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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years, the mature segment of the large baby-boomer cohort has begun to envision retiring. A few have already done so. The push by employers for early retirement, business and industry buyout incentives, diminished returns on investments, and the prospect of fixed incomes and rising expenses are well known features of work and retirement today. These features demand that the boomer cohort turn to planful husbandry of social, psychological, and emotional resources such that retirement can be enhanced and satisfying. Other socioeconomic and cultural evolutions are equally salient for this group. Greater numbers of women in the work force and entering the work force at older ages may suggest that some women will retire later than men, forcing renegotiation of male/female roles and responsibilities in the household. Increased productivity in business and industry appears to be following severe retrenchment and may have created a retirement-age group that sees retirement comprising part-time and serial occupational and entrepreneurial endeavors. Leisure, education, and employment may become
more widely dispersed across life stages, precluding stereotypical pigeon-holing of education among the young, employment among those from 20-65, and leisure among only older people. The boomers' parents, living longer, and the boomers' children, born to later-in-life parents, help define the boomers as the "sandwich generation." As such, they may serve both as caregivers to aging parents and as providers of health, education, and welfare for a new generation.

Given these influences, the scenarios of retirement today take on a far different tone than they have in the past. They present significantly different sociological, economic, and psychological issues for pre-retirees, retired people, and the professionals who will assist them in this part of the life cycle. The dimensions of vocational satisfaction may well become those of life satisfaction for people entering retirement: status, achievement, social relationships, and time (Hitchcock, 1984). The very basic questions Havighurst, Munnichs, Neugarten, and Thomae (1969) asked years earlier have value today: "... how [do] people structure their lives ... when they retire from or lose some of the roles of middle age? What is the nature of their experience, how do they pattern their interpersonal relations and under what conditions do they achieve life satisfaction" (p. 3)?
Assuming that individuals carry with them through life cycle changes some habituation to or affinity for role, interpersonal style, status, values, and interests, we can infer that the extent to which one carries forward (in time) a fulfilling view of one's employed self is the extent to which one can enter a retirement that could be satisfying in most realms. Friedan (1993), in her new account of the aging experience, describes the "retirement paradox" as, in part, movement toward development of interests, avocations, and talents in the face of a socio-cultural mystique that disables the "strengths of maturity" (p. 201). Hitchcock (1984) describes the counselor's role as assisting the retiree in re-packaging previous vocational strengths so they are efficient and beneficial features of the types of work retirement can offer. Others suggest that planning, the extent to which occupational goals were met, and health and economic status moderate the extent to which postretirement activity is consistent with preretirement activity (Beehr, 1986) and that counseling psychologists should focus on work in people's lives as opposed to the values inherent in a focus on career (Richardson, 1993).

Retirement counseling can become more effective by admitting the existence of an enduring "vocational script." However, this critical concept has not been articulated as a psychological, social, and emotional reality that has implications for the planning and design and enjoyment of one's retirement.
The purpose here is to delineate the features of the vocational script, to describe the role of a nurtured vocational script in satisfaction with retirement, and to illuminate similar and contrasting constructs among several major psychological theories of personality and development. Reference to these constructs can identify points of convergence with the concept of vocational script and assist in the development of integrated counseling and treatment strategies.

Parenthetically, it appears that no one has advanced a theory of retirement to date that is more specific than a theory of aging. Neither is it the purpose to do this here, for the development of a theory of retirement will require unraveling a multitude of internal and situational variables. However, review of the features and theoretical bases for the vocational script concept can be one part of the effort.

The Vocational Script Concept

Satisfaction with retirement involves both environmental factors (social, political, and economic) and personal factors (psychological aspects, attitudes, abilities, styles, and capacities), though our focus in this paper is the psychological elements. A "vocational script" is a person's unique characterization of vocational identity independent of specific jobs, positions, and careers. It is a screenplay that is not set in time. It adapts as
environmental factors change over time and interact with the person's skills, capacities, habits, and developing personal styles. It is more than personal identity, because it defines how one functions when one works. It defines how the self views and has adapted to the extrinsic aspects of work as well as in what ways and to what extent the self values intrinsic features of work. A vocational script is a person's way of thinking about the self as it has navigated the functions and features of work. In this sense, the vocational script operates both vocationally, as one defines oneself in jobs, positions, or careers, and avocationally, as one defines one's behavior, values, attitudes, and expectations in hobbies, leisure activities, or volunteer work. A person may define him/herself as deferential to authority, as someone who "gets the job done," pays attention to detail, and who has a highly developed feeling for working as part of a team. Another might call him/herself the one who has the "ideas" others put in place, who has need for a high degree of personal autonomy, who has a clear sense of direction, and who functions as a leader for others. These vocational "descriptions" of self, and many other such characterizations, are presented by clients or elicited by counselors all the time in personal career counseling. However, rarely are they considered pivotal in the construction of the self in retirement. Retirement planning and counseling typically have as their primary focus assisting people in effecting financial security and
responding to concerns about housing, social support networks, health care, and aging. Too often, even when these needs are addressed satisfactorily, and even when retirees engage in leisure and volunteer or part-time work activity, retired people continue to feel bereft of role, status, and usefulness.

