to ylid 118 (Equation 62).**

Ylid 118 might be expected to make use of d-orbitals in silicon and thus have considerable character expressed as 119. Collapse of the pentacoordinate silicanion would thus

involve a transition state described primarily as 118-119. **Since 116- 119 is ylidic and essentially neutral, subsequent reorganization involving rearrangement would not have strong electronic demands and thus the rho values would be small. There is the further consideration that transition state 118- 119 might incorporate accelerative pi electron participation from electron rich phenyl groups as in phenomion** ion 120.⁸⁷

120

CHANGE IN TRANSITION STATE FEATURES

In the previous paragraphs the question has been raised that the non-linear Hammett correlations come from two different mechanisms for rearrangement of chloromethyl pentacoordinate silicanion intermediates. This author has enormous reservations as to the above mechanistic interpretation. It is also possible that the non-linearity of the Hammett plots are not due to changes in mechanism, but results from changes in the structures of the transition states leading to rearrangement-displacements.

Swain and Langsdorf⁸⁵ studied nucleophilic reactions **of t r line thy 1 amine with subs t i tut ed-benzyl chlorides 121 in benzene (Equation 83) and found a curved Hammett** σ **p plot**

$$
Z-C_6H_4-CH_2-Cl \xrightarrow{CH_3} 3N
$$

\n
$$
Z-C_6H_4-CH_2-N(CH_3) - 2
$$

\n
$$
LC_6H_6
$$

\n
$$
121
$$

\n
$$
Z = p-NO_2, m-Br, p-Br, H, p-CH_3, p-OCH_3
$$
 (83)

and that benzyl chloride (Z = H) reacted as the slowest halide. The curvature was explained in terms of relative amounts of nucleophile-carbon bond forming and carbon-chlorine bond rupture in the transition states. Electron-withdrawing groups which stabilize negative charge on benzylic carbon would favor bond-forming over bond-rupture. Elec**tron-donating groups, on the other hand, would favor bondrupture over bond-formation by stabilization of the developing positive charge.**

As an alternate to two distinct mechanisms for rearrangement -displacement of 4 by fluoride ion it would appear more likely that the reactions occur by a single mechanis**tic continuum involving transition states with different contributions resulting from cleavage of aryl-silicon and carbon-chlorine bonds and formation of aryl-carbon bonds as in Figure 8 .**

Figure 8 . Structural representation of the transition state continuum proposed for rearrangementdisplacement of pentacoordinate silyl intermediates 115.

In rearrangement-displacement of 4 to products, rupture of an aryl-silicon bond ("a" in Figure 6), formation of an aryl-carbon bond ("b" in Figure 6) and cleavage of a carbon-chlorine bond ("c" in Figure 8) occur. If the ener**gies for cleavage of bonds c in 115 are essentially con**stant for all (chloromethyl) silanes studied, rupture of bond a will be favored by electron-withdrawing groups $(p_{\rm a}$ > **0) since the aryl group becomes more negatively charged. Formation of bond** *b* **would, on the other hand, be enhanced by electron-donating groups {pp < 0) since electron-donation will make a migrating aryl group more nucleophilic. For there to be a linear free-energy correlation, the rho value must be constant for a series of substituted reactants and therefore the overall Hammett equation is a combination of the two effects:**

$$
\log (Ar/Ph) = (\rho_a + \rho_b)\sigma \qquad (84)
$$

The overall rho value for reaction p_r will thus be equal to the sum of p_a and p_b . If it is assumed that the absolute values of p_a and p_b are comparable and since silicon is **negative** $|p_a| > |p_b|$ a linear relationship between the log**arithms of the migratory aptitudes and sigma constants is** anticipated with a slope $(p_r = p_a + p_b)$ greater than zero. This is indeed the case for the rearrangement-displacements **at 25 ° C , but does not explain the non-linearity of the lower temperature plots.**

The Hammett equation assumes that the reaction constant, p , is independent of the substituent constant, q^2 . Swain and Langsdorf⁸⁵ argued that this might not be true. **For example, electron-donating substituents should not only stabilize a transition state having a high positive charge but also increase the** *capacity* **of the reaction center** *(including* **substituent) for positive charge and therefore make rho more negative.**

In the present rearrangement, there is no reason to assume that the requirements for cleavage of the carbonchlorine bonds in 115 (bond c. Figure 8) are constant. An electron-donating group which destabilizes the negative charge on pentacoordinate silicon might cause increased stretching of bond *c* **thus building partial positive charge on carbon which helps stabilize the negative-charge on silicon. The more electron-donating the group (the smaller** the σ), the more bond c will stretch. The longer bond c ,

the more positive carbon becomes and therefore the magnitude of p_b is increased. Since $p_b \le 0$, p_r (= $p_a + p_b$) **should become increasingly smaller as the sigma value becomes smaller. Instead of two lines representing two mechanisms with two rho values, a curve is expected in which the rho value changes. Swain and Langsdorf have proposed a sigma-dependent rho value of (Equation 85>:**

$$
p = p_0 + T \sigma \tag{85}
$$

where p_{0} is a reaction constant independent of the substituent, and T is a measure of the difference betwn tran**sition state and ground state resonance and dependent on the structure of the reaction center. If Equation 05 is placed into the Hammett equation for rearrangement, there** is obtained (Equation 86):

$$
\log (Ar/Ph) = (p_n + T \sigma) \sigma \qquad (86)
$$

which upon expansion yields (Equation 87):

$$
\log (Ar/Ph) = p_0 \sigma + \tau \sigma^2 \qquad (87)
$$

Equation 87 has the general formula (Equation 8 8) of a A A **parabola.00**

$$
y = A_0 + A_1 X + A_2 X^2 \qquad (88)
$$

Second-order 1e a s t - square regression analyses® 0 of the 0 and -20 °C reaction plots (logarithms of migratory aptitudes versus *cr* **and o*°) are given In Table 9. All the**

Table 9. Second-order Least-squares Regression Analysis Results from 0 °C and -20 °C Plots of Logarithms of Migratory Aptitudes Versus Sigma and Sigma-zero Values.

 $\log (Ar/Ph)$ = I + $p_0 \sigma$ + $T' \sigma^2$

correlation coefficients are satisfactory®* and the curves calculated account for between 90 and 95% of the variance obtained. The relative errors in the curves are all within the errors calculated for the data. The quantitative meanings of p_{α} and T were not elaborated or discussed by Swain and Langsdorf. However, from p_0 and T as calculated a rho **value for each substituted-phenyl group (Table 10) can be**

Tab le 1 0 . Ca1culated Rho Values for the Substituted-pheny1 Groups at 0 °C and -20 °C Using Data from Table 9 and Sigma and Sigma-zero Values.

P **⁼** *per* **+ -T"** *cr*

obtained. In Table 10 it is seen that the calculated rho **values for migration of all phenyl groups are greater than zero, a correlation consistent with the premise that the** transition state for rearrangement is always negative because it is derived from a negatively charged pentacoordi**nate silyl intermediate. The decrease in rho values as the sigma constants get larger implies that positive character increases in the rearrangement-displacement transition states. This is also consistent with the presumption of a longer carbon-chlorine bond (bond c, Figure 8). The longer bond** *c* **is stretched the more the transition state looks**

like ylid 118-119 (Equation 82) which is essentially neu**tral and thus has minimal electronic demands.**

Therefore, at 25 °C it can be argued that the rearrangements occur with very little change in the lengths of bonds *c* **and thus rho is a constant value and greater than zero. At lower temperatures, however, because slower rearrangement leads to more destabilization of the negative charge on silicon, the ease of stretching of bond c becomes** more dependent on the substituents and thus causes a grad**ual decrease in the rho values leading to curvature in the Hamme 11 plots.**

P S UEDOROTATION AND REARRANGEMENT

The interpretations that have as yet been presented in the present dissertation have all been based on traditional electrical and geometrical effects in carbon compounds. Since the present rearrangements occur however by nucleophilic attack on silicon, possible silicon stereochemistry can not be ignored. Thus it is widely known that nucleo**philic attack on optically active silanes can proceed with** inversion or retention of configuration.^{42f,89} Further **nucleophilic attack on silicon has been postulated to lead to pentacoordinate silicon intermediates which are able to p s e u d o r o t a t e .4 2 f ,90,91**

The idea of p seudorotation was originated by Berry®^ to explain the positional exchange of the fluorine atoms of PFg as indicated by its ¹⁹F nmr spectra above -100 ^oC. Since **then considerable attention has been given to the stereochemical behavior of organophosphorus compounds.®*-®® Unfortunately, pseudorotation is not highly understood at present for any element.**

Pseudorotation about silicon would be expected to involve the initial formation of a trigonal bipyramid <TBP) structure. Silicon in a pentacoordinate intermediate is **expected to lie within a triangle defined by the three** nearest bonding atoms in the basal plane of the TBP. Bonds **between silicon and these three ligands are designated as "equatorial'*. Equatorial bonds have angles, in a totally** symmetric structure, of 120⁰. The remaining two ligands, are located above and below the basal plane and are desig**nated as "axial". In a symmetric structure the axial bonds are 90° to each of the equatorial bonds.**

Pseudorotation in a pentacoordinate silicon interme**diate is shown in Figure 9. A pair of equatorial ligands, B** and C in 122a, move in a plane away from each other and **expand the B-Si-C bond angle from 120° to 180°, thus making** both B and C axial in 122b. Synchronously, axial ligands, D and E in 122a, move towards each other in a plane perpen**dicular to the equatorial plane, but away from pivot A. The D - S i - E angle is reduced from 180° to 120° in 122b and D and**

122 a

122 b

Figure 9. Pseudorotation in a trigonal bipyramidal silicon intermediate. In 12 2 a . ligands A, B and C are equatorial and ligands D and E are axial. In 122b, ligands A, D and E are equatorial and ligands B and **C are axial. Ligand A is the pivot.**

CD

E become equatorial. The pivot ligand, A in 122a, does not **move and remains equatorial in 12 2 b . A representation of the motions of the ligands in pseudorotaion of 122 a to 1 22 b is given in Figure 10.**

Figure 10. The motion involved in converting 122a to 122b **via pseudorotation. Ligands B and C go from axial to equatorial. Ligands D and E go from** The pivot ligand, A, **remains equatorial in the process.**

The concepts involved in the mechanistic aspects of pseudorotation in phosphorus®are now to be extended to silicon: $42f, 90, 91$ (1) Since the axial bonds are **presumably 1 onger and weaker than equatoria1 b o n d s , the**

intermediates are theorized to form by axial attack, (2) decomposition of an intermediate occurs by axial loss and (3) if the intermediates are sufficiently long-lived they undergo pseudorotation.

Therefore, when fluoride ion attacks (chloromethyl)si**lanes, a trigonal bipyramidal structure 123 is formed which** migrates an axial group to the equatorial (chloromethyl) group with loss of chloride ion to yield rearrangement pro**duct 124 (Equation 89). If the factors that direct the**

rearrangement to (chloromethyl) carbon are more important **than those which result in pseudorotation, it is expected that stabilization of negative charge on pentacoordinate silicon as well as stabilization of the negative charge** with which a group migrates will be controlling. There**fore, the electron-withdrawing groups should migrate bet**ter. On the other hand, if the factors that lead to pseu**dorotation become dominant, migration will be a function of the position of the groups around silicon. Groups which tend to go axial could thus migrate better. Therefore if**

the abilities of groups to be axial were quite similar, migration could become statistically dependent and then substituent effects would not be important.

It can then be imagined that at 25 °C factors leading to rearrangement-displacement are greater than those for pseudorotation and thus electron-withdrawing groups migrate better than do electron-donors and a linear Hammett plot is obtained. At lower temperatures it can be presumed that rearrangement-disp1 acement factors are more temperature dependent or are reduced at a faster rate than those leading to pseudorotation. It might then be proposed that for phenyls substituted by electron-withdrawing groups, the rearrangement factors are still more important than pseudo**rotation factors and a linear Hammett correlation for rearrangement -displacement is still observed. But for phenyls which are substituted by electron-donating groups, and thus do not rearrange as well as the withdrawing analogs, pseudorotation effects may have become more important than rearrangement factors and the migratory aptitudes are essentially statistically determined and thus independent of the sigma values for the phenyl substituents. Therefore, two lines might be obtained in a form similar to that noted in Figures 4-7.**

Though there is no firm evidence for or against pseudorotation factors in this work, the premise is an exciting one. The idea of pseudorotation is of enormous

importance. Much more work needs to be done to understand further this phenomenon with reference to rearrangementdisplacement in silyl intermediates and in the stereochemi**stry of silicon and phosphorus in general.**

FUTURE AVENUES TO PURSUE

The results obtained in this research do not lead to a totally definitive understanding of rearrangement-displacements from pentacoordinate silicon. This problem is worth investigating in much greater detail whatever be the mecha**nistic details of such reactions.**

The research in this dissertation has involved determinations of the migratory aptitudes of various substituted-phenyl groups relative to phenyl. It might be of value to actually study the rates of rearrangement-displacement by kinetic methods. Features might become apparent in a kinetic study which are not apparent from the migratory apt i t u d e s .

