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Abstract:

Background and Objectives

Parent-child reading is widely advocated to promote cognitive development, including in recommendations from the American Academy of Pediatrics to begin this practice at birth. While parent-child reading has been shown in behavioral studies to improve oral language and print concepts, quantifiable effects on the brain have not been previously studied. Our study utilized blood oxygen level dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging (BOLD fMRI) to examine the relationship between home reading environment and brain activation during a narrative comprehension task in a sample of preschool-age children. We hypothesized that while listening to stories, children with greater home reading exposure would exhibit higher activation of left-sided brain regions involved with semantic processing (extraction of meaning from language).

Methods

A total of twenty-three, 3-5 year-old children enrolled in a longitudinal study of normal brain development (C-MIND) were eligible for this study. All had completed BOLD fMRI using an age-appropriate story listening task, where narrative alternated with tones. Nineteen families were able to be contacted for survey administration and agreed to participate, with four excluded despite multiple attempts. We performed a series of whole-brain regression analyses applying composite, subscale, and individual reading-related items from the validated STIMQ-P measure of home cognitive environment as explanatory variables for BOLD activation, controlling for household income (low or not low, according to 2015 federal poverty guidelines).

Results

Higher reading exposure (STIMQ-P Reading subscale score) was positively correlated (p<0.05, corrected) with BOLD activation in the left-sided parietal-temporal-occipital association cortex supporting mental imagery and semantic processing, adjusting for household income category. These brain areas are critical for oral language, and later integrated into the mature reading network.

Conclusions

Our study findings suggest that children from more stimulating home reading environments show more robust activity in brain regions supporting mental imagery and narrative processing, key emergent literacy skills. These neural biomarkers may help inform eco-bio-developmental models of emergent literacy and its promotion, and guide further research into the foundations of reading readiness.
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Introduction

Emergent literacy is defined as the skills, knowledge, and attitudes supporting reading and writing, which accrue from infancy.\textsuperscript{1} Whereas organic reading disability (dyslexia) affects an estimated 5-12\% of US children,\textsuperscript{2} the majority of illiteracy is preventable, attributable to inadequate resources, motivation, and/or stimulation required to learn to read.\textsuperscript{3} As parents are “a child’s first and most important teachers,”\textsuperscript{4} the quality of cognitive stimulation in the home, especially prior to school entry, strongly influences achievement and health outcomes.\textsuperscript{5-8} Children’s books are catalysts for parent-child engagement during sensitive developmental stages when brain growth and plasticity are maximal.\textsuperscript{9,10} They provide broader, more grammatically correct vocabulary and range of subject matter than everyday conversation, especially in low-socioeconomic status (SES) households.\textsuperscript{11,12} Given these factors, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends shared reading beginning at birth, citing direct, lasting benefits for the developing brain,\textsuperscript{13} a claim echoed by many advocacy groups.\textsuperscript{14}

While behavioral evidence affirms moderate to large benefits of shared reading on a subset of emergent literacy skills (oral language and print concepts) through kindergarten,\textsuperscript{5,15} quantifiable effects on the brain have not been previously studied. Similarly, interventions improving home literacy environment – a variably defined measure of reading behaviors and access to books – have been shown to improve oral language and school readiness,\textsuperscript{16-20} though neurobiological mechanisms have yet to be described. Neuroimaging offers a means to address these knowledge gaps, informing an eco-bio-developmental model of emergent literacy incorporating genetic, environmental, and neurobiological factors.\textsuperscript{21-25} Such models have been advocated by the AAP and National Institutes of Health,\textsuperscript{25} and are especially valuable for young
children, where behavioral measures can be difficult to interpret and underestimate the effects of learning and experience on brain networks. Neuroimaging has been extensively applied in dyslexia research (albeit in older children and adults), identifying activation patterns associated with disability and response to intervention, as well as helping define the mature reading network. Only recently has high-resolution neuroimaging been applied in younger, preliterate children, most often in the context of normal language development. How language networks become “ready” for reading, and to what extent they are influenced by home literacy environment or interventions during the critical pre-kindergarten period, however, are unclear.

For our study, a sample of 3-5 year-old children underwent blood oxygen level dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging (BOLD fMRI) using a narrative comprehension (story listening) task, with a validated measure of home cognitive environment applied as a predictor of neural activation. This task requires the application of early emergent literacy skills, including vocabulary and listening comprehension. Given behavioral evidence, we hypothesized that children with more stimulating home environments, particularly shared reading exposure, would show more robust activation in brain areas supporting semantic processing (extraction of meaning). The semantic network includes left-sided inferior frontal, middle temporal, inferior parietal, and lateral occipital lobes, which we selected as regions of interest for our analysis. We predicted that differential activation would remain significant after controlling for household income, a common confounder in studies of cognitive ability.