Becoming aware of one's vocational script is healthy, healing, and enfranchising. Empowering people to use their scripts in retirement planning and adaptation can strengthen individuals' expectations of self-efficacy and satisfaction with life in retirement from active employment. It is important to note here some of the most primary influences on retirement satisfaction if we are to further clarify vocational script development. First, the perceived social and cultural "rights" and "duties" of the retired person influence the extent to which the retired person copes, adapts, and becomes satisfied with the retirement role (Atchley, 1991). "Rights" are economic support absent a job, personal autonomy in allocation of personal resources and time management, and those rights that derive from prior occupational association (membership in and access to employer-sponsored services), all this minus "the stigma of being regarded as dependent on society" (Atchley, 1991, p. 209). "Duties" are those expectations of the retired person that include avoidance of full-time employment, the Social Security benefit deduction on excess earnings, and the expectation that there will be a "carry over into retirement . . . [of] skills,
experience, knowledge, and identity with the jobs or positions" held previously, all this without "becoming economically dependent on either . . . families or the community" (Atchley, 1991, p. 209).

The retirement role as a relationship also influences coping, adaptation, and satisfaction (Atchley, 1991). Whereas the employed person's role is viewed as having "instrumentality," the retired person's role in our culture is "similar to the position of alumnus or alumna", and the description of the retired role includes "terms that are flexible and qualitative rather than concrete and instrumental" (Atchley, 1991, p. 209). Atchley (1991) points out parenthetically that "jobs also have important qualitative aspects, but these are seldom emphasized in 'job descriptions' or in discussion about jobs, which is perhaps a major oversight" (p. 209).

The extent to which the work ethic carries over into retirement affects the extent to which retired persons feel satisfied and productive. This "busy ethic" (Ekerdt, 1986) helps people in retirement give meaning to their leisure activities and peripheral status vis-a-vis the employed world. It gives them solidity and protects them from criticism of non-performance. As Atchley (1991) points out though, it is "the continuation of the abstract ethic of work into retirement," not a "new instrumental role," that provides meaning (p. 209). And so people in retirement engage in activities with a goal directedness that
exudes seriousness of purpose and intended productivity, even when those activities are leisure ones directed toward self-maintenance and resource management (Atchley, 1991). This assumes, then, that people in retirement can be encouraged to perceive their "non-world-of-work" activities as part of a continued work ethic, a carry over of the productivity they experienced in their occupations and careers. Enforced by social institutions (one's social support network, the media), Ekerdt's (1986) "busy ethic" carries the work ethic into retirement. It is not too remote an assumption that the view of oneself in the vocational role (including both occupational affinities and avocational interests) might well enhance satisfaction with the retirement role.

Counselors can help individuals to define this view by assisting them in telling their vocational stories and defining the essential, adaptive, and dramatic features of the self at work. These features will include how the self has responded to (and defended against) work activities and routines, how it has adapted to varying levels of status, role complexity, and compensation, and how it has functioned interpersonally in work relationships. Such counseling for vocational script development assists people in the character development so essential in successful fiction and drama. The analogy is apt. Fully developed characters are as critical in the satisfying resolution we seek in
literature and drama as they are in the satisfaction we seek in work and avocations. Both imply the resolution of self.

Related Theoretical Constructs

Narrative psychology theory and the concept of life review let us tease out relationships to vocational script development (Sarbin, 1986; Sherman, 1991; Schafer, 1992; Tarman, 1988; Carlsen, 1988 & 1991). Sarbin's concept of the "self-deceiver" focuses on people's skill in either "spelling out engagements in the world" or not doing so, a process that would require the "observer" [sic] to do several things: investigate the person's self-narrative; determine whether the person is the narrator or the protagonist; and be able to interpret incongruence in the narrative (Sarbin, 1986, p. 16-18). Vocational script development implies similar investigation. As a person develops his/her vocational script, s/he reveals characters, plot, engagement, role, and status. In comparable ways, narrative, Sherman (1991) explained, gives form and meaning to reminiscence and draws on something far different from Freud's determinism. Moving from the perspective of Butler's (1963) life review concept, Sherman (1991) conducted three studies in the mid to late '80's to investigate the effects of reminiscence among older people on socialization, self-efficacy, coping functions, and narrative function. He found that the life narrative reveals intentionality and character, clues, as it were, to causes and
purposes in individuals' lives. Schafer (1992) and Tarman (1988) hold similar views. Maintaining that "there is value in viewing the self in narrative terms," Schafer (1992) explained his concept of multiple selves (the actual self, ideal self, self as place, self as agent or subject, and self as object, et al.) and cautioned against losing sight of the "proliferation of selves" in people's narratives. Using the "interpretive approach," Tarman (1988) examined studies on reminiscence and life review in order to illuminate the relationship between autobiographical presentation of self and adjustment of older persons. He offered several propositions that explain consciousness as internalized social context and called for an understanding of life review studies in terms of their "social ingredients," not their developmental stage evidence (Tarman, 1988, p. 177). Echoing Goffman (1959) and Berger and Luckmann (1979), Tarman concludes that biographical construction among older adults is a way of negotiating a beneficial social reality. This is precisely what vocational script development provides -- a negotiated justification of goals and experiences.