Changing the leaving group from chlorine to bromine, iodine, tosylate etc., might give insight into leaving group effects. The results of this research support rearrangement-displacement transition states with variable carbon-chlorine bond lengths. Thus, leaving group effects might lend more support to this theory. With the proper leaving group, cationic features could become much more

important than carbanionic features and thus the rho values for rearrangement-displacement might become negative.

Pseudorotation factors might be studied systematically in rearrangement-displacements using silanes 125 which have aryl groups bridged to chloromethyl carbon (Equation 90).

In phosphorus compounds which are bridged by four-membered and five-membered rings containing the phosphorus atom, the strained rings bridge one axial and one equatorial position in the subsequent pentacoordinate intermediate.®^ Slx-membered rings prefer dlequatorial placements of sub-07 stituents. One could study the a x i a l - e q u a t o r i a 1 versus equatorial-equatorial rearrangements by varying the number of methylene units in the bridging chain and determining the extent and the rates of formation of the products. If axial migration is preferred, it would be expected that migratory aptitudes of aryl would be greater for the brid**ged aryl compound relative to the analogous unbridged**

ortho-substituted aryl 128. Examining the bridged non-aromatic silanes 129 might also be of value to determine pseu**dorotation effects.**

Chiral (halomethyl) silanes should be investigated to **see if rearrangement-displacements proceed with retention or inversion of configuration. Studies could be conducted** with silanes 130-132 having silicon, carbon or both silicon **and carbon optically active as indicated (Figure 11).**

Figure 11. Possible chiral (halomethyl) silanes for further study of rearrangement-displacements.

Determining the electronics or other effects about (halomethyl)carbon might yield information with respect to **the rearrangement-d1 splacement transition states. Thus,** reactions might be conducted on (halosubstitutedmethyl)si**lanes 13 3 with varied substituents on halomethyl carbon.**

$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR & H \\
\mid & \mid \\
R-Si-C-X \\
\mid & \mid \\
R & R' \\
\mid & 133\n\end{array}
$$

It is possible that aryl(chloromethyl)diphenylsilanes 4 are **too strained and that replacing one phenyl group by a methyl group might yield interesting results. It might also be instructive to study rearrangement-displacements with d i a r y l (h a l o m e t h y l)s i1 anes containing one phenyl group substituted with an electron-donor and a second phenyl group substituted with an electron-withdrawer.**

The migratory aptitudes might be examined using other solvents or even higher reaction temperatures. Rearrangement -d i splacement with vari ed alkoxides could be at tempted with varied (halomethyl)silanes.

Reacting halomethyllithiums with tetrasubstitutedsilanes 134 would be interesting to examine if rearrangementdisplacement (Equation 91) occurs to yield (substitutedme**thyl)si lanes 135 and, if so, what the electronic effects are. Also, acid-catalyzed rearrangements (Equation 92)**

$$
\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
R-Si-R \\
R-Si-R \\
R \\
R\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow\n\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
Li-CH_2-X \\
R-Si-CH_2-X \\
R \\
R\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
R\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{c}\nR \\
Li + \xrightarrow{-LiX} i \\
Li + \xrightarrow{R-Si-CH_2-R} (91) \\
R\n\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nR & R \\
R-Si-CH_2-X & \xrightarrow{\text{E}^+} & I \\
R & R-Si-CH_2-R & (92) \\
R & X & X\n\end{array}
$$

might be conducted at low temperatures to study whether bent Hammett plots, similar to low temperature plots in this dissertation are obtained.

The evaluation of this work has lead to various conclusions about rearrangement-displacement transition states of pentacoordinate silicon. The results from this work **also open the door to further studies on the mechanistic aspects of these and similar silyl intermediates.**

CHAPTER V: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A study has been made of fluoride ion induced rear r angement-displacements of aryl(chloromethyl)diphenylsi**lanes (4) in tetrahydrofuran at 25, 0 and -20 °C. Attack of fluoride ion presumably produces pentacoordinate silyl** intermediates which rearrange with aryl (= para-substitu**ted phenyl) or phenyl migration from negatively charged** silicon to carbon with chloride expulsion. (Arylmethyl)fluorodiphenylsilanes 109 and arylfluorophenyl(phenylmeth**yl)silanes 111 produced are cleaved quantitatively by fluo**ride ion to difluorodiphenylsilane (110c) and substituted**toluenes 33 and aryldifluorophenylsi lanes 110 and toluene (3 3 c) , respect i v e l y .**

Electronic effects in the rearrangement-disp1 acements were studied by using various para-substituted-phenyl groups as aryl and determining the relative migratory aptitudes of aryl versus phenyl groups. At 25 °C the migratory aptitudes decrease in the order: p -CF₃-C₆H₄ > p -Cl-C₆H₄ > $C_6H_5 > p-CH_3-C_6H_4 > p-CH_3O-C_6H_4 > p-CH_3C_2N-C_6H_4$. Hammett plots of the logarithms of the migratory aptitudes versus σ **or** *a~* **° values yielded equally satisfactory correlations with calculated rho values of 0.64 and 0.64, respectively.**
At 0 and -20 °C migratory aptitudes of decrease in the σ rder: p -CF₃-C₆H₄ > p -Cl-C₆H₄ > p -(CH₃)₂N-C₆H₄ ~ C₆H₅ ~ $p - CH_3 - C_6H_4 \sim p - CH_3O - C_6H_4$.

Various explanations have been postulated for the observed electronic effects. The mechanisms which have been considered include changes from rearrangement-displacement to ionization followed by rearrangement, pseudorotation at silicon and changes in the rearrangement-displacement transition states involving variable carbon-chlorine bond lengths. Quantitative analyses of these transition states gave rho values that depend on substituent constants and have values at 0^{-0} C ranging from 0.85 for p -C F_3 -C₆H₄ to 0.01 for $p - (CH_3)$ $2^{N-C}6^H4$ using sigma values, 0.86 for $p - CF_3 - C_6H_4$ to 0.14 for $p - (\text{CH}_3)_{2} \text{N} - \text{C}_6 \text{H}_4$ using sigma zero values. Calculated rho values at -20 ^OC range from 0.92 for p -CF₃-C₆H₄ to 0.04 for $p - (CH_3)$ ₂N-C₆H₄^{d} using sigma values, and 0.93 for $p - CF_3 - C_6H_4$ to 0.17 for $p - (CH_3)$ $_2N - C_6H_4$ using sigma-zero val**ues .**

Aryl migrations from negatively charged silicon to car**bon are favored by electron-withdrawing substituents on the aryl group. At 25 °C Hammett plots are linear but at lower temperatures mechanistic features involving increased positive character on the ch1 ororaethy1 carbon and/or a greater significance of pseudorotation at silicon lead to a decreasing importance of electron-withdrawing stabilization and thus Hammett plots deviate from linearity.**

CHAPTER VI: EXPERIMENTAL

GENERAL

General Procedures.

Reactions conducted under anhydrous conditions were effected under dry argon which had been passed through concentrated sulfuric acid, potassium hydroxide pellets and then anhydrous calcium sulfate *iDrierit&'i.* **Glassware was dried overnight at 100 °C and then flame-dried under argon immediately before use.**

Boiling Points.

Boiling points were obtained at atmospheric pressure, unless otherwise noted, and are uncorrected. Temperatures are reported in degrees centigrade.

Melting Points.

Melting points were obtained on a Thomas Hoover *Uni m<* **capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.**

Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectra.

nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained on a Varian Associates Models EM-390 nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer. All chemical shifts,

96

unless otherwise stated, are reported in parts per million downfield from internal te t r ante thy 1 s i 1 ane using carbon tetrachloride as solvent. Data are reported as follows: chemical shift [multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet), coupling constant (in Hertz), integration, interpretation!.

Infrared Spectra.

Infrared spectra < IR> were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer Model 457 grating infrared spectrophotometer. Spectra of solids were obtained from potassium bromide pellets and those of liquids from either neat films on sodium chloride plates or from potassium bromide solution cells containing carbon tetrachloride. All spectra were calibrated against a polystyrene absorption peak at 1601 era"* and are reported in cm^{-1} (peak intensity (s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, $b = b \cdot \text{road}$).

Mass Spectra.

Mass spectra CMS) were obtained with a Kratos Model MS-30 mass spectrometer at 70 eV at the Ohio State University Campus Chemical Instrument Center by C. R. Weisenberg e r . The parent peak and peaks with relative intensities greater than 10% are reported. Data are reported as follows: charge-to-mass ratio [interpretation (for parent and base peak), relative intensity!.

97

Elemental Analysis.

Elemental analyses (Anal.) were performed by Micro-Analysis, Inc., P. O. Box 5088, Wilmington, DE 19808.

Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectra.

Combined gas chromatograph/mass spectra (GC/MS) were obtained by David Chang at the Ohio State University Chemical Instrument Center with a Finnigan Model 4021 gas chro**matograph/mass spectrum instrument.**

Gas Chromatography.

General. Gas chromatography (GC) was effected on a Varian instrument *Aerograph* Model 920 with a four-fila**ment, tungsten-rhenium WX hot wire type thermal conductivity detector. The filament current was set at 100 mA with helium as carrier gas. The products determined by GC were confirmed with the GC/MS or the IR of authentic samples. Frequently used columns and conditions, unless otherwise stated, were:**

- **Column A: 10 ft x 1/4 in, 15% SE-30 on 100/120 mesh Gas-Chrom Q; Temperatures (°C): Injector (290), Column (230), Detector (265); Flow rate: 60 mL/min.**
- **Column B: 6 ft x 1/4 in, 15% didecyl phthalate, 5% silicone oil W 96 on 60/80 mesh Chromosorb W; Temperatures (° C): Injector (150), Column (105), Detector (150); Flow rate:** 60 mL/min.

Column C, 5 ft x 1/4 in, 10% didecyl phthalate on 60/100 mesh Chromosorb W HP; Temperatures (^OC): Injector (155), Column (120), Detector (155); Flow rate: 75 mL/min.

GC Areas. Areas were determined as an average of four **trials for each run by two methods considered equal in precision. The first method involved multiplication of the measured height by the measured width at half-height of the actual GC trace obtained on a Houston Instrument** *Omniscribe* **Model B-5217-1 10 inch strip chart recorder at a span width of 10 mV and chart speed of 10 cm/min.**

The second method made use of a Spectra-Physics *Autolab Minigrator* **Model 23000-111 electronic integrator with parameters set as: Peak Width (PW) = 12, Slope Sensitivity (SS) = 100, Baseline Test (BL) = 5, Tailing Peak (TP) = 25 and T1 = 75.**

Tests for Detection of Grignard and Organolithium Reagents.

Color Tests. Qualitative color tests were used to determine completion of organometallic reactions. Color Test I (a.k.a. "The Gilman Test")⁹⁸ is a sensitive quali**tative test for Grignard reagents and organo1ithium compounds. The test was administered as follows: to a 1 mL aliquot of the solution to be tested was added 1 mL of a 1%** solution of 4,4'-bis(dimethylamino)benzophenone (Michler's **ketone) in dry benzene. The solution was hydrolyzed with 1 mL of water and then acidified with 3-5 drops of a 0.2%**

99

solution of iodine in glacial acetic acid. A greenish-blue color indicated presence of an organometa1 1 ic reagent.

Color Test IIA®^ depends upon the greater reactivity of n-butyllithium over aryllithium compounds in halogenmetal interconversions. The procedure followed was: to a **1 mL aliquot of the solution to be tested was added 1 mL of** a 15% solution of 4-bromo-N, N-dimethylaniline in dry ben**zene . One mL of a 15% solution of benzophenone in dry benzene was then immediately added to this. After a few seconds the mixture was hydrolyzed with water and acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid. A red color in the water layer was a positive test for** *n* **-butyl 1 ithium.**

T i t rat i o n s . After the preparations of the Grignards or the organolithium compounds were complete, the reagents **were titrated to determine their concentration before use.** Titration was accomplished¹⁰⁰ by adding a 1.0 mL aliquot of **the organometa1 1 ic solution to — 1 mg of the indicator,** 1,10-phenanthroline, dissolved in ~1mL of dry benzene. The **solution instantly turned deep-violet. Measurement of the volume of a 1.0 M solution of 2-butanol in xylenes to fade the color determines the molarity of the organometa1 1 ic .** $¹⁰¹$ </sup>

Preparation of Aryllithium Reagents

Aryl lithium reagents were prepared by two methods depending on the substituent on the aryl group:

Method A: To a suspension of freshly-cut lithium chips (0.23 g, 33 mmol) in diethyl ether (5 mL) was added the substituted-phenyl bromide (96, 15 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) at a rate (~30 min) to cause reflux. After the

addition the reaction was refluxed 2 h.