**Methods**

*Participants*
All participants in this analysis were enrolled in a longitudinal study of normal brain development at our institution (C-MIND). Inclusion criteria for C-MIND are: full-term gestation, healthy, right-handed, native English speakers, and no standard contraindications to MRI. By design, the C-MIND cohort is demographically diverse (38% non-white, 55% female, median household income $42,500), intended to reflect the US population. At the time of our study, 23 children between 3-5 years of age had completed BOLD fMRI while performing a narrative comprehension task, in accordance with the C-MIND protocol. Of these, we were able to contact 19 (82.6%) for enrollment and survey administration. Despite multiple attempts, we were unable to contact the other 4 families, who were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from each child’s custodial parent, families were compensated for time and travel, and our study was approved by the Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

Behavioral Measures

Cognitive stimulation in the home was assessed using the preschool version of the STIMQ (STIMQ-P), which was administered to a custodial parent via telephone or during C-MIND follow-up visits by a trained clinical research coordinator. Time elapsed between fMRI scan and STIMQ administration ranged from 0 to 20 months (10±8.8). The STIMQ-P is validated for ages 36 to 72 months, and involves mostly “yes/no” questions. Three subscales were utilized: 1) Reading, reflecting access to books, frequency of shared reading, and variety of books read, 2) Parental Involvement in Developmental Advance (PIDA) reflecting the teaching of specific concepts such as letters, and 3) Parental Verbal Responsivity (PVR), reflecting verbal interaction. Parents were also asked to report the age of initiation of reading to their child, which is not included in the STIMQ-P.
Functional MRI Acquisition Specifications and Preliminary Analyses

Details of techniques used to acclimatize children to the MRI acquisition process are described by Vannest, et al.\textsuperscript{32} Details of BOLD MRI acquisition specifications utilized in the C-MIND study are described in Schmithorst, et al.\textsuperscript{35,47} Details of individual- and group-level analyses for the C-MIND study preceding our analysis are described in Sroka, et al.\textsuperscript{48} All children were awake and non-sedated during MRI scans. Voxel size utilized for acquisition and analysis was 3x3x4 mm.

Narrative Comprehension Task

The narrative comprehension (story listening) task consists of 10 alternating blocks of active and control conditions (5 each), of 64 seconds duration. During the “active” condition, a series of 5 recorded stories of 9-10 sentences each read in a female voice was presented via headphones. The stories were designed by a speech pathologist with vocabulary and syntax appropriate for young children (download: https://www.irc.cchmc.org/software/pedaudio.php). The control condition consisted of non-speech tones in a range of frequencies simulating human speech, to control for baseline acoustic processing. Subjects closed their eyes or saw a blank screen during acquisition.

Regression with STIMQ-P

We performed a series of regression analyses using the FEAT (fMRI Expert Analysis Tool) modality of FSL (fMRI-Brain Software Library, Oxford, United Kingdom).\textsuperscript{49} Utilizing BOLD fMRI datasets for our 19 subjects, a whole-brain, group mean activation map was first obtained, representing mean neural activation across subjects while listening to stories, minus activation listening to tones (i.e. activation attributable to the story task, excluding general...
acoustic processing). Mean neural activation (stories > tones) was then used as the dependent variable in a series of regression analyses, individually applying STIMQ-P scores (Reading, PIDA, PVR, Composite) and age of initiation of reading as the explanatory variable. Income category (low/not low) was applied as a binary covariate when significant neural activation was found. Household income under 200% of the 2015 Federal Poverty Guidelines,\(^\text{50}\) adjusted for household size, was defined as low-income (see Table 1).\(^\text{51}\) Subject age and gender were considered as covariates but excluded, as no significant correlation was found between neural activation and either variable. To control for multiple comparisons across the brain, a False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction was applied in all analyses. Regression maps of neural activation, along with summary statistics for size, intensity, and location of activation clusters are reported for all significant results. The FSLView\(^\text{49}\) package was used to identify brain areas corresponding to active clusters in normalized, 3-dimensional, Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinate space,\(^\text{52}\) utilizing the Harvard-Oxford Cortical Structural Atlas (2mm scale).

**Results**

Demographic characteristics for our sample are described in Table 1.