Another recent formulation (Mair, 1989) clarifies how the vocational script concept varies from typical psychological interpretation. Mair (1989) offered a Kellian perspective and suggested several ways in which story-telling ("creative fictions") "allow[s] expressions of psychological life that mere facts can never achieve" (p. 11). Mair suggested the value of seeing that language
production, not only private experience, can be key in this regard, that the
telling of the story (not the analytical procedures) is primary, and that the
manner of telling is as great a clue to psychological understanding as the
content of the tale. He maintained that our "psychological reporting" is
impoverished because it attends only to "verbal matters" and ignores the
centrality of stories in the shaping of individual personality. Also ignored is
the "politics of telling," "the hidden structure of power and privilege in which
the speaker [client] and audience [counselor] are located" (p. 9).

One more approach relates constructive development to counseling for
career transition, a key link for development of the vocational script concept.
McAuliffe (1993) describes the individual's interpretive framework as central to
a dynamic view of career development (McAuliffe, 1993). Any individual
operates within one of three balances: the interpersonal balance, the
institutional balance, or the interindividual balance. The interpersonal balance
relies on external sources of identity and interpretation, typical of those who do
not question widely held beliefs about certain vocational paths or occupations.
Career is not a calling for those who act from within an interpersonal balance.
Individuals within the institutional balance act assertively and independently in
their vocations and careers but may not, precisely because of their lack of
reflection, be able to make an "authentic career quest" (McAuliffe, 1993, p. 24)
or to identify core values and preferences outside of the organizational role.

The third balance, the interindividual balance, is a more flexible framework in which the adult constructs meaning in a negotiating process, taking in new experience and transforming the self.

One key influence is reminiscence itself -- the extent to which individuals are willing to engage in reminiscence and how frequently they do so. Such willingness and frequency have been linked to greater life satisfaction, positive self-esteem, and more effective adaptive behavior and coping skills (Havighurst & Glasser, 1972; Lewis, 1971; McMahon & Rhudick, 1964). And, noting Butler again, reminiscence is seen as precipitating the life review, a positive, integrating process (Butler, 1963). Similarly, Antonovsky & Sagy (1990), using the Erikson model heuristically, see reevaluation of one's world view and reevaluation of life satisfaction (as analyzed in the lives of Israeli retirees) as major transition challenges between generativity and integrity.

The process of developing one's vocational script demands negotiation of the self's relationship to past events, attitudes, and behaviors so that the self becomes more unique. The process is similar to Tobin's (1985) explanation of how individuals maintain the continuity of personality through a referential process of justifying and altering events. However, the concept of vocational
script involves a "truer" referential process, a more authentic development of a core story of the self. The vocational script concept also includes elements of Tomkins' script theory (Tomkins, 1979, 1987; Carlson, 1981) but differs in key ways. Carlson (1981) described Tomkins' script theory (1979, 1987) as an alternative to linear models of investigation. The theory presents a dynamic personality model in which the individual constructs his/her world from its earliest history by producing, casting, directing, acting, and criticizing. In Carlson's study of the development of a "nuclear script" by a 30-year old adult he pointed out the failure of traditional theories to deal with three issues: "the activity of the individual in selecting and transmuting early experience; the particularity of the individual case; and the diversity of personality structures" (Carlson, 1981, p. 503). Tomkins' theory is understood in light of assumptions about personality structure, dynamics, and development. Personality structure in script theory includes "scenes," which have object and affect as well as place, time, and action. There are also "scripts," that are comprised of a person's predictions, interpretations, and responses and the rules governing these activities, such that, over time, scripts gain the power to determine scenes. Personality dynamics in script theory involves "psychological magnification" or the building of affect. In this process, scenes become interconnected through the "recruitment of memories, thoughts, actions, and
feelings." In this way, new experience is "scanned for old dangers and
disappointments" or for "detection of differences around a stable core"
(Carlson, 1981, p. 503). A person might also develop ideal scenes,
mythologies that are, at the same time, coping mechanisms. Personality
development, then, is the development of scenes, which present salient life
characteristics, and the development of scripts, which offer ways to respond
and create. The theory is based in a two-way temporality. Individuals can
change their construction of past events through later experiences but can also
recreate the present and revise the past vis-a-vis their views of the future.

The vocational script development concept has many of the elements of
Tomkins' construct. It has the dynamic, dramatic construction of scene that
Tomkins describes, the scanning of new experience, the building of affect.
Vocational script development, though, moves toward a description of the self
in relation to work, not just to a file drawer of scripts to be used in new
situations. To the extent that vocational script development enlists memory it
is similar to Tomkins' theory. To the extent that the vocational script becomes
a view of the self it is less a dynamic personality model and more a
psychodynamic tool for maintaining the self in everyday life.