Method B: A solution of n-butyllithium in hexanes (15 mmol) **was added (-30 min) to a solution of the substitutedphenyl bromide (j)8 , 15 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) at** -40 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm gradually to \sim 15 **°C until Color Test IIA®® indicated that all the n-butyl-1 lthium had reacted.**

PREPARATION OF ARYL(CHLOROMETHYL)DIPHENYLSILANES 4

Preparation of Trichloro(chloromethyl)silane (96a).⁶⁴

Dry chlorine was bubbled into a mixture of trichloromethylsilane (95, 1750 mL, 2228 g, 14.9 mol) and 2,2'-azo**bisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 4.5 g, 0.027 mol) at 60-65 ° C .** The reaction was monitored by NMR. When chlorination of 96a began to give trichloro(dichloromethyl)silane [96b, NMR: δ 5.00 (s, 1H, Si-CHCl₂)], significantly at approxim**ately 15-20 h, chlorination was stopped. Nitrogen was then** **passed through the mixture for 0.5 h to purge hydrogen chioride.**

The product mixture was distilled at atmospheric pressure through a 20 x 150 mm column packed with stainless steel staples. After approximately 1500 mL of 95 had been collected (bp 6 6 ° C), the residue was distilled through a 10 x 320 mm column packed with stainless steel staples to obtain: (1) trichloromethylsilane (95, 13.6 g, total **collected: 1909.2 g, 12.8 mol): bp 65 ° C ; (2) a mixture** of 95 and 96a (12.8 g): bp 66-115 ^OC; (3) trichloro(chlo**romethy1)silane (9 6 a . 112.1 g, 0.609 mol, 29% based on recovered 89): bp 115-117 °C tlit6 4 116-119 °C1. The spectral properties of 96a are as follows: NMR (CDClg) & 3.30** (s, 2H, Si-CH₂-Cl); IR (CCl₄) 2920(m), 2820(w), 1380(m), $1100(b,m)$, $670(m)$, $600(s)$, $450(m)$ cm^{-1} ; MS $184(13)$, $182(M^+, 10)$, $149(15)$, $147(16)$, $137(54)$, $135(M^+ - (CH_2Cl))$ **1001, 133(96), 113(14), 98(11), 65(23), 63(64), 49(19); exact mass calcd for** CH_2 **³⁵** CH_4 **Si** *m/e* **181.8683, found 181.8693.**

Preparation of Chloro(chloromethyl)diphenylsilane (97).^

A solution of phenylmagnesium bromide in anhydrous diethyl ether was prepared by dropwise addition of bromobenzene (98c, 75.0 ml, 112 g, 0.712 mol) in diethyl ether **(100 mL) to a stirred suspension of magnesium turnings**

102

(19.0 g, 0.702 mol) in diethyl ether <150 m L) . After 98c had been added, the mixture was stirred for 2 h.

To a stirred solution of trichloro(chloromethyl)silane **(96a, 54.1 g, 0.294 mol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (100** mL) was added dropwise (~2 h) phenylmagnesium bromide (250 **mL of a 2.35 H solution in diethyl ether, 0.588 mol) over 2 h. The mixture was refluxed until Color Test I®® was negative <~5 h>.**

The suspension was filtered through celite and then the magnesium salts were rinsed with anhydrous diethyl ether (5 x 50 mL). Concentration *in vacuo* followed by **rapid, simple distillation of the liquid from the remaining magnesium salts gave a slightly-colored liquid (bp 96-155** $^{\circ}$ C/3 torr, which was redistilled through a 12 x 110 mm col**umn packed with stainless steel staples to yield chloro-** (chloromethyl)diphenylsilane (97, 53.3 g, 0.199 mol, 68%), **a clear liquid: bp 136-140 ° C /2.5 torr [lit6 5 187-192 °C/** $10 \text{ torr1}; \quad \text{NMR (CDC1}_3) \quad \delta \quad \text{7.80-7.25 (m, 10H, 2 \times \text{Si-C}_6 \underline{\text{H}}_5),$ **3.40** (s, 2H, $Si-CH_2-Cl$); IR (CCl_A) 3070(m), 3040(m), **300 0(w) , 2910 < w) , 1590(w), 1460(w), 1430<s), 1390(m),** $1120(s)$, $690(s)$, $640(m)$, $550(s)$, $480(m)$, $434(m)$ cm⁻¹; MS $266(M^+, 4)$, $227(24)$, $219(55)$, $218(27)$, $217(M^+ - (CH_2Cl))$, **1003, 163(15), 161(15), 91(12), 63(11); exact mass calcd for C 1 3 H 12 35 C l 2Si** *m/e* **266.0087, found 266.0164.**

Preparation of (Chloromethyl)triphenylsilane (4c).

Addition of absolute ethanol (30 mL) to the pot residue in the distillation of 97 led to crystallization of an off-white solid.¹⁰² Recrystallization with low-boiling petroleum ether (2 x) yielded (c h loromethyl>triphenylsilane < 4 c . 3.2 g, 10 mmol, 4%) as white plates: mp 113-116 °C $[$ lit⁶⁸ 112-115 °C1; NMR δ 7.65-7.25 $(m, 15H, 3 \times S_1 - C_6H_5)$; 3.45 (s, 2H, $Si-CH_2-Cl$); IR (CC1₄) $3060(m)$, $3040(m)$, **2920(w) , 2620(w) , 1590<w), 1480<w), 1425<s), 1390(m), 1260 < w) , 1200(m), 1110(s), 1050(m>, 695<s), 680(w), 630<m),** $500(s)$, $480(m)$, $430(m)$ cm⁻¹; MS $308(M^+, 0.3)$, $261(11)$, 260(39), 259IM⁺ - (CH₂C1), 1003, 181(18), 105(13); exact **mass calcd for CjgHj7 ^6ClSi** *a/e* **308.0789, found 308.0745.**

General Procedure for the Preparation of Aryl (chlorome**thy1)d i p h e n y1 s i1 ane s .**

A r y l (chloromethyl>diphenylsilanes (4a, 4b, 4d, 4e and 4f) were prepared by the addition (~45 min) of the appropriate aryllithium reagent in diethyl ether or diethyl eth**er/hexanes (13 mmol) to a stirred solution of chloro(chlo**romethyl)diphenylsilane (97, 3.5 g, 13 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 mL). The aryllithiums prepared by Method A were **added at room temperature and the mixtures refluxed until** Color Test I⁹⁸ was negative (~1 h). Additions were effec**ted at -40 °C for aryl lithiums prepared by Method B, and**

the mixtures were allowed to warm to room temperature (~ 1 h) until Color Test I®® was negative.

After a reaction was complete, water (30 mL) wa's added, and the mixture was separated and extracted with diethyl ether (2 x 10 mL). The diethyl ether solutions **were combined, washed with water (15 mL) and saturated sodium chloride (15 mL) and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After filtration and concentration in vacuo, crystals of the following previously unreported silanes were obtained:**

(Chloromethyl)[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylldiphenylsi**lane (4a) was prepared by Method B and crystallization occurred spontaneously upon concentration of the diethyl ether. Recrystallization twice from hexane gave 4 a as white needles: yield 51%; mp 96-100 ° C ; NMR S 7.65-7.20 (m, 14H, Si-Ar-H), 3.45 (s. 2 H , S i - C H2 -Cl>; IR (KBr) 3060(w) , 3060(w), 3015(w>, 3000(w), 2920(w>, 1610(w), 1 5 9 0 < w) , 1485(w), 1 4 3 0 (m > , 1390(m>, 1330(s), I270(w), 1175(m), 1160 < m) , 1135(s>, 1120(s), 1 1 1 0(b), 1060(m), l0 2 0 (m) , 1000(w), 835(m) , 790(w), 775(w), 755(m>, 740(b,m), 710(m), 7 0 0 (s), 645(w), 605(w>, 515(s), 490(m>, 455(w>,** $410(w)$, $370(w)$, $335(w)$ cm⁻¹; MS M⁺ undetected, $328(25)$, **327** $(M^+ - (CH_2Cl), 100$ **; exact mass calcd for** $C_1gH_14F_3Si$ $(M^+$ **- (CH2C i n** *m/e* **327.0817, found 327.0802. Anal. Calcd for** C₂₀H₁₆C1F₃Si: C, 63.74; H, 4.25. Found: C, 64.00; H, 4.29.

105

(Chloromethyl)(4-chlorophenyl)diphenylsilane (4b),

prepared by Method B, crystallized spontaneously upon concentration in diethyl ether. Recrystallization twice from hexane gave white needles of 4b: yield 54X; mp 84-45 ${}^{\circ}C$; NMR δ 7.60-7.20 (m, 14H, S₁-Ar-H₁), 3.40 (s, 2H, $Si-CH_2-Cl$; IR (KBr) 3080(w), 3040(w), 3020(w), 2920(w), **1 5 9 0 (m > , 1480(m) , 1430(s>, 130O(m>, 1340(w>, 1310(w),** 1260(w), 1180(w), 1120(s), 1105(m), 1080(s), 1015(m). **6 2 0 (m) , 765(w), 760(m>, 740<b,m>, 700(s), 640(m), 620(w), 5 35(w) , 5 1 5 (s >, 460(m), 470(w), 460(w), 400<m>, 350(w>** cm^{-1} ; MS 342(M⁺, 1), 295(38), 294(26), 293[M⁺ - (CH₂Cl), **1000;** exact mass calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}^{35}Cl_{2}s$ ¹ [M⁺ - $(CH_{2}Cl)$] m/e **342.0400, found 342.0424. Anal. Calcd for C^gHj0 C l 2 Si: C, 66.47; H, 4.66. Found: C, 65.99; H, 4.64.**

(Chioromethyl)(4-methylphenyl)diphenylsilane (4d) was **prepared by Method A. The product crystallized spontaneously from diethyl ether upon concentration. White needles of 4d were obtained after two recrystallizations** from hexane: yield 54 %; mp $76-79$ ^OC; NMR δ 7.60-7.05 (m, **14 H , Si-Ar-H), 3.40 (s, 2 H . S i - C H2 -Cl), 2.40 (s, 3H,** $Ar - CH_3$); IR (KBr) 3080(w), 3050(w), 3020(w), 2920(w), **1590(w) , 1480(w), 1430(m) , 1390(w), 1310(w), 1260(w), 1160< w) , 1120(s) , 1105(m), 1015(w), 805(m), 785(w), 750(a), 7 0 0 (s), 6 4 0 (m) , 635(w), 605(w), 515(s), 480(m), 4 7 0 (w) ,** $425(w)$, $370(w)$, $340(w)$ cm⁻¹; MS M⁺ undetected, $274(24)$, **273IM⁺ - (CH₂C1), 100); exact mass calcd for** C_{1} **gH₁₇Si IM⁺ -**

(CH2 C1>] *m/e* **273.1100, found 273.1096. Anal. Calcd for C 20H 19C1Si: C * 74.42; H, 5.89. Found: C. 74.73; H, 5.95.**

(Chlor o m e t h y l)(4-me t h o x y p h e n y l > d i p h e n y1 silane (4 e) was prepared by Method B. The syrup obtained after concentration was distilled to give a very viscous oil, bp 185- 187 °C/0.25 torr which crystallized in diethyl ether/hexane overnight at -20 °C. Recrystallization twice from hexane gave $\frac{4e}{3}$ as white needles: yield 30%; mp 60-62 ^oC; NMR δ 7.65-6.80 (m, 14H, Si-Ar-H), 3.80 (s, 3H, Ar-O-CH₃), 3.40 $(s, 2H, Si-CH_2-Cl); IR (KBr) 3080(w), 3050(w), 3020(w),$ **2920 < w > , 1590 < s) , 1560(w), 1490(m), 1440(m), 1390<w), 1310(w) , 1 2 8 0 (m) , 1255(m>, 1180(w), 1115(s), 1020(m), 1000(v), 835(w > , 820(v>, 800(w), 770<w), 740(b,m), 700(s>, 6 4 5 (w) , 605(w), 5 0 5 (s), 480<w), 450<w), 420(w), 370(w)** cm^{-1} ; MS 338 (M⁺, 3), 290(26), 289(M⁺ - CH_2Cl), 1001; **exact mass calcd for C 2 qHj10Si** *m/e* **338.0895, found 338,0907. Anal. Calcd for C 2 0 H 1 9 ClOSi: C, 70.90; H, 5.61. Found: C, 71.21; H, 5.52.**