---Insert Table 1 about here---

*STIMQ-P and Other Behavioral Predictors*

A summary of STIMQ-P subscale and composite scores, and reported age of initiation of shared reading are described in Table 2.

---Insert Table 2 about here---

*Group Mean Activation for the Narrative Comprehension Task*
Group mean activation for the narrative condition compared to baseline tones (all voxels p<0.05, FDR correction) involved bilateral, left-lateralized cortical and subcortical regions involved with acoustic, phonological, and semantic language processing (see Figure 1), as described by Karunanayaka, et al.53

---Insert Figure 1 about here---

Regression of Neural Activation with STIMQ-P Scores and Other Predictors

Applying linear regression, STIMQ-P Reading subscale scores were positively associated with higher activation in a confluent region of left-sided, posterior cortex involving the occipital fusiform, lateral occipital, posterior inferior temporal, posterior middle temporal, posterior cingulate, and angular gyri, and left precuneus, as illustrated in Figure 2 (all voxels p<0.05, FDR correction). Collectively, these areas reside within the parietal-temporal-occipital (PTO) association cortex, which supports multi-modal semantic processing, especially for language.41,54 An exception is the posterior cingulate gyrus, which plays a role in semantic processing and other functions, including memory encoding41 and visual attention.55

---Insert Figure 2 about here---

The association of neural activation within the left PTO cortex remained consistent and highly significant even expanding the statistical model to control for household income as a binary covariate (low/not low). Activation clusters were of similar intensity, with slight to moderate decreases in size, as shown in Figure 3 (all voxels p<0.05, FDR correction). The largest decreases were in posterior cingulate, inferior temporal, occipital fusiform, and the most superior lateral occipital areas. Figure 4 displays a single axial slice (z=12) of the image series from Figure 3 to better illustrate the anatomical regions encompassed in the cluster.
No significant correlation was found between brain activation during the narrative comprehension task and other STIMQ-P subscales, STIMQ-P composite, age of initiation of reading, or months of reading exposure (initiation to scan).

---Insert Figures 3 and 4 about here---

**Discussion**

“Biological embedding,” describes the long-term impact on brain development resulting from the quality of cognitive stimulation and nurturing during early childhood.\(^6,56\) Learning to read involves the integration of a formidable array of skills sequentially and efficiently,\(^5\) supported by language, visual, and association brain networks whose growth and plasticity peak in the first few years of life.\(^57,58\) During this critical pre-kindergarten period, children are highly vulnerable to disparities in cognitive stimulation, especially spoken language, as well as toys and books promoting constructive parent-child engagement.\(^12,57,59\) Many children arrive at school at a significant disadvantage in reading readiness, and it is clear that those who are poor readers in first grade\(^60\) are unlikely to catch up with peers, at great societal cost.\(^61\) This underscores the need for effective interventions applied as early as possible, when brain networks are most amenable to change.\(^10,57,61\)

Our findings support our hypothesis that while listening to stories, young children from more stimulating home reading environments more robustly engage neural circuitry supporting narrative comprehension, a foundational component of emergent literacy.\(^62\) Specifically, children in our study with higher STIMQ-P Reading scores showed greater activation in the left parietal-temporal-occipital (PTO) association cortex, a “hub” region facilitating semantic processing.\(^41,63\)
Outbound PTO connections include limbic areas involved with long-term memory (e.g. hippocampus) and assigning emotional value to experiences, and prefrontal executive function areas, each integral for learning.\textsuperscript{64} “Recycling” their role in oral language, areas within the PTO are recruited for reading, facilitating efficient assignment of meaning to letters and words.\textsuperscript{41,65,66} The angular gyrus (located in the inferior parietal lobe) at the core of the PTO is particularly noteworthy, and plays an integral role in this process.\textsuperscript{23,41,67} Though not observed in our subjects, hypo-activation of the angular gyrus during reading tasks has been cited as a biomarker for dyslexia, with potential application for early identification and remediation.\textsuperscript{27,68}

Importantly, PTO activation in our subjects associated with home reading environment reflects recruitment of oral language skills supporting context and comprehension (semantics), not word-level decoding. This is consistent with behavioral evidence for the influence of parent-child reading exclusively on “outside-in” oral language skills (understanding outside of the word itself) described by Whitehurst, et al.\textsuperscript{1,5} Vocabulary is among the most important of these skills,\textsuperscript{69} shown to be influenced by home reading environment,\textsuperscript{17} and recently found to be positively associated with left angular gyrus activation during our story listening task in young children.\textsuperscript{48} Thus, PTO activation may offer potential as a biomarker of oral language ability (the outside-in domain of emergent literacy), though further studies are needed to clarify how the PTO is integrated into the reading network. That home reading environment was not associated with activation of brain areas supporting phonological processing (“inside-out” decoding skills) in our study reinforces behavioral evidence\textsuperscript{5} that these skills seem largely dependent on explicit instruction.\textsuperscript{15} Additional research in this area is also needed.