Vocational script development involves a resolution of developmental
issues but diverges from the ideas of developmental stage models. The concept
is not necessarily linear, cumulative, or predictive. Erikson (1963, 1968; Erikson & Erikson, 1986) would agree. While Freud's psychosexual stage theory, Jung's stages of psychotherapy (confession, elucidation, education, and transformation), and Adler's individual psychology and theory of the life line all offer basic support for the concept of vocational script development, it is Erikson (1963, 1968; Erikson & Erikson, 1986) who stands out among the "grandfathers" in this regard, for his emphasis on adaptation and on adult life stages. Erikson considered successful resolution of conflicts between adaptive and maladaptive behavior essential in psychosocial development. His stages are present throughout life. Adaptive and maladaptive attitudes, ego strengths, and orientations to life are the bases for developmental issues that become prominent or defused across all stages at some time in the life cycle. But it is when Erikson (1986) talks about retirement and old age that we see an Eriksonian view of a scripted life, the carrying forward of a recollected, reconstructed, and re-evaluated personal past. He explains that "many of the developmental concerns of earlier and earliest stages are being refaced, as the then-acquired capacities, traits, and involvements are now undergoing some disdevelopment" (p. 53). If we see Erikson's concept of "refacement" as a change in perspective-taking, it is only a short conceptual jump to a view of refacement as re-scripting. The acquisition of refacement competencies in
retirement requires then, as Erikson (1986) says, internal motivation, self-discipline, and intrinsic reward and perceived adequacy -- a carrying forward of the vocational script. For Erikson, the process is interpretive. This is a feature of the vocational script development concept also. It is interpretive in that it enables the individual to explain his or her role and context.

Others have described this interpretive process as a creative activity (Levinson, 1986; Datan, Rodeheaver, & Hughes, 1987). They have implied that a constructive feature of that activity, a narrative, results. Levinson's (1986) merging of the developmental and socialization perspective bears some similarity to vocational script development. One element of his theory, "individual life structure," differs fundamentally from personality theory in its emphasis on the individual's relationship to the external world (p. 6).

Levinson's research confirms his theory in part. In his study of men's lives he found that "structure-building" periods alternated with "structure-changing" periods (Levinson, 1986). As his subjects transitioned into structure-changing periods of time, choice-making, meaning-making, and commitment were the keynotes. Like vocational script development, the process was creative and constructive, a re-creation of self.

Some theorists (Datan, Rodeheaver, & Hughes, 1987) have suggested that the study of life span development be "liberalized," such that we begin to
understand that we are really studying life stories, attempts "by the individual to create a narrative given order and predictability only by the choices and decision-making of that individual" (Datan et al., 1987). As Datan et al. explained, it is the individual's "sense of continuity" (p. 163) and the individual's attempts to maintain that continuity that constitute adult personality.

They also described new methodologies in the study of the life span ("intrapsychic interdisciplinarity"), suggesting an increased interest in the individual as being constructive of the environment, and in cognition in relation to other processes and the social and cultural environment. Some of these new methodologies, adopted by gerontologists, include various biographical techniques that result in construction of a narrative. It is this narrative that can intimately involve the researcher [counselor] and provide a social and cultural context for interpretation. The process results in what Freeman (1984) calls "historical understanding," understanding the psychological present and future of the individual in terms of "the shape that emerges out of the past" (p. 17).

We hear echoes of the "mechanics" of vocational script development in other adult personality theories. Vocational script development implies that there is "evaluation" of one's history in the development of one's vocational script, that this evaluation is intuitive, and that there are numerous resolutions
to life problems once the vocational script is illuminated. Similarly, Cohler & Grunebaum (1981) suggested that the criteria should include comprehensiveness and consistency as well as the capacity for absorbing other types of data.

Lerner & Busch-Rosnagel (1981) suggested that interpretation should focus on how individuals produce their own development, not on quantitative measures. Labouvie-Vief (1984), in critiquing Piagetian exclusion of later adult types of reasoning, offered a view of adult thinking and problem-solving in which older adults come increasingly to rely "on intuitive and personal" thinking and reasoning, that they consider multiple resolutions to problems, and that the Piagetian bias precludes seeing this failure of formal operational thinking as anything but cognitive decline.