(C h i o r o m e t h y l)C 4 -(dimethylamino)phenyl Idipheny1 s i1 ane (4f ? was prepared by Method A and crystallized as a slightly yellow solid. Recrystallization from methylene chloride at -78 °C and then from hexane resulted in white needles of $4f$: yield 30% ; mp $106-110$ ^oC; NMR δ 7.55-6.55 **(m, 14H, Si-Ar-H), 3.40 <s. 2H, S i - C H2 -Cl), 2.95 Is, 6 H.** $Ar-N(CH_3)_{2}$]; IR (KBr) 3080(w), 3060(w), 3020(w), 2920(w), **1595(s) , 1 5 2 0 (m) , 1480(w>, 1450(w), 1430(m>, 1390(w),**

%

107

1365 Cm) , 120O(w), 1230(w>. 1205(w>, 1120(s), 1105(m>, 1000(v>, 945 (w> , 0 1 0 (111). 700(m) , 765Cm>, 730(b,m) , 700(s), 640(m> , 635(w) , 600(w), 5 3 0 (m) , 490(w), 470(m>, 400(w>, $350(w)$ cm⁻¹; MS $353(10)$, $351(M^+, 24)$, $303(28)$, $302(M^+ -$ (CH₂Cl), 1001; exact mass calcd for C₂₁H₂₂³⁵ClNS1 m/e **351.12127, found 351.1163. Anal. Calcd for C 2 iH2 2 ClNSi: C, 71.69; H. 6.26. Found: C, 71.49; H. 6.23.**

REACTIONS OF 4 WITH FLUORIDE ION

Calculations of Product Yields.

Product yields were determined by GC using internal standard methodology in which a known amount of standard is added at the conclusion of the reaction and the GC areas of the compounds of interest are then compared to that of the standard. The average area from four analyses was used to determine the areas. The standard used in all cases was anisole and average areas were corrected for detector response. Yields determined by this method are accurate to approximately $+ 5\%$ of the absolute value.⁷⁰ If the data **produced a larger error than + 5% the larger error was used .**

Determination of GC Detector Responses.

Detector responses were determined by injecting a mixture of known quantities of the standard (i.e. anisole) and the sample whose response is being determined into the **G C . Four runs were made, with four trials per run, for** each sample with weight ratios (sample: standard) of appro**ximately 1:4, 1:2, 3:4 and 1:1. The weight ratios (sample/ standard) were then plotted versus the area ratios (sample/ standard). The slope of the line determined by linear** C n **regression0 0 is the detector response. The relative responses determined for substituted-toluenes 3_3 were:**

Preparation of Substituted-toluenes (33)

All of the substituted-toluenes were commercially available except for 4-(trifluoromethyl)toluene (33a). **Available toluenes were purchased from The Aldrich Chemical Company and distilled immediately before use. 4-(Trifluo**romethyl) toluene (33a) was prepared¹⁰³ as follows:

A solution of methyl iodide (14.8 g, 104 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (25 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylmagnesium bromide **in diethyl ether [prepared by the addition of 4-bromo-ben**zotrifluoride (98a, 7.4 g, 33 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl

ether (15 mL) to magnesium turnings (0.84 g, 35 mmol) in **diethyl ether (20 mL)]. The reaction mixture was refluxed until Color Test I®® was negative (-30 h).**

After cooling, 10% sulfuric acid <25 mL) was added slowly to the reaction mixture followed by separation and extraction with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The combined **ethereal layers were washed with water (2x5 mL) and** saturated sodium chloride (7 mL) and then dried over **anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration and concentration** in vacuo gave an oil which was distilled through a short**path distillation apparatus containing Kurly-Kate to yield:** (1) a mixture of 4-bromobenzotrifluoride, trifluoromethyl**benzene, diethyl ether, and 4-(trifluoromethyl)toluene < 3 3 a . 0.72 g total, -60% pure): bp 59-61 °C; (2) 33a (0.3 4 g , -95% pure): bp 101-103 °C [l i t103 129 °CD. GC Prep (column B) of fractions 1 and 2 gave 4-(trifluoromethyl)toluene (3 3 a , 0.69 g, 4.3 mmol, 13%), a clear liquid: retention time 160 s;** NMR $\frac{1}{2}$ 7.55 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, 2 x $ortho-CF_3$ Ar-H), 7.25 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, 2 x $ortho-CH_3$ $Ar-\underline{H}$, 2.50 (s, 3H, $CF_3-C_6H_4-C_{H3}$); IR (NaCl) 3040(m), **2930 < m) , 2660(w) , 1910(w), 1800<w), 1760<w), 1660(w), 1640 < m) , 1610(m), 1450(b,m), 1410(m), 1380(m), 1330(b,s), 1 24 0 (m) , 121 5 (m) , 1160(b,s), 1130<b,s). 1070(e), 1020(s),** 950(m), 820(s), 750(m), 730(b,m), 720(m) cm^{-1} ; MS 160(M⁺, 70), 159(18), 141(11), 91 **(M⁺** - (CF₃), 1001; exact mass calcd for $C_A H_7F_3$ m/e 160.0500, found 160.0469.

Reaction of Aryl(chloromethyl)diphenylsilanes (4) with Tetrabutvlammonium Fluoride.

The general reaction conditions were as follows:

To the aryl(chloromethyl)diphenylsilane (4, 0.2 mmol) **in distilled tetrahydrofuran (0.3 mL) maintained at either** 25, 0 or -20 ^oC was added, all at once, a 1.0 M solution of **tet rabutylammon ium fluor ide (TBAF) in tetrahydrofuran (0.5 mmol). The mixture was stirr ed until reaction was complete (25 °C, ~ 0 h ; 0 and -20 ° C . -2 weeks), then water (2 mL) was added followed by pentane (0 . 2 m L) . The mixture was separated and extracted with pent ane (3 x 0.2 mL) and then analyzed by GC using column B or column C with anisole (retention time: column B, 310 s; c olumn C, 250 s) as internal** s tandard.

Reactions were conducted both by adding the standard (an i s o1 e) bef ore the reac t i on s tar ted and after the reac tion was worked-up. When the standard was ad<mark>ded b</mark>efore the reaction started, the percent yield obtained from the total **of measured toluene and subst ituted toluene was between 93 and 106%. Due to mechanica1 loss in the work-up when anisole was added afterwards yie Ids were between 78 and 93%.**

Typical results*®^ are g iven below for each compound at 25 °C with column B. Chlo robenzene (~1 0 %) was detected in the reactions of (chlorome thyl)(4-ch1 oropheny1)d iphenylsi lane (4b) presumably from cleavage of <4-chlorophen y 1)d i f l u o r o p h e n y1 silane <1 10 b) with fluoride ion.

Substituted—benzenes from cleavages of other difluorosi lanes 110 were not detected. Tributylamine (an impurity in t e t r a b u t y1ammonium fluoride) was also detected in some runs. Retention times for toluenes 33 on column C were generally about 2 0 % shorter:

(C h 1o r o m e t h y 1 >C4-(trifluoromethy1)phenyl 3d iphenyls ilane (4a, 65.7 mg, 0.1743 mmol) with TBAF (0.44 mmol) gave in analysis: (1) toluene < 3 3 c , 7.0 mg, 0.076 mmol, 44%) : retention time 120 s; (2) 4-(trifluoromethyl)toluene (33a, **17.1 mg, 0.107 mmol, 61%): retention time 160 s.**

Reaction of (chloromethyl)(4-chlorophenyl)diphenylsi**lane (4b, 70.4 mg, 0.2051 mmol) with TBAF (0.51 mmol) gave: (1) toluene (3 3 c , 10.2 mg, 0.111 mmol, 54%): retention** time 120 s; (2) 4-chlorotoluene (33b, 10.4 mg, 0.082 mmol, **40%): retention time 390 s.**

(Chloromethyl)triphenylsilane (4c, 300.8 mg, 0.9738 mmol) with TBAF (3.00 mmol) yielded: (1) toluene (33c, **92.0 mg, 1.00 mmol, 103%): retention time 120 s.**

Reaction of TBAF (0.46 mmol) with (chloromethyl)(4-me**thyIpheny1Idiphenylsilane (4d, 59.9 mg, 0.1855 mmol) yielded: (1) toluene (3 3 c , 11.8 mg, 0.128 mmol, 69%):** retention time 120 s; (2) 4-methyltoluene (33d, 6.2 mg, **0.058 mmol, 31%); retention time 210 s.**

(C h 1oromethyl)(4-methoxypheny1 >d ipheny1 s ilane (4 e . 68.9 mg, 0.2033 mmol) with TBAF (0.51 mmol) resulted in: (1) toluene (3 3 c . 14.1 mg, 0.153 mmol, 75%): retention

time 120 sj (2) 4-methoxytoluene (33e . 5.3 mg, 0.043 mmol, 2 IX): retention time 500 s.

The products of reaction of (chloromethyl)[4-(dimeth**y l a m i n o)phenylIdiphenyisilane (4f. 66. 8 mg, 0.1955 mmol)** with TBAF (0.49 mmol) were analyzed under slightly different GC conditions. Due to the retention of 4-(dimethylami**no)toluene (3 3f) on the column, the column temperature was increased during each trial. Column temperature started at 105 °C and after the anisole had been measured (retention time 310 s) the column temperature was increased to 150 °C (rate -15 °C/min> until 33f had been measured. Typical** analysis: (1) toluene (33c, 13.9 mg, 0.151 mmol, 77%): retention time 120 s; (2) 4-(dimethylamino)toluene (33f, **5.5 mg, 0.041 mmol, 21%): retention time 780 s.**

Calculations of Relative Migratory Aptitudes for Substituted-phenyl Groups at Various Temperatures.

Relative migratory aptitudes were determined by division of mmoles of substituted toluenes 33 by mmoles of toluene (3 3 c) for each reaction. The migratory aptitudes determined were then statistically corrected since (chloromethyl)silanes 4 contain two phenyl groups and one substi**tuted-phenyl group. Minimum errors in migratory aptitudes are + 1 0 % . 7^,75 p or each reaction at a given temperature the standard deviation of the mean for all runs was compared with the minimum error and the larger value used as**

the error for the migratory aptitude. Corrected migratory aptitudes for the substituted phenyl groups at 25, 0 and **-20 °C are given in Table 11.**

REACTIONS OF 4 WITH METHOXIDE ION

Reaction of (Chloromethyl)triphenylsilane (4c) with Sodium **Methoxide in 1.4-Dioxane.**

Dry sodium methoxide was prepared under argon by the addition of sodium metal (0.25 g, 10.9 mmol) to anhydrous methanol (5 mL) followed by removal of the excess methanol. **The sodium methoxide prepared (assumed to be 10.9 mmol) was heated overnight at 150 °C at 30 torr.**

After the sodium methoxide had been cooled and flushed with argon, anhydrous 1,4-dioxane (12 mL) and then (chloromethyl)triphenylsilane (4c, 1.50 g, 4.86 mmol) was added all at once. The mixture was refluxed (~72 h) until no 4c **(retention time 1465 s) was detected by GC (column A).** Addition of water (10 mL), separation and extraction with **diethyl ether (2x5 m L) , drying with magnesium sulfate, filtration and concentration in** *vacuo* **yielded an analyzable solution. Six products were noted by analysis on column A. Three of the components were very minor products (retention time 330 s) which could not be isolated in quantity. The major products (retention times 765, 990 and 1215 s) were obtained in very low yields and were unidentifiable. The**

Table 11. Statistically Corrected Relative Migratory Aptitudes for Substituted-phenyl Groups at Various Temperatures.

$$
Z \leftarrow \bigodot_{\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ \text{Ph} \end{array}}^{\text{Ph}} C_{\text{H}_2 - \text{CH}_2 - \text{Cl}} \xrightarrow{\text{TBAF}} Z \leftarrow \bigodot_{\text{CH}_3} + \text{Ph-CH}_3
$$

aRelative migratory aptitude must be unity, A run was made to confirm that reaction went to completion.

products contained no OCHg NMR peaks (£ 3-4 ppm) and do not match with any of the expected major products, methoxydip h e n y l (phenylmethy 1>silane (1 0 3 c) , dimethoxydiphenylsi lane (102c), or methoxytriphenylsilane (104c) in retention time or spectra.