Higher Reading scores were associated with particularly robust activation in occipital areas within the PTO cortex, notably lateral occipital gyrus and precuneus. Schmithorst, et al.,
attributed activation in these areas during the narrative comprehension task (when no visual stimulus is presented) to mental imagery. The ability to “see” what is being heard is a potent, durable comprehension tool, as evidenced by Horowitz-Kraus, et al., who found that 5-7 year-old children showing greater activation of lateral occipital cortex during the narrative comprehension task manifest higher reading scores at age 11. Recruitment of left-sided PTO areas during high-imagery tasks has also been described in adults. Thus, our results provide a neurobiological correlate to the enchantment often seen at preschool story time, especially in children with greater practice at home: activation of PTO circuits to visualize and understand what is happening. It is intriguing to infer that children better able to recruit these circuits and apply mental imagery may better manage the transition from picture- to text-based books as they advance in school. Conversely, those with less practice seeing and understanding, with consequently under-developed visual-semantic neural infrastructure, may be more likely to struggle.

Surprisingly, we did not find significant association between neural activation and PIDA, PVR, or Composite STIMQ-P scores. We view this as likely a byproduct of subscale themes. The STIMQ-P Reading subscale measures reading-specific practices, assessing frequency, access to books, and variety of subject matter. As these opportunities and experiences are directly related to story listening, small variations, even with scores skewed towards the maximum, may be adequate to differentiate subjects performing this task. By contrast, PIDA measures the teaching of specific cognitive skills and PVR assesses parent-child conversation, each possibly more applicable to abilities other than narrative comprehension. Any composite effect was likely diluted by PIDA and PVR scores.
Contrary to our hypothesis, age of initiation of shared reading and months of reading exposure were not associated with neural activation, though behavioral studies have associated these with home literacy orientation.\(^9,^{71}\) This may be attributable to responses skewed by social desirability and/or recall bias, or more likely, greater predictive power of the validated STIMQ-P measure. The Reading subscale captures three aspects of home reading environment: frequency (4 points, including for days/week), access to books (5 points, including for number of books in the home), and variety of content (10 points, for different types of books, e.g. concepts, beliefs, relationships). The relative influence of each of these factors on neural activation supporting narrative processing is complex, likely involving behaviors and proclivities that are more difficult to capture, and merits further study. For example, greater variety may reflect differences in how books are shared, in addition to how many and how often. This qualitative aspect of reading aloud (notably dialogic reading, where the child actively participates) has been shown to provide a disproportionate share of its benefits, behaviorally\(^{72,73}\) and possibly in terms of neurobiological effect.

Our study has several important strengths. Our sample of 3-5 year-old children is considerably younger than most neuroimaging-based studies of emergent literacy,\(^{27}\) with ample sample size\(^{74}\) drawn from a diverse cohort, applying an established fMRI paradigm and validated measure of home cognitive environment. Our findings are consistent with current models of language and reading brain networks,\(^{23}\) complimentary with behavioral models of emergent literacy,\(^{15}\) and robust controlling for household income, a common confounder in studies of cognitive development.\(^{75}\) Utilizing an innovative approach, our results also inform clinical practice during a foundational stage of development, where “preventative medicine” may offer maximal benefit. For example, as there is evidence that the Reach Out and Read (ROR)
intervention advocated in AAP recommendations\textsuperscript{13} improves home reading environment,\textsuperscript{13,18} and we have found that home reading environment is positively associated with activation of brain circuits supporting semantic processing, logical inference leads us to speculate that early home literacy intervention such as ROR, consistently applied, has the potential to enhance the development of these brain circuits.