Recent cognitive perspectives enhance the concept of vocational script precisely because they accord to proactive adaptation to individuals. Vocational script development suggests that environmental influences on development are joined with physical, cognitive, and emotional growth processes and that both stability and change are key features. We see a similar perspective in new constructivist approaches. People proceed through cycles of organization and disorganization, emotional attachments are key, and thought, feeling, and action are intertwined (Mahoney & Lyddon, 1988). Cognitive structures are not vehicles for storing a stable response set but are, rather, transformational.
In vocational script development, the individual moves through a process that invites personal growth and adaptive response. Similarly, for constructivists, reality becomes personalized through a generative process. There is a view of development across the lifespan and an interest in basic change processes, both of which are related to recent interest in evolutionary epistemology (Mahoney & Lyddon, 1988). The development of self-identity (Guidano, 1984, 1987, 1991), is a self-organizing process that allows for both mutability and stability. Increasingly complex cognitive abilities develop, enable the individual to reinterpret and re-order experience, and make possible the recreation of a self-identity. The vocational script concept provides a description of the self that is generative, regulated, and assertive. The construct of "autopoiesis" (Varela, 1979; Zeleny, 1981) also provides a description of self-identity similar to that inherent in the vocational script concept but with a primary focus on "autonomy" (Varela, 1979).

Vocational script development implies an adaptive repertoire of behaviors and attitudes grouped and ready to respond to events. So do the constructs of Bowlby (1969) and Bandura (1991). Bowlby (1969) developed his ethological theory of an "adaptive behavioral repertoire," following both Darwinian adaptation theory and Harlow's work with monkeys as well as Lorenz' imprinting theory. Specifying a sequential link between an instinct for
survival and eventual independence of the organism, Bowlby unfolded a theoretical description of the attachment developed in the infant as key to eliciting responsive caregiving. As the infant, by instinct, develops behaviors that elicit caring, loving response in the caregiver, the infant ensures his/her survival and subsequent cognitive development. The development of cognition further ensures survival and enables the child to function more independently from the caregiver.

Bandura (1991) suggested that the "ongoing exercise of self-influence" motivates and regulates behavior (p. 248). He explained that "a number of factors, some relating to the attributes of individuals, others to the behavior, and still others to the nature and type of self-monitoring, can affect the likelihood that observing how one behaves will enlist self-reactive influences" (p. 251). For Bandura, self-monitoring is influenced by "preexisting cognitive structures" and by "mood states." People exercise "adaptive control anticipatorily rather than being simply reactive to the effects of their efforts" (p. 259).

Bandura then explained how three kinds of influences within the self regulate motivation: affective self-evaluation, perceived self-efficacy, and adjustment of personal standards following attainment -- i.e., an evaluative and adaptive process built upon individual differences and values, precisely what
the vocational script development process involves. Bandura continued with explanations of belief systems that are influential in self-regulation. He described such belief systems as "conceptions of ability," and people's beliefs in the controllability of their environments, and then summarized these concepts in a causal model. Pervading these concepts, Bandura's causal model, and his subsequent discussion of "depression-prone individuals" is the concept of the endurance and dynamics of personality traits (pp. 272-274), again, the stasis and change that pervade the vocational script concept.

Also central to the vocational script concept is the idea of core themes that reflect past response and can be predictive of future attitudes and behavior. Guidano's (1991) concept of "core affective themes" and Liotti's (1988) "matching and making process" exemplify this idea. Core affective themes develop throughout the lifespan. For the individual these themes constitute the base for interpreting experience and adding new understandings to the core themes. Thus, change and continuity are always present as the individual gives meaning to experience (Guidano, 1991). The "matching and making process" describes a similar system. As the individual perceives new elements in his or her experience, they are matched to information previously stored. If the new elements force repeated incongruence between what has previously been represented and how these new elements are interpreted, new patterns of
memory develop and transform subsequent representations of experience (Liotti, 1988).

Vocational script development links the psychology and philosophy of work and career with social and cultural contexts, for it offers a tool for mining the resources to be found in an individual's history of work. Similar but slightly varying constructs (Lofquist and Dawis, 1969; Campbell and Heffernan, 1983; Tiedeman and O'Hara, 1963; Tiedeman and Miller-Tiedeman, 1977; Schein, 1978; Musgrove, 1992; & Savickas, 1992) relate to this mining process in their explanations of the continually created self. Schein's (1978) "career anchors" concept is rooted in the individual's organization of his or her own experience, just as is vocational script development. A career anchor guides, facilitates, modulates, identifies, and evaluates one's experience. Internally defined, career anchors are of several types: technical/functional competence; managerial competence (analytic, interpersonal, and emotional); security; autonomy; and creativity (Schein, 1978). Tiedeman and Miller-Tiedeman's view of the self "that is continually under construction" (1977, p. 167) is a key link to the narrative paradigm and the vocational script concept. Tiedeman and O'Hara's (1963) very early statements about time, work, and ego identity underlie the view of vocational development as a construction of the self over time. Both activity and reflection are important components of
experience, demanding that a person "fit his career into life" (1963, p. 57).

This focus on the "continuing self," on the ability of the person to recreate the self in the context of various life roles is at the heart of vocational script development. The self "calls forth" its history, recapitulates it in the creation of a satisfying present, and anticipates a "vital" future: the essence of a satisfying retirement from active employment.