Authentic samples of 103c, 102c and 104c were stable to the GC conditions and work-up procedures used.

Reaction of (Chloromethyl)triphenylsilane (4c) with Sodium **Methoxide in Methanol.**

(Chloromethy 1) tripheny 1 silane (4c, 1.00 g, 3.24 mmol) **was a d d e d , all at o n c e , to a solution of sodium methoxide in methanol prepared by the addition of sodium metal (0.15 g, 6.5 mmol) to anhydrous methanol (6 m L) , The mixture was then refluxed (~55 h> until no 4_c (retention time 1465 s)** was detected by GC (column A). Addition of water (10 mL), **separation and extraction with diethyl ether (2x5 mL), followed by drying with magnesium sulfate, filtration and** concentration *in vacuo* yielded an analyzable product: (1) dimethoxydiphenylsilane (102c): retention time 350 s; (2) **me t h o x y d i p h e n y 1(p h e n y l m e t h y 1)si lane (1 0 3 c) : retention time** 1090 s. The ratio of 102c:103c was approximately 1:2. The **absolute yields were not determined.**

Reaction of (Chloromethyl)(4-methylphenyl)diphenylsilane **C4d? with Sodium Methoxide in Methanol.**

To a solution of sodium methoxide in methanol, pre**pared by the addition of sodium metal 10,29 g, 12.6 mmol)** to anhydrous methanol (8 mL), was added (chloromethyl)-(4-methylphenyl)diphenylsilane (4d, 1.00 g, 3.10 mmol) all at once. 1,4-Dioxane (1 mL) was also added to help dis**solve 4d . The mixture was refluxed (~45 h) until no 4d (retention time 1800 s) was detected by GC (column A). Water (10 mL) was added and the layers separated. Extraction of the aqueous layer with diethyl ether (2x5 mL), followed by drying with magnesium sulfate, filtration and concentration in** *vacuo* **yielded: (1) d i m e t h o x y d i p h e n y1 s i 1 ane** (102c): retention time 350 s; (2) dimethoxy(4-methylphenyl)phenylsilane (102d): retention time 410 s; (3) meth**o x y (4 - m e t h y l p h e n y 1)diphenylsilane (1 04d): retention time** 1310 s; (4) mixture (~3:2:1 by NMR) of methoxy[(4-methylphenyl)methylldiphenylsilane (101d), methoxy(4-methylphen**yl)p h e n y l (p h e n y l m e t h y l)silane (10 3 d) and an unidentified methoxy compound: retention time 1540 s. The ratios of 1 0 2 c :I 0 2 d :1 0 4 d ;10 I d :103d were approximately 5:11:5:3:2. The absolute yields were not determined.**

Reaction of (Chloromethyl)(4-chlorophenyl)diphenylsilane **(4b) with Sodium Methoxide in Methanol.**

(C h 1o r o m e t h y l)(4 - c h l o r o p h e n y 1)d i p h e n y 1silane (4b, 1.06 g, 3.10 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (1 mL) was added, all at once, to a solution of sodium methoxide in methanol [prepared with sodium metal (0.29 g, 12.6 mmol) and anhydrous methanol (8 m L) 3. The mixture was refluxed (~45 h) until no 4b (retention time 1650 s) was detected by GC (column A). The reaction mixture was worked up by the addition of water (10 mL), separation and extraction with diethyl ether (2x5 m L) , followed by drying with magnesium sulfate, f i l tration and concentration *in vacuo.* **At least six products were detected by analysis on column A. The products seemed** very similar to those noted in the reactios of 4c with **sodium methoxide in 1,4-dioxane: low yields were obtained, products contained no OCHg NMF peaks (o 3-4 ppm) and the spectra did not match those of the expected major products, [(4 - c h l o r o p h e n y l)m e t h y l D m e t h o x y d i p h e n y1 s i 1 ane (101 b) . dimethoxydiphenylsilane (1 0 2 c) . (4 - c h 1 oropheny1)methoxypheny1 -** (phenylmethyl)silane (103b), (4-chlorophenyl)dimethoxyphe**nylsilane (10 2 b) or (4 - c h l o r o p h e n y1)methoxydiphenylsilane** (104b) in retention time or spectra.

Authentic samples of 101b, 102c, 103b, 102b and 104b **were stable to the GC conditions and work-up procedures used .**

PREPARATION OF AUTHENTIC METHOXYSILANES 101-104

Preparation of Dimethoxyphenyl<phenylmethyl)sllane (106>.

Benzylmagnesium chloride in anhydrous diethyl ether was prepared by dropwise addition of benzyl chloride <40,0 ml, 44.0 g, 0.348 mol) in diethyl ether <50 mL) to a stirred suspension of magnesium turnings (10.0 g, 0.411 mol) in diethyl ether (150 m L), After addition of benzyl chloride, the mixture was refluxed for 2 h .

To a stirred solution of trimethoxyphenylsilane (105, **49.0 g, 0.247 mol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (100 mL) under argon was added dropwise** $(-2 h)$ **the previously prepared benzylmagnesium chloride (175 mL of a 1.42 M solution in diethyl ether, 0.246 mol). The reaction mixture was then refluxed until Color Test I 3 was negative (-5 h).**

After the mixture had been cooled, water (150 mL) was added slowly followed by 10% hydrochloric acid (35 mL). **The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with diethyl** ether (3 x 50 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water (75 mL) and saturated sodium chloride (75 mL). After **drying the extract over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtration and concentratn** *in vacuo* **gave an oil which was** distilled through a 12 x 110 mm column packed with stain**less steel staples to yield a clear liquid dimethoxypheny1 -** (phenylmethyl)silane (106, 34.55 g, 0.134 mol, 54%): bp **105-120 °C /0.4 torr [lit¹⁰⁶ 200-220 °C /10 torrl; NMR S**

7.50-6.90 (m, 1 O H , Ar-H) , 3.50 (s, 6 H, 2 x Si-O-CHg), 2.30 $(s, 2H, S_1-C_1C_2-C_6H_5); IR (CC1₄) 3060(m), 3010(m),$ 2940(m), 2840(m), 1590(w), 1490(m), 1450(m), 1430(m), 1400(w), 1210(m), 1180(m), 1160(m), 1120(s), 1085(s), **1 03 0 (w) , 900(m), 690(s), 640(w), 570(b), 500(s), 430<w)** cm^{-1} ; MS 258(M⁺, 3), 168(15), 167[M⁺ - (CH₂-C₆H₅), 1001, 137(25), 107(11), 91(25); exact mass calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₂Si *m/e* 258.1076, found 258.1082. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₂Si: **C, 69.77; H, 6.98. Found: C, 69.98; H, 6.89.**

Preparation of Methoxydiphenyl(phenylmethyl)silane (103c).

A solution of phenylmagnesium bromide in anhydrous diethyl ether, prepared by the dropwise addition of bromobenzene (98c, 5.3 ml, 7.9 g, 50 mmol) in diethyl ether **(15 mL) to a stirred suspension of magnesium turnings (1.44** g_i , 60.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 mL) , was added $(2 h)$, under argon, to dimethoxyphenyl(phenylmethyl)silane (106, 10.0 g, 38.8 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (10 mL). **Color Test I®® indicated that reaction was complete after ref lux of ~90 h .**

Water (15 mL) was slowly added after cooling the mixture, followed by acidification with 10% hydrochloric acid **(5 m L) . The combined organics obtained from separation and extraction with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL) were washed with water (7 mL) and saturated sodium chloride (7 mL) and then dried over anhydrous magnes ium sulfate. The oil obtained**

after filtration and concentration *in vacuo* was distilled **through a short-path distillation apparatus containing Kurly-Kate to yield methoxydipheny1<phenyImethyl)s1 1 ane (1 0 3 c . 3.40 g, 11.2 mmol,** *29%)***, a clear liquid: bp 175-170 ° C /1.5 torr Clit107 159-161 °C/0.28 torrl; N M R S 7.55-6.80** (m, 15H, Ar-H), 3.45 (s, 3H, Si-O-CH₃), 2.60 (s, 2H, $Si-CH_2- C_6H_5$; IR (CC1₄) 3060(m), 3040(m), 3010(m), **2 9 1 5 (m > , 2630(m) , 1590(m), 1490<m), 1450(m), 1425(m), 140 0 < w), 1330 < w) , 1300(w) , 1205(m). 1160<m). 1110(s), 1080(s), 1 0 3 0 (m) , lOOO(w), 900(m), 690(s), 6 4 0 (w) , 5 7 0 (m),** $505(s)$, $480(m)$, $450(m)$, $385(w)$ cm^{-1} ; MS $304(M^+, 4)$, **214(21), 213 CM⁺ - (CH₂ -C₆H₅), 1001, 183(32); exact mass calcd for C 2 q H 2 qOS1** *m/e* **304.1284, found 304.1283.**

General Procedure for the Preparation of Arylmethoxyphenyl- (p h e n y I m e t h y l)s i1 anes.

The aryllithium reagent (13 mmol in diethyl ether/hex**ane prepared by Method B) was added (~2 h) to a stirred** s olution under argon of dimethoxyphenyl(phenylmethyl)silane (106, 3.35 g, 13 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (10 mL). **The mixture was refluxed until reaction was complete (~90 h) .**

After cooling the mixture, water (15 mL) was added slowly followed by 1 OX hydrochloric acid (5 mL) . The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether <3 x 10 mL) after separation. Afterwards, the combined organic layers **were washed with water (7 mL) and saturated sodium chloride (7 mL) and dried over magnesium sulfate followed byfiltration and concentration** *in vacuo.* **Distillation through a short-path apparatus containing Kurly-Kate gave the following previously unreported compounds:**

(4-C'hl orophenvl)methoxyphenvl (pheny Imethyl) s i 1 ane $(103b)$: yield 22%; bp 178-180 $^{\circ}$ C/0.5 torr; NMR δ $7.50-6.70$ (m, 14H, Ar-H), 3.35 (s, 3H, Si-O-CH₃), 2.50 (s, $2H$, $Si-CH_2-C_6H_5$; IR (CCl_4) 3060(m), 3020(m), 2930(m), 2830(w), 1580(w), 1480(w), 1450(w), 1430(w), 1400(w), 1380(w), 1210(b,m), 1160(m), 1110(m), 1085(s), 1020(m), **900(w) , 700 < s), 570 < w) , 530(a), 510(s), 440(ra) . 420(m),** $380(w)$ cm⁻¹; MS $338(M^+, 3)$, 249(36), 248(19), 247[M⁺ -**<CH2 -C6 H 5), 1003, 219(13), 217(26); exact mass calcd for C20H l935ciOSl** *m/e* **330-0895, found 338.0877.**

Methoxy(4-methylphenyl)phenyl(phenylmethyl)silane **(1 0 3 d) : yield 55%; bp 145-146 °C/0.4 torr; NMR S** $7.65-6.85$ (m, $14H$, $Ar-\underline{H}$), 3.50 (s, $3H$, $Si-O-C\underline{H}_3$), 2.65 (s, **2H, S₁-C_H₂**-C₆H₅), 2.40 (s, 3H, S₁-C₆H₄-CH₃); IR (CCl₄) **3 060(m) , 3020(m), 2920(m), 2830(w), 1 9 5 0 (w) , 1930(w), 1900(w) , 1875(w), 1025(w) , 1810(w), 1730(w), 1640(w), 1590(m), 1490(m), 1450(m), 1430(m), 1400(w), 1390(m), 1310(w) , 1 2 6 0 (w) , 1210(m >, 1180(b,m), 1160(a), lllO(b.s), 1065(b ,s), 1030(m) , 900(m), 690(s), 670(m), 6 1 0 (m) , 600(w),** $570(m)$, $510(s)$, $480(b,m)$, $440(m)$, $380(w)$ cm^{-1} ; MS $318(M^{+})$ 2), 228(21), 227IM⁺ - (CH₂-C₆H₅), 1001, 197(27), 193(11),

137<13); exact mass calcd for C 2 1 H 2 2 O S 1 *m/s* **318.1441, found 318.1477.**

Preparation of Dimethoxvdiphenylsilane (102c).