Our study also has several limitations. Though it utilized existing imaging and behavioral data, the STIMQ-P was retrospectively administered, with a variable time from fMRI acquisition. Thus, recall and social desirability bias are possible, with parents over-reporting reading practices. That said, household reading behaviors have been shown to be stable during the preschool period, tempering such recall effects.\textsuperscript{76} Families agreeing to participate in our study may be more likely to constructively engage in their child’s development (participation bias), though C-MIND is not advertised in the context of reading, its demographic mix is diverse by design, and all subjects who were able to be contacted agreed to participate, minimizing the prospect of self-selection. The exclusion of 4 low-SES families was a consequence of unreliable contact information (i.e. phone out of service), shifting our demographic profile towards higher SES, though 37% of our sample were low-income. Our high reported STIMQ-P subscale scores suggest potential ceiling effects, though the Reading subscale provided sensitivity ideal for our task. Finally, whereas our results show robust association between home reading environment and neural activation, our cross-sectional design cannot establish causation. Longitudinal studies are needed to discern the influence of parent-child reading and interventions on emergent literacy skills beginning in infancy, especially in low-SES populations. Thus, we might optimize resources and anticipatory guidance via improved access to books, dialogic reading training, and
early identification and remediation of reading disabilities, to ensure the best possible story for all children.

Conclusions:

Our study utilized functional MRI to for the first time demonstrate an association between home reading environment and activation of specific brain regions supporting emergent literacy during the pre-kindergarten period. Children exposed to higher levels of parent-child reading showed significantly greater activation during a story listening task, in brain areas within a left-sided, multi-modal association cortex facilitating mental imagery and semantic processing (extraction of meaning), controlling for household income. Critical for language, this region is recruited during reading acquisition, with hypo-activation a biomarker of reading disability. This study provides a novel, neurobiological correlate to oral language skills fostered by parent-child reading in early childhood, offering insight into how this practice may shape the developing brain, and informing an eco-bio-developmental model of emergent literacy and its promotion.
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Table 1. Demographic characteristics of C-MIND sample subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual household income ($)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 5,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000-10,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000-25,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000-35,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35,000-50,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000-75,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75,000-100,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000-150,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 150,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 200% poverty (low)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 200% poverty</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in the household</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. STIMQ-P scores and responses to reading-related items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>possible</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>std</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STIMQ-P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIDA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Summary of STIMQ-P subscale and composite scores, and reading-related items.

Minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation (std) and total possible (where applicable) scores are presented. Individual questions other than age of initiation of reading are part of the STIMQ-P Reading subscale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Items</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Total Possible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mos) initiation of reading</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months of reading exposure</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s books in the home</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading nights/week</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Figure 1. Group mean activation map for the narrative comprehension task. **

*Figure 1:* Group mean BOLD fMRI activation map (stories>tones) in 3-5 year-old children (*N*=19). All voxels significant at *p*<0.05 (corrected), slice thickness 5 mm for contiguous slices. Slices range from *z*=−28 to *z*=74 in the Talairach frame. Color scale ranges from *t*=1.25 (cooler) to 4 (hotter). Radiological orientation, left=right, right=left.
Figure 2. Regression map (stories>tones activation) with STIMQ-P Reading subscale score as explanatory variable.

Figure 2: Regression map for the narrative comprehension task (stories>tones) in 3-5 year-old children (N=19), with STIMQ-P Reading score as explanatory variable. Cluster size 4087 voxels all significant at \( p<0.05 \) (FDR corrected), z-score local maxima 3.25-3.44. 5mm slices from \( z=-28 \) to \( z=74 \) in the Talairach frame. Color scale from \( t=1.25 \) (cooler) to 4 (hotter). Radiological orientation, left=right, right=left.

Figure 3. Regression map (stories>tones activation) with STIMQ-P Reading subscale score as explanatory variable, controlling for household income.
**Figure 3:** Regression map for the narrative comprehension task (stories>tones) in 3-5 year-old children (N=19), with STIMQ-P Reading score as explanatory variable, controlling for household income. Cluster size 2467 voxels all significant at \( p<0.05 \) (FDR corrected), \( z \)-score local maxima 3.15-3.38. 5mm slices from \( z=-28 \) to \( z=74 \) in the Talairach\textsuperscript{52} frame. Color scale from \( t=1.25 \) (cooler) to 4 (hotter). Radiological orientation, left=right, right=left.

**Figure 4:** Detail slice (\( z=12 \)) from regression map in Figure 3 (stories>tones activation) with STIMQ-P Reading subscale score as explanatory variable, controlling for household income.
Figure 4: Regression map detail slice (5mm, Talairach coordinate $z=12$) for the narrative comprehension task (narrative>tones), with STIMQ-P Reading score as explanatory variable, controlling for household income. Regions involved with semantic processing and mental imagery are circled. Color scale ranges from $t=1.25$ (cooler) to 4 (hotter). Radiological orientation, left=right, right=left.