The history, memory, maintenance, and repair of one's vocational script can be active in the development of satisfaction with retirement, both in the approach to and planning for retirement and in the actual experience of retirement. Musgrove (1992) and Savickas (1992) extend a constructivist perspective and provide a significant backdrop to the development of the vocational script concept. Musgrove (1992) described her career counseling with a client unfolding in three stages. In the first stage, her work is that of a counseling psychologist with an integrative approach. Here she uses psychoanalytic, Gestalt, object/relations, Adlerian, and cognitive therapies to uncover "deep, broad issues," to "pull out the stories leading to vocational resolution," and to define the "residuals . . . from earlier relationships." In the second stage, she "work(s) from the conviction that the client is carrying a complete job description within him/herself and that if we both learn how to raise the client's level of self-observation thoroughly enough, we can 'read' it."
In this second stage, she is "interested in every clue to the person's vocational identity . . . the nuances of the person's work life." In the third stage, she becomes a "coach," assisting in job search skill development and activities. For Musgrove, the internal job description is key to personal identity, for the implication is that such an internal "description" is in fact a far wider ranging view of the self as the individual constructs the self over time. Musgrove's approach to career counseling as psychological work is a response to a view of the self as being called forth, breaking through its wall of defenses, and acting from a core script.

The metaphor of character development, described earlier, infuses the vocational script concept and underlies a much larger metaphor, that of the narrated life. As a person develops his/her vocational script and brings it into awareness, s/he engages in a narrative process, telling a story of a life defined in large measure by the work s/he did. Referring to new developments in psychology and counseling that focus on narratives, or "career as story," Savickas (1992) concluded that this focus allows us to "conceptualize career counseling as a process of enhancing the narratability of a client's life" (p. 10). This done, he envisions that clients will see the future with less hesitation and "step into the next chapter" with the conviction that their stories are being continued. This is one purpose of vocational script development as well.
Savickas argued that the "autobiographical project" is what the client "does" and that (recalling script theory) this project in fact produces the client's life. This "story metaphor" is in marked contrast, Savickas said, from viewing the client from the "trait metaphor," for the trait metaphor locks the client in time and the assumption that "the life produces the autobiography" (Savickas, 1992, p. 2). The story metaphor in fact enhances decision-making. It enables the client to make meaning of choices, alternatives, and decisions because it "privileges the subjective perspective" of the client. Savickas uses narratability to do three things: reveal the thematic pattern of the client's life in which the person strives, through the stories, to "become more whole;" clarify the client's identity through the "formative and definitive experiences" in the client's stories; and extend "their stories into the occupational future" (1992, p. 5-6).

Further clarification of the vocational script concept can be found in the career development literature if we use occupational satisfaction as a conceptual surrogate for retirement response. A definition of occupational satisfaction derives from the definition of "work." Super (1976) defined work as "the systematic pursuit of an objective valued by oneself (even if only for survival) and desired by others; directed and consecutive, it requires the expenditure of effort. It may be compensated (paid work) or uncompensated (volunteer work or an avocation). The objective may be intrinsic enjoyment of the work itself,
the structure given to life by the work role, the economic support which work makes possible, or the type of leisure which it facilitates" (Super, 1976, p. 20). Here, work is not precisely equivalent to occupation. All occupations are work, but not all work is an occupation. But the basic psychological emphasis in Super's definition is that elements of occupation, and therefore occupational satisfaction, relate to the definition of work. Occupational satisfaction can occur when the beliefs and values related to the job, as well as the job tasks and the motivation to complete the tasks, are congruent with the individual's dispositions and needs at a particular point in time. This perspective on occupational satisfaction does not preclude the effect of social context, but rather imbeds individual response in both the environment and individual differences.

Career development research and theory address directly issues surrounding occupational satisfaction and its psychological motivations and, by inference, subsequent response and adaptation to retirement that can be developed through vocational scripts. Both Osipow (1983) and Herr and Cramer (1988) offer helpful categorizations in this regard. And recently, Super (1984) has commented anew on work and job satisfaction: "The approach of recent years has shifted from a focus on work alone as the central life concern to an interest in the quality of life, life in which work is one central concern in
a constellation of roles such as homemaking, citizenship, and leisure that interact to make for life satisfaction. The terms work motivation and job satisfaction are now perhaps not displaced by, certainly incorporated into, the terms quality of life and life satisfactions" (Super, 1984, p. 29).

Implications for Counseling

If we view the development of retirement satisfaction as the continued development of the individual's vocational script, then the individual's awareness of the script, continued adjustment of the script, and perceived congruence of life activity with the script can be seen as key independent personality variables. These are of course influenced by socioeconomic and cultural factors: the availability of resources, ethnic/racial group membership, occupational status, educational attainment, social support, and labor market and economic conditions. And the entire array is affected by the extent to which counselors are effective in enhancing awareness, acceptance, and skill in developing the vocational script. When an individual can develop a view of his or her vocational self that is continuous from the time of active employment through retirement, self-efficacy, optimism, planfulness, autonomy, and satisfaction can accrue.