To a stirred solution under argon of trimethoxyphenyl**si lane (105 . 110 m L , lj.7g, 0.590 mol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (100 mL) was added dropwise (~6 h) phenylmagnesium bromide (345 mL of a 1.00 M solution in diethyl ether, 0.621 mol). When Color Test I®® was negative (-15 h) reaction was complete.**

Water (150 mL) and 10% hydrochloric acid (35 mL) were **added after cooling the mixture. Separation, extraction** with diethyl ether (3 x 50 mL), washing with water (75 mL) and saturated sodium chloride (75 mL), drying with magne**sium sulfate followed by filtration and concentration** *in vacuo* **gave an oil which was distilled through a 1 2 x 110 mm** column packed with stainless steel staples to yield dime**thoxydiphenylsilane (1 0 2 c . 94.04 g, 0.385 mol, 65%), a clear liquid: bp 128-136 °C/0.6 torr Clit100 102-104 °C/0.1** torl ; NMR δ 7.75-7.20 (m, 10H, 2 \times S₁-C₆H₅), 3.60 (s, 6H, $2 \times \text{Si-O-CH}_3$; IR (CC1₄) 3060(m), 3040(m), 3000(w), **2 9 1 5 (m) , 2 6 3 0 (m) , 1590(w), 1480(w), 1425(m), 1190(w), 1125(6), 1115(6), 1080(s). 700(8), 660(w>, 525(s), 480(w)** cm^{-1} ; MS 244(M⁺, 23), 168(14), 167 CM^+ - (C₆H₅), 1003, **166(34), 154(28), 137(37), 107(23), 91(27); exact mass calcd for C^4 H|g0 2 Si** *m/s* **244.0920, found 244.0913.**

General Procedure for the Preparation of AryIdimethoxy**phenyls i l a n e s .**

To a stirred solution under argon of trimethoxyphenylsilane (105, 2.61 g, 13 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether **(10 mL) was added dropwise (~2 h> arylmagnesium bromide (13 mmol in diethyl ether). The mixture was refluxed until Color Test I®® was negative (~90 h>.**

After cooling the mixture, water (15 mL) was added slowly followed by 10% hydrochloric acid (5 mL). The **layers were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with** diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The combined organics were **washed with water (7 mL) and saturated sodium chloride (7 mL) and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration and concentration** *in vacuo,* **followed by distillation through a short-path apparatus containing Kurly-Kate gave the following previously unreported silanes:**

(4 - C h l o r o p h e n y 1)d i m e t h oxypheny1s ilane (1 0 2 b) : yield 42%; bp 111-114 $^{\circ}$ C/0.2 torr; NMR δ 7.70-7.20 (m, 9H, $S_i-Ar-\underline{H}$, 3.60 (s, 6H, 2 x S_i-O-C \underline{H}_3); IR (CC1₄) 3070(w), **3040(w) , 2 9 2 0 (m) , 2640(m), 1900(w), 1640<w), 1570(b,w), 1400(m > , 1 4 2 5 (m) , 1380(m), 1 1 9 0(h), 1120(a), 1110(s), 1 0 8 0 (s) f 101 5 (m) , 700(m), 680(w), 560(m), 505(m), 470(w), 450(b,w), 400(w)** cm^{-1} ; MS 280(13), 278(M^+ , 35), 203(19), **202(12), 201(48), 200(13), 188(13), 171(16), 168(16),** 167 **CM**⁺ - (C₆H₄-C1), 1003, 166(32), 141(11), 137(23),

107(16), 91(23), 59(28), 40(11); exact mass calcd for $C_{1.4}H_{15}$ ³⁵ClO₂Si m/e 278.0530, found 278.0513.

Dimethoxy(4-methylphenyl)phenylsilane (102d): yield **36%; bp 129-133 °C/2.0 torr; N MR S 7.75-7.10 (m, 9H,** $Si-Ar-\underline{H}$, 3.65 (s, 6H, 2 x $Si-O-C\underline{H}_3$), 2.40 (s, 3H, $Si-C_RH_A-CH_3$; IR (CC1₄) 3070(w), 3030(b,w), 2980(b,w), **2 9 2 0 (m) t 2640(m) , 1590(b,w), 1430(m), 1390(w), 1190(m), 1120(s), 1110(s), 1080(s), 1015(m) , 700(m), 610(w). 515(m),** $490(w)$, $420(w)$ cm⁻¹; MS 259(13), 258(M⁺, 58), 182(16). $181(M^+ - (C_R H_S), 100]$, 180(10), 168(37), 166(51), 165(14), **153(21), 151(32), 137(19), 121(19), 107(17), 105(32), 91(20), 59(30); exact mass calcd for CjgHjgOgSi** *m/e* **258.1076, found 258.1097.**

General Procedure for the Preparation of (Arylmethyl)methoxydipheny1 silanes.

(Ary imethyl)magne s ium chloride (13 mmol in diethyl ether) was added dropwise (-*2 h) to a stirred solution under argon of dimethoxydiphenylsilane (102c, 2.61 g, 13 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (10 mL). Refluxing of the **mixture was conducted until Color Test j9® was negative (~90 h) .**

Water (15 mL) was added slowly after cooling the mixture followed by addition of 10% hydrochloric acid (5 mL). Separation, extraction with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL), **washing with water (7 mL) and saturated sodium chloride (7** **mL) and drying over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, followed** by filtration and concentration *in vacuo* yielded an oil **which was distilled through a short-path distillation apparatus containing Kurly-Kate to give the following previously unreported compounds:**

[(4-Chlorophenyl)methyllmethoxydiphenylsilane (101b): **yield 38%;** bp 167-172 $^{\circ}$ C/0.2 torr; NMR δ 7.65-7.10 $\langle m, m \rangle$ 10H, $2 \times Si - C_6H_5$, 7.00 (d, $J = B Hz$, $2H$, $2 \times ortho - C1$ $Ar-\underline{H}$, 6.75 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, 2 x *ortho*-CH₂ $Ar-\underline{H}$), 3.45 (s, **3H, Si-O-CH₃), 2.50 (s, 2H, Si-CH₂** $-C_B H_A - C_1$); IR (CCl₄) **3060(m) , 3040(m >, 3 0 0 0 (b , w > , 2920 C m) . 2820(w), 1 9 6 0 < w) . 1580(w) , 1460 < m) , 1430(m), 1400<w>, 1300<w), 1210Cb,m), 115 0 (m > , 1110(s>, 1085(b ,s), 1020<m), 860(w>, 700(s>,** 660 (m) , 630 (w) , 520 (m) , 480 (m) , 440 (w) , 380 (m) cm⁻¹; MS **338(M⁺, 2), 214(20), 213[M⁺ - (CH₂-C₆H₄-C1), 1001, 183(31),** $105(11)$; exact mass calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}$ ³⁵ClOSi m/e 338.0895, **found 338.0659.**

Methoxy[(4-methylphenyl)methylJdiphenylsilane (101d): **yield 34%; bp 156-160 °C/0.3 torr; NMR & 7.75-7.15 (m,** 10H, $2 \times S_i - C_6H_5$, 7.85 (bs, 4H, $CH_2-C_6H_4-CH_3$), 3.50 (s, **3H**, $\text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{CH}_3$ ³, 2.60 **(s, 2H,** $\text{Si}-\text{CH}_2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{CH}_3$ **), 2.40 (s, 3H**, CH_2 $-C_6H_4$ $-C_4H_3$); IR (CC1₄) 3060(m), 3040(m), 3020(m), **300 0 (m). 2930(b ,m), 2830(m). 1950(w), 1880(w), 1820(w), 1765(w) , 1650(w) , 1610(w), 1590(w), 1510(m), 1460(w), 1450(w), 1430(s >, 1400(w) , 1380(m>, 1320(w), 1300(w). 1260(w > , 1210(m), 1180(b,m), 1160(m). lllO(b.s), 1085(b,s),**

103 0(w > , 9 3 5 (m) , 700(s), 640(w) , 600(w>, 540(s), 490(b,s), $450(m)$, $400(w)$ cm⁻¹; MS $318(M⁺$, 5), 214(20), 213[M⁺ -**(C H g ~ C g H ^ - C H3), 1003, 103(27); exact mass calcd for C 21H 220Si** *a/e* **318.1441, found 318.1464.**

Preparation of Methoxytriphenylsilane (104c).

To a stirred solution under argon of dimethoxydiphenylsilane (102c, 10.0 g, 40.9 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl **ether (10 mL) was added dropwise < ~ 2 h) phenylmagnesium bromide (21 mL of a 2.00 M solution in diethyl ether, 42.0 mmol). After refluxing the mixture until completion of the** reaction (~135 h), the mixture was cooled, diluted with **water (15 mL) and acidified with 10% hydrochloric acid (5 m L) . The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL) after separation. The combined ethereal layers were washed with water (7 mL) and saturated sodium chloride (7 m L) , dried over magnesium sulfate and then filtered and** concentrated *in vacuo* to yield an oil which was crystal**lized with absolute ethanol then recrystallized twice with absolute ethanol to yield methoxytripheny1silane (1 04c. 2.93 g, 10.1 mmol, 25%) as white plates: mp 52-54 °C** $[Li\ t^{109}$ 52-55 °C1; NMR δ 7.70-7.25 (m, 15H, 3 x Si-C₆H₅), 3.65 (s, 3H, $Si-O-CH_3$); IR (CC1_A) 3060(m), 3040(m), **3 010(b ,m) , 2930(m) , 2830(m), 195 0 (w) , 1 6 8 5 < b , w) , 1620(w) , 165 0(w > , 1 5 9 0 (w) , 1480(w), 1425(s), 1330(w), 1300(w), 125 0(w) , 1180(a), 1120(s), 1110(m), 1090(s), 1030(w),**

 $1000(w)$, $700(s)$, $660(w)$, $520(m)$, $510(s)$, $480(w)$, $430(w)$ cm^{-1} ; MS 291(15), 290(M⁺, 56), 214(20), 213[M⁺ - (C_GH_E), **1003, 163(45), 161(17), 136(49), 105(14), 59(12); exact mass calcd for CjgHjgOSi** *a /&* **290.1127, found 290.1145.**

General Procedure for the Preparation of Arylmethoxvdiphonyls i l a n e s .

To a stirred solution under argon of dimethoxydiphenylsilane (1 0 2 c , 3.2 g, 13 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (10 mL) was added dropwise (45 min) the aryllithium rea**gent (13 mmol) in either diethyl ether or diethyl ether/ hexanes. Additions were done at room temperature for aryl lithiums prepared by Method A and then refluxed until** Color Test I⁹⁸ was negative (~2 h). Additions were done at -40 ^oC for aryllithiums prepared by Method B, followed by **warming to room temperature and stirring until Color Test I®® was negative (~1 h).**

Water (15 mL) was then added slowly followed by 10% hydrochloric acid (5 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The combined **organic layers were washed with water (7 mL) and saturated** sodium chloride (7 mL) and then dried over anhydrous mag**nesium sulfate.** Filtration and concentration *in vacuo* **yielded the following previously unreported compounds:**

(4-Chlorophenyl)methoxydiphenylsilane (104b) was prepared by Method B and was distilled through a short-path

distillation apparatus packed with Kurly-Kate to obtain 104b as a clear liquid: yield 24%; bp 167-169 °C / 0 . 9 $\texttt{torr:}$ NMR δ 7.50-7.15 (m, 14H, Si-Ar-H), 3.60 (s, 3H, $Si-O-C_{H_3}$); IR (CC1₄) 3060(m), 3020(m), 3000(b,w) **2930(b ,m >, 2830(m), 1950(w), 1905<w), 1865(w), 1820(w), 165 0 (w > . 1575(b , m) , 1480(m), 1430<m), I380(m>, 1240(m>, 1180(m) , 1 120(m), 1 0 8 5 (s), 1015(m), 860<m), 7 0 0 (s), 6 8 0 (w > ,** $555(m)$, $520(m)$, $470(w)$, $410(m)$ cm^{-1} ; MS $326(38)$, $325(23)$, **324(M⁺, 86), 249(38), 248(20), 247[M⁺ - (C₆H₅), 100], 219(28), 217(48) ,215(15) , 214(19), 213(89), 183(30), 181(17), 172(12), 170(34), 152(10), 136(30), 105(24), 91(20), 69(18), 63(14), 59(40); exact mass calcd for C 19H 1735ciOSi** *m/e* **324.0738, found 324.0736.**

Methoxy(4-methylphenyl)diphenylsilane (104d) was **prepared by Method A and was crystallized at 0 °C with absolute ethanol and recrystallized twice with absolute ethanol at -20 °C to obtain 104d as white plates: yield 12%;** mp $48-50$ ^OC; NMR δ 7.65-6.95 (m, 14H, Si-Ar-H), 3.60 $(s, 3H, Si - O - CH₃)$, 2.40 (s, 3H, $Si - C₆H₄ - CH₃$); IR (CC1₄) **3060(w), 3 020(w) , 3000(w), 2930(w), 2B30(w), 1590(w), 1430(m), 1390(w), 1310(w), 1260(w), 1180(b,m>, 1110(8),** 1085(b,s), 695(s), 685(m), 610(m), 510(s), 500(b,m), 430(w) cm^{-1} ; MS 305(13), 304(M⁺, 51), 228(19), 227[M⁺ - (C₆H₅), **100), 213(24), 197(44). 195(11), 183(18), 150(21), 136(39), 105(25), 59(27); exact mass calcd for C 2 q H2 qOS1** *m/e* **304.1284, found 304.1261.**

REFERENCES

- 1. (a) Eaborn, C.; Jeffrey, J. C. *Chem. Ind. (London)* **1 9 5 5 . 1041-1042. (b) Eaborn, C.; Jeffrey, J. C.** *J . Chem. Soc.* 1957, 137-144.
- 2. Sans, E. A.; Shechter, H. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1985, 26, **1119-1122.**
- 3. (a) Damrauer, R.; Danahey, S. E.; Yost, V. E. J. Am. *Chem. Soc.* **1 9 8 4 .** *106,* **7633-7634. (b) Damrauer, R . ; Yost, V. E.; Danahey, S. E.; O'Connell. B. K.** *Qrganometal1ics* **1985 .** *4,* **1779-1784.**
- **4. Sans, E. A. Ph.D. Dissertation, The Ohio State University: Columbus, Ohio, 1981.**
- **5. Corey, J. Y.; Corey, E. R.; Chang, V. H. T.; Hauser, M. A.; Leiber, M. A.; Reinsel, T. E.; Riva, M. E.** *Organometal1ics* **1 9 8 4 .** *3,* **1051-1060.**
- **6 . Friedel, C . ; Crafts, I . M.** *C.R. H e b d . Seances Acad. Sci.* **1 8 6 3 .** *56,* **590.**
- **7. Kipping, F. S.** *P r o c . R. Soc. London, S e r . A* **1 9 3 7 ,** *159,* **139-148.**
- **8 . Recent Reviews: <a> Weber, W. P. "Silicon Reagents** for Organic Synthesis"; Springer-Verlag: New York, **1983. (b) Colvin, E. W. "Silicon in Organic** Synthesis"; Butterworth: Boston, 1981.
- 9. "Organosilicon and Bioorganosilicon Chemistry"; Sakurai, H., Ed.; Ellis Norwood: Chichester, 1985.
- **10. Annual Survey for the year: Ca) 1973: Cunico, R. F.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* 1974, 83, 65-154. (b) 1974: **Cunico, R. F.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 6 .** *109,* **1-111. (c) 1975: Corey, J. Y.,** *J. Organometal. Chem. L i b r .* **1 9 7 7 .** *4,* **1-118. (d) 1976: Corey, J. Y.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 8 .** *6,* **1-121. <d) 1977: Corey, J. Y.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 9 .** *8,* **1-132. <e) 1978: Corey, J. Y.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 0 ■** *lO,* **1-149. t f) 1979: Corey, J. Y.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 1 .** *11,* **1-146. (g) 1980: Corey, J. Y.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 2 .** *13,* **1-125. (h) 1961: Corey, J. Y.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 4 .** *14,* **1-132. (i> 1982: Corey, J. Y.** *Ibid.*
1 9 8 4 . *14,* **133-265. (j) 1983: Corey, J . Y.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 5 .** *17,* **163-309.**

- $11.$ **Annual Survey for the year: (a) 1973: Jones, P. F.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 7 4 .** *83.* **213-264. (b) 1974:** Jones, P. R. *Ibid.* 1976, 109, 113-178. (c) 1975: **Jones, P. R.** *J. Organometal. Chem. L i b r .* **1 9 7 7 .** *4,* **203-262. < d > Jones, P. R.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 8 .** *6,* **203-249. (e) Jones. P. R.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 9 .** *8,* **203-262. (f) 1978: Yoder, C. H.** *Ibid.* **1 9 6 0 .** *10.* **221-276. <g> 1979: Yoder, C. H.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 1 .** *11,* **207-265. (h) 1980: Yoder, C. H.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 2 .** *13,* **337-385.**
- **12** . Annual Survey for the year: (a) 1973: Washburne, S. **S.** *J. Organometal***.** *Chem.* **1 9 7 4 .** *83,* **155-211. (b) 197 4 Washburne, S. S.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 6 .** *1 2 3***, 1-73. (c) 1975: Washburne, S. S.** *J. Organometal. Chem. Libr.* **1 9 7 7 ,** *4,* **263-265. < d) 1976: Not Published. (e) 1977: Rubottom, G . M.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 9 .** *8,* **263-377. < f) 1978: Rubottom, G. H.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 0 .** *10,* **277-424. (g) 1979: Rubottom, G. M.** *Ibid.* **1 9 8 1 ■** *11,* **267-414. (h) 1980: Rubottom, G. M.** *Ibid.* **1982.** *13,* **127-269.**
- **13 . Annual Survey for the year: (a) 1973: Steward, 0. W.** *J. Organometal***.** *Chem.* **197 4 .** *83,* **265-308. (b) 1974:** Steward, O. W. *Ibid.* 1976, 109, 179-239. (c) 1975: Steward, O. W. *J. Organometal. Chem. Libr.* 1977, 4, **119-202. <d) 1976 Car 11e d g e , F. K .** *Ibid.* **1978 .** *6,* **123-201 . (e) 1977 Car t l e d g e , F. K.** *Ibi d .* **1979.** *8,* 133-202. (f) 1978: Cartledge, F. K. *Ibid.* 1980, 10, **151-220. (g) 1979 Cartledge, F. K .** *Ibi d .* **1981** . *II ,* **149-205. < h) 1980 Car 1 1e d g e , F. K.** *Ibid.* **1982 .** *13,* **271-335. (i) 1981 Car 1 1e d g e , F. K .** *Ibid.* **1984.** *14,* **409-505.**
- **14 . Annual Survey for the year: (a) 1980: Larson, G. L.** *J. Organometal. Chem. Libr.* **1 9 8 2 .** *13,* **367-486. (b) 1981: Larson, G. L.** *Ibid.* **1 9 6 4 .** *14,* **267-408. (c> 1982: Larson, G. L.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 8 4 .** *274,* **29-210. (a) 1983: Larson, G. L.** *J. Organometal***.** *Chem. Libr.* **1 9 8 5 .** *17,* **1-162.**
- **15 . "Carbon-Functional Organosi1icon Compounds"; Chvalovsky, V. and Bellama, J. M-, Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1984.**
- **16 . T a c k e , R.; W a n n a g a t , U.** *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1 9 7 9 ,** *84,* **1-75.**
- **17. For a recent review of synthetic applications of** (chloromethyl)trimethylsilane (7) see: Anderson, R. *Synthesis* 1985, 717-734.
- 10 **Whitmore. F. C.; Sommer. L. H.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1946.** *63,* **401-404.**
- **19** Eaborn, C. "Organosilicon Compounds"; Academic Press: New York, 1960; p. 490.
- **20 March, J. "Advanced Organic Chemistry", 2nd Ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1977; p. 24.**
- **21 Speier, J. L.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 4 8 .** *70,* **4142-4143.**
- **22** Speier, J. L.; Daubert, B. F.; McGregor, R. R. J. Am. *Chem. S o c .* **1 9 4 8 .** *70,* **1117-1119.**
- **23 Noll, J. E.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 5 5 ,** *77,* **3149.**
- **24** Traven', V. F.; German, M. I.; Eismont, M. Y.; Kostyuchenco, E. E.; Stepanov, B. I. J. Gen. Chem. *USSR* **1 9 7 8 .** *48,* **2027-2032.**
- **25 Noll, J. E.; Speier, J. L.; Daubert, B. F.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 5 1 .** *73,* **3867-3071.**
- **26 Tsuge, 0.; Kanemasa, S.; Matsuda, K.** *Chem. Lett.* **1963. 1131-1134.**
- **27 Sommer, L. H.; Rockett, J.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 5 1 .** *73,* **5130-5134.**
- **20 Hase, T. A.; Lahtinen, L.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1982,** *240,* **9-16.**
- **29 Sachdev, K.; Sachdev, H. S.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976. 4223-4226.**
- **30 Menard, P. R. Ph.D. Dissertation, The Ohio State University: Columbus, Ohio, 1979.**
- **31 D'yakonov, I. A.; Repinskaya, I. B.; Golodnikov, G. V.** *J . G e n . C h e m***.** *USSR* **196 5 ,** *35 ,* **20 3.**
- **32** Kreeger, R. L. Ph.D. Dissertation, The Ohio State **University: Columbus, Ohio, 1976.**
- **33 Burford, C.j Cooke, F.; Roy, G.; Magnus, P.** *Tetrahedron* **1983.** *39,* **867-876.**
- **34 Olofson, F. A . ; Hoskin, D. H . ; Lotts, K. D.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1 9 7 8 , 1677-1680.**
- **35. Skell, P. S.; Goldstein, E. J,** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964.** *86,* **1442.**
- **36. Connolly, J. W. ; Urry, G.** *J. O r g . Chem.* **1 9 6 4 .** *29,* **619-623.**
- **37. K r e e g e r , F. L.; S h e c h t e r , H.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975, 2061-2064.**
- **36. <a) Haszeldine, R. N.; Scott, D. L.; Tipping, A. E.** *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1 9 7 4 . 1440-1443. (b) Chapman, O. L.; Chang. C .- C .; Kolc, J.; Jung, M. E. ;** Lowe, J. A.; Barton, T. J.; Tumey, M. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 7 6 .** *98,* **7844-7646. <c) Chedekel, M. R.; Skoglund, M.; Kreeger, R. L.; Shechter, H.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 7 6 .** *98,* **7646-7846.**
- **39. Reference 20, p. 18.**
- **40. Roedel, G. F.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 4 9 . 71, 2 6 9 272.**
- **41. These were the first examples of** *nucleophi1ic* **induced r e a r r a n g e m e n t s . Rearrangements had been previously** known to be induced by electrophiles: (a) Whitmore, **F. C.; Sommer, L. H.; Gold, J.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947.** *69,* **1976-1977. Eaborn, C.; Bott, F. W. In '"Organometal 1 ic Compounds of the Group IV Elements";** MacDiarmid, A. G., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1968; Vol.1, Part 1, pp. 443-448. (c) Brook, A. G.; **Bassindale, A. R. In "Rearrangements in Ground and Excited States"; de Mayo, P., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, I960; Vol. 2, pp. 149-227.**
- 42. See for example: (a) O'Donnell, K.; Bacon, R.; **Chellappa, K. L.; Schowen, R. L.; Lee, J. K.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **197 2 ,** *94,* **2500-2505. (b) Eaborn, C,; Jenkins, I. D.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 7 4 .** *69,* 185-192. (c) Chauviere, G.; Corriu, R. J. P.; Henner. **B. J. L.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 5 .** *86,* **C1-C2. (d) Corriu. R. J. P.; Henner B. J. L.** *Ibid.* **1 9 7 5 .** *102,* **407-416. (e) Kwart, H.; King, K. "d-Orbitals in the Chemistry of Silicon,** Phosphorus and Sulfur"; Springer-Verlag: New York, **1977; pp. 149-163. <f> Corriu, F. J. P.; Guerin, C.** *J. Organometal, Chem.* **1 9 8 0 .** *198,* **231-320.**
- **43. Kreeger, R. L.; Menard, P. R.; Sans, E. A.; Shechter, H.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1 9 8 0 .** *26,* **1115-1118.**
- **44. Hudrlik, P. F.; Feasley, F.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1972. 1781-1784 have found that the reaction of 1-butoxides with acetoxy-***t***-butyIdimethylsilane in glyme proceeded**

either by displacement at the silicon or by reaction at the carbonyl depending on the cation. Silicon/Carbon attack ratios were: Li, 3.5/96.5; Na. **26/74; K, 64/36.**