Counseling applications that address development of a personal vocational script enhance the congruence of the perceived vocational self in
employment with the self in retirement. The applications that follow all encourage the development of a person's vocational script, the elaboration of that script, and the application of that script in the experience of retirement activities and goals.

Savickas (1989) assists clients in learning about "the social meaning of work and love in our culture" (p. 7) and in doing so provides one model for intervention. He hopes to help clients resolve "work-love imbalance" in which there is discrepancy for his retiring clients between how they have always seen themselves in the work world and how they see themselves in daily life outside of employment. Savickas works with his clients to re-orient their "interpretations of work and love that sustain disequilibrium and cause distress" (1989, p. 8). He does so by encouraging clients to interpret their definitions of their roles and activities vis-a-vis love and work and to balance the two worlds. In explaining the dichotomies faced by his clients, Savickas offers us some of the clothes that dress vocational scripts, those views of one's vocational role that carry over and penetrate one's retirement from a career. He says, "Competition must alternate with cooperation, aggression with altruism, efficiency with patience, control with reciprocity, and individuality with empathy" (Savickas, 1989, p. 11).
Savickas (1990) made clearer the counseling application for people in retirement when he explained career interventions that "increase self-control and willpower... enhance self-efficacy, focus causal attributions on effort, and strengthen the need for achievement" (p. 3). Doing this helps people control their views of their own futures, because it helps them learn that they have had careers. Extending this idea, such career interventions help people in retirement bring their vocational selves with them as an aid in empowerment and satisfaction. Savickas (1990) called for counseling that helps people identify their "subjective careers." Whereas a person's objective career consists of positions the person has held, the subjective career "consists of self-conscious thoughts about the vocational past, present, and future," a time perspective and future orientation that encourages successful vocational behavior (Savickas, 1990, p. 4).

Savickas goes on to describe the middle-class career culture's time perspective orientation as something that can be learned by other cultures in the U. S. who have substantively different views of time and therefore face little success and satisfaction vocationally. He suggests that subjective career awareness can be taught through the use of three constructs in career intervention counseling: perspective, differentiation, and integration. These constructs address how people in what Savickas (1990) calls the "career
culture" express time metaphors, maintaining that time metaphors that indicate
direction and movement relate to career satisfaction. Assessing view of time
begins by asking clients to complete the sentence, "Time is like
__________________ " (Savickas, 1990, p. 6). It can also include
assessment of temporal orientation, which Savickas explains as the "time zone
[past, present, or future] . . .[that has] primary relevance for contemporary
decision making" (1990, p. 6). This can be done by using a "lines test" (Cottle
& Peck, 1969, as cited in Savickas, 1990). In this assessment, clients segment
a horizontal line into past, present, and future areas, with the longest area
considered their primary time orientation. Savickas (1990) also suggests using
Cottle’s (1976, as cited in Savickas, 1990) circles test.

Temporal differentiation, how "real" the primary time zone is for a
person, is also assessed in counseling (Savickas, 1990). A highly differentiated
time zone, populated with a wide range of relationships and experiences,
grounds a person in that zone. Encouraging clients to differentiate the future
time zone in such a rich fashion means, for Savickas, that those people can
then actually see themselves in the future. This relieves anxiety and stress and
allows for empowerment, autonomy, and purposefulness. "Anticipating events
and then modeling the future using these events enables an individual to
envision possible selves embedded in that future" (Savickas, 1990, p. 8). Aids
in assessment of time orientation include Wallace's (1956, as cited in Savickas, 1990) Open Events Test, Tolor, Brannigan, and Murphy's (1970, as cited in Savickas, 1990) modification of Stein, Sarbin, and Kulik's Future Events Test (FET), anticipatory guidance (predicting the events in one's future to enhance adaptability), use of media excerpts and teaching resources to teach about future life and career stages, and use of future daydreams (guided imagery, future autobiographies). Such aids allow the counselor to use dreams as if they were scripts, encouraging clients to make the dreams "denser" and more extensive (Savickas, 1990).

Savickas' (1990) third organizing construct, time integration, helps the client become more planful, for it encourages the client to connect events across the past, present, and future. By doing so, the client achieves "continuity" (essentially, planning skill) and "optimism" (the disposition underlying planfulness) (p. 11). Savickas (1990) argues strongly for counseling that encourages such continuity and optimism, without which planning becomes an illusion, and unpredictability reigns. When clients can achieve a sense of continuity across time in relation to their view of their vocational selves, themes and patterns come to awareness and endure, identity is strengthened, and perseverance in activities becomes a hallmark of daily life. Savickas (1990) suggests assessment of continuity with Wessman's (1973, as cited in

Other practitioners, researchers, and theorists offer similar applications related to vocational script development. Currently conducting what she calls "structured life reviews," Haight (1982; 1988; 1989; 1992) provides us with substantive practical examples of uses of this critical relative of vocational script exploration. Her Life Review and Experiencing Form (1982) encourages integration of life events, further examination, re-framing, and closure. The form consists of questions focusing on childhood, adolescence, family and home, and adulthood, and includes 17 summary items. Although items addressing vocational choice, decision-making, and development are few in number, the potential for developing such items is substantial and would be useful in eliciting vocational scripts in counseling sessions.