- **45 . Tacke, R.; Lange, H.; Attar-Bashi, M. T.** *Justus* Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1982, 1946-1951.
- **46.** Schmidbaur, H.; Zimmer-Gasser, B. Angew. Chem., Int. *Ed. Engl.* **1977 .** *16,* **639-640.**
- **47 .** Sommer, L. H.; Barie, W. P., Jr.; Weyenberg, D. P. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1959.** *61,* **251.**
- **48 .** (a) Kumada, M.; Ishikawa, M. *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 6 4 , /, 411-419. (b) Kumada, M.; Ishikawa, M.; Taraao, K.** *Ibid.* **1 9 6 6 .** *5,* **226-232.**
- **49 .** (a) Kumada, M.; Tamao, K.; Ishikawa, M.; Matsuno, M. *J. C h e m . S o c ., Chem. Commun.* **1 9 6 8 , 614-615. (b) Tamao, K . ; Kumada, M.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 7 1 .** *30,* **349-360.**
- **50 . Petrov, K. A.; Chauzov, V. A.; Pastukhova, I. V.; Pazhitnova, N. V.** *J. Gen. Chem. USSR* **1 9 7 7 .** *46,* **1261-1264.**
- **51 . Schott, G.; Werner, H.** *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1964.** *3 3 1***, 51-58.**
- **52 . Hopper, S. P.; Tremeiling, M. J.; Ginsberg, R. J.; Mendelowitz, P. C.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 7 7 ,** *134,* **173-160.**
- **53 . Hopper, S. P.; Tremeiling, M. J.; Goldman, E. W.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 8 0 .** *190,* **2 47-256.**
- **54 . <a) Brook, A. G.** *J. O r g . Chem.* **1 9 6 0 ,** *25,* **1072. (b)** Brook, A. G.; Schwartz, N. V. *Ibid.* 1962, 27, **2311-2315.**
- 55 . Sato, Y.; Toyo'oka, T.; Aoyama, T.; Shirai, H. J. Org. *Chem.* **1 9 7 6 .** *41,* **3559-3564.**
- **56 . Peddle, G. J. D.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 6 7 .** *9,* **171-174.**
- **57 . Voronkov, M. G . ; Kirpichenko, S. V . ; Keiko, V. V; Pestunovich, V. A.; Tsetlina, E. 0.; Khvalovskii, V.; Vchelak, Y.** *Bull. Acad. S c i . USSR* **1975 . 1932-1934.**
- **58. (a) Corey, J. Y.; Chang, V. H. T.** *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1 9 7 9 .** *174,* **C15-C17. (b) Chang, V. H.** T.; **Corey, J. Y.** *Ibid,* **1 9 8 0 .** *190,* **217-227. (c) Corey,** *J.* **Y.;** Janoski, H. M.; Vermount, D. F.; Paton, J. P.; Chang, **V. H. T.** *Ibid.* **1 9 6 0 .** *194,* **15-22. <d> Corey,** *J .* **Y.;** Francis, E. A.; Bursten, M. S.; Kunz, J. C. *Ibid*. **1 9 6 1 .** *210,* **149-161. (e) Corey, J. Y.; Chang, V. H. T.** *Organometal1ics* **1 9 8 2 ,** *1,* **645-649.**
- **59. (a) Cram, D, J. "Fundamentals of Carbanion Chemistry"; Academic Press: New York, 1965: pp. 238-243. (b) For a review of benzilic acid rearrangements see: Selman, S.; Eastham,** *J.* **F.** *Q. R e v . , Chem. Soc.* **1 9 6 1 .** *14.* **221-235 .**
- **60. Calculations were made on an Apple** *lie* **computer with a** program written in BASIC. Regression analysis was **accomplished using modifications of two linear regression programs from: "Science and Engineering Programs, Apple II Edition"; Heilborn, J., Ed.; McGraw-Hill: Berkely, 1981; pp. 16-18 and 26-31.**
- **61. Correlation coefficients are usually appraised as follows: 0.99 to 1.00 (Excellent); 0.95 to 0.99 (Satisfactory); 0.90 to 0.95 (Fair); < 0.90 (Poor). See: Shorter, J. "Correlation Analysis in Organic Chemistry: An Introduction to Linear Free-Energy Relationships"; Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1973; p. 105 .**
- 62. Linear regression⁶⁰ of the plots of log (alkyl/methyl) versus the substituent constants used^{3a} yielded **average deviations in the calculated line ranging from _+ 0.23 (relat i ve error: +_ 5 2***X* **) to _+ 0.30 (relat i ve error: + 6 8 %).**
- **63. (a) Reference 19, Chapter 2, pp. 10-85. (b) Reference 41b, pp. 106-350.**
- **64. Motsarev, G. V. ; Rozenberg, V. R.** *J* **.** *Appl. Chem. USSR* **1 9 6 4 .** *37.* **395-398.**
- **65. B e l y a k o v a , Z . V . ; Golubt s o v , S . A .** *J. G e n***.** *C h e m . USSR* **1 9 6 1 .** *31,* **2966-2969.**
- 66. Wakefield, B. J. "The Chemistry of Organolithium **Compounds'; Pergamon Press: New York, 1974, pp. 22-23 .**
- **67. Gilman, H . ; L a n g h a m , W . ; Jacoby, A. L.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 3 9 .** *61,* **106-109.**
- **6 8 . Smetankina, N. P . ; Miryan, N. I .** *J. G e n . Chem. USSR* **1 9 6 7 .** *37,* **1309-1311.**
- **69. (a) Reference 19, pp/ 143-145. <b) Reference 41b, pp. 402-407.**
- **70. Jones, R. A. "An Introduction to Gas-Liquid Chromatography"; Academic Press, New York, 1970; pp. 77-81.**
- **71. Reference 19. pp. 288-300.**
- **72. Reference 41b, pp. 113-115.**
- **73. S i 1 icon-benzy1 bonds cleave with fluoride ion. See** for example: Ricci, A.; Degl'Innocenti, A.; Fiorenza, **M.; T a d d e i , M.; Spartera, M. A.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1 9 8 2 ,** *23,* **5 77-578, Commercial 1.0 M TBAF in THF from the Aldrich Chemical Company was used in these reactions and is reported to contain < 5% water. Due to the presence of water in the reaction mixtures, the** benzyl anions are protonated to yield toluenes 33.
- **74. (a) The relative error can be approximated by using** derivatives.⁷⁵ Let A be the amount of 33, B the amount of 33c and C the Migratory Aptitude = A/B. Let the errors in **A, B and C be represented by dA, dB an**d **dC, respectively. Taking d e r i v a t i v e s:7 ^*3 dC/C =** $d(A/B)/(A/B) = dA/A + dB/B = (\pm 5\%) + (\pm 5\%) = \pm 10\%$. **(b) Thomas, G. B . , Jr. "Calculus and Analytical** Geometry", Alternate Ed.; Addison-Wesley: Reading, **MA, 1972; p. 72.**
- **75. Young, H. D. "Statistical Treatment of Experimental Data"; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1962; pp. 2-8.**
- **76. (a) Hammett, L, P. "Physical Organic Chemistry", 2nd e d .; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1970; Chapter 11, pp. 347-390. For reviews see: (b) Exner, 0. In "Advances** in Linear Free Energy Relationships"; Chapman, N. B. **and Shorter. J., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1972;** Chapter, pp. 1-69. (c) Jaffe, H. H. *Chem. Rev.* 1953, *53,* **191-261.**
- *77.* **Gilman, H.; Dunn, G. E.** *J* **.** *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 5 1 ,** *73,* **3404-3407.**
- **76. Schott, G.; H a r z d o r f , C.** *Z. Anorg. A l l g***.** *Chem.* **1960.** *306,* **180-190.**
- **79. H e t f l e j s , J.; Mares, F.; Chvalovsky, V.** *Collect. Czech. Chem. Common.* **1 9 6 5 ,** *30,* **1643-1653.**
- **00. Taft, R. W. , Jr.; Ehrenson, S.; Lewis, I. C.; Glick, R. E.** *J . Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 5 9 ,** *81,* **5 352-5 361.**
- **81. Schott, G.** *Z. Cham.* **1 9 6 6 .** *6* **, 361-367.**
- **>82. (a) The relative error can be approximated by using derivatives.^ Let C be the migratory aptitude and D = l o g (C) . Also let dC and dD represent the errors in** C and D respectively. Taking derivatives^{83b} yields: $dD = dLlog(C)$ = $(dC/C)/ln(10)$. If the relative error in C is \pm 10% then dD = \pm 0.10/ln(10) = \pm 0.04. (b) **Reference 74b, p. 336.**
- **83. E x n e r , O. In "Correlation Analysis in Chemistry:** Recent Advances "; Chapman, N. B. and Shorter, J., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1978; Chapter 10, pp. **439-540.**
- **84. Schreck, J. O.** *J. Chem. Ed.* **1 9 7 1 .** *48,* **103-107.**
- 85. Swain, C. G.; Langsdorf, W. P., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1 9 5 1 .** *73,* **2813-2819.**
- **06. Westaway, K. C.; Waszczylo. Z.** *Cain. J. Chem.* **1982 .** *60,* **2500-2520.**
- **87. For a review of phenonium ions see: Lancelot, C. J.; Cram, D. J.; Schleyer, P. v. R. In "Carbonium Ions"; 01ah, G. A. and Schleyer, P. v. R., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1972; Vol. 3, pp. 1347-1483.**
- **8 8 . Reference 74b, pp. 477-483.**
- **89. Sommer, L. H. "Stereochemistry, Mechanism, and Silicon"; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1965.**
- 90. (a) Corriu, R. J. P.; Lanneau, G. F. *J. Organometal*. *Chem.* **1974 ,** *67,* **243-255. (b) Stevenson, W. H. , III; Martin, J. C.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **198 2 .** *104,* **309-310.** (c) Martin, J. C. *Science* 1983, 221, 509-514. (d) Martin, J. C.; Stevenson, W. H., III *Phosphorus Sulfur,* **1 9 8 3 .** *18,* **81-64. (e) Corriu, R.** *Topics St ereochem.* **1 9 8 4 ,** *15,* **357-373.**
- **91. W e s t h e i m e r , F. H.** *Accounts Chem. Pes .* **1968,** *1,* **70-78.**
- **92. Berry, R. S.** *J. Chem. P h y s .* **1 9 6 0 .** *32,* **933-938.**
- **93. "Organophosphorus Stereochemistry", Parts 1 and 2; McEwen , W . E . and Be rim, K . D . , Ed s . ; Dowden , Hutchinson and Ross: Stroudsburg, PA, 1975.**
- 94. Holmes, R. R. "Pentacoordinated Phosphorus", Volumes **1 and 2; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1980 .**
- 95. **Mislow, K.** *Accounts Chem, Res.* **1 9 7 0 .** *3,* **321-331.**
- 96. **Trippett, S.** *Pure A p p l . Chem.* **1 9 7 4 .** *40,* **5 95-605.**
- **97 Gillespie, P.; Ramirez, F.; Ugi, I.; Marquarding, D. Reference 93, Pt. 2, pp. 134-162. IReprint of** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Efagl,* **1 9 7 3 .** *12,* **91-1191.**
- **98 Gilman, H . ; Schulze, F.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 2 5 .** *47,* **2002-2005.**
- **99 Gilman, H . ; Swiss, J.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 4 0 .** *62,* **1847-1849.**
- **100** Watson, S. C.; Eastham, J. F. J. Organometal. Chem. **1 9 6 7 .** *9* **, 165-168.**

 $\ddot{}$

- **101 The solution of 4 - C trifluoromethyl)phenyl 1ithium in d iethyl ether prepared was too darkly colored to detect an end-point. It was therefore assumed to** react quantitatively.
- **102 Eisch, J.** *J .;* **Galle, J. E.; Piotrowski, A.; Tsai, M.-R.** *J. Org. Chem.* **1 9 8 2 .** *47.* **5051-5056.**
- **103 Dewar, M.** *J.* **S.; M a r c h a n d , A. P.;** *J* **.** *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1 9 6 6 .** *66,* **354-358.**
- **1 04 Calculations were made on an Apple** *lie* **computer with an original program written in BASIC.**
- **1 05 Burness, D. M.** *Org. Synth. Collect. Vol.* **1 9 6 3 .** *IV,* **649-652.**
- **106 Hiratsuka, K. Japanese Patent 5330, Sept. 15, 1951.** *Chem. Abstracts* **195 3 .** *47,* **P9345g.**
- **1 07 Brook, A. G . ; Peddle, G.** *J.* **D.** *Can. J. Chem.* **1963.** *4 1* **, 2351-2356.**
- **108** Tacke, R.; Strecker, M.; Sheldrick, W. S.; Heeg, E.; **B e r n d t , B.; Knapstein, K. M.** *Z. Naturforsch. , Teil B* **1979.** *3 4 B***, 1279-1285.**
- **109 Brook, A. G . ; Jones, P. F . ; Peddle, G. J. D.** *Can. J. Chem.* **1968,** *46,* **2119-2127.**