Schlossberg (1976) suggested several elements in adult counseling that apply to the use of vocational scripts: introducing adults to anticipation and implementation as two processes of decision-making; brainstorming; program development and social activism; and getting to the "subtext" (p. 35).
Similarly, Super's career maturity assessment model (1983), with its emphasis on "crystallization" of experience and life-career roles across the life span could be useful in investigating and defining vocational scripts. Smyer's (1984) discussion of life assessment and "guided autobiography" supports these applications as do Westcott's (1983) work with life review with older persons (focusing on the themes of self-esteem, intergenerational conflict, preparation for living, and preparation for dying), Loesch's (1980) life-flow leisure counseling (exploring previous and current interests, values, and characteristics and subsequent integration into a desired set of leisure activities), and Johnson and Riker's (1981) work with the concept of "retirement maturity" (a construct locally normed with Super's Career Development Inventory).

Sherman (1991), dipping further into the area of reminiscence, self, and "narrative knowing," also provided support for the use of vocational script development to enhance retirement response. Sherman's (1991) discussion of Gendlin's Experiencing (EXP) Scale explained expressive and stylistic indicators of various experiential levels at any one moment during a person's self-narrating. These EXP levels range from the first level, when the narrative content is external events and the narrator is impersonal and detached, to the seventh level, when the content integrates external events, descriptions of feelings, propositions about feelings and personal experiences, and resolution of
personal issues. Because they are process oriented, these levels label useful
benchmarks for the counselor who seeks to enhance a client's ability to engage
in useful narration.

Summary and Problem Statement

People entering retirement face an onslaught of situational,
environmental, emotional, economic, and psychological changes for which they
are largely ill prepared. At best, they may find assistance in community
support groups or employee assistance programs that address such issues as
budgeting and use of leisure time. At worst, they may lose a primary life role
as an employed person and lack the skills to adapt to the changes in status and
identity that result. While retirees may carry with them into retirement the
social roles of spouse, partner, parent, student, or volunteer, their vocational
roles and identities often fade quickly, leaving many bereft of the benefits such
an identity can provide. The meaning of work and the importance of the
vocational role vary among people, but identifying these features of vocational
identity can help people adapt to retirement. It may well be that those people
who can participate in and commit fully to life in retirement have been able to
realize values and expectations that accrued as part of their previous work
identities. Such continuing vocational agency may in fact be the key ingredient
in satisfaction with retirement.
Personal career counseling can help in the cultivation of vocational agency. It can assist people about to enter retirement or in retirement in becoming aware of their perceptions of their vocational roles. It can do so through encouraged reflection on, reminiscence about, and definition of the various aspects of their work roles, how those roles became formed, and how those roles played out in the context of other life roles and their family and social relationships.

The key question, then, is how we might assess the extent to which people advance their vocational views of self into retirement. It is this assessment and the encouraged reflection from counseling that can result in the development of vocational scripts, those adaptive views of the self at work that provide the role definitions necessary for people to feel productive and responsible and, therefore, satisfied with retirement.

Research Hypotheses

The purposes of this study were to examine the effects of perceived importance of the work role, the extent of participation in various life roles, and the value expectations attributed to life role activities on retirement satisfaction. This is a new area of research in vocational psychology, linking vocational development theory, career counseling theory, and narrative and script theory to retirement satisfaction. As such, it requires that the research
questions for this study be framed as working hypotheses. This study explored the following hypotheses:

1. Retired people who attribute greater importance to the work role and work-like roles (vs. other life roles) in their lives will be able to identify better the vocational features they have carried with them into retirement activity than those who attribute greater importance to other life roles.

2. Retired people who participate more fully in work-like activities (activities with purposes beyond relaxation and entertainment) in their retirements will report higher levels of satisfaction with their retirements than those who do not participate as fully in work-like activities.

3. Retired people who expect to become more involved in work-like activities in their retirements will report higher levels of satisfaction with their retirements than those who do not expect to become more involved in work-like activities.

4. Retired people who perceive they will have more opportunities to use their skills and knowledge in work-like activities will report higher levels of satisfaction with their retirements than
those who do not perceive they will have more such opportunities.
CHAPTER II

METHOD

Subjects

This study used professional telephone interviewers from a local social research company (CJI Research Corporation) to conduct survey interviews by telephone with a random selection of persons in Upper Arlington, a suburb of Columbus, Ohio. The telephone questionnaire used an introductory script to ask whether the respondent had retired within the past two to four years. If the respondent had retired in that time, he or she qualified for inclusion in the survey and was asked if he or she wished to participate. If so, the full questionnaire was administered over the phone. From a sample frame of 500, 289 calls were made. From these calls, 57 were not answered, 66 declined to participate, and 87 were not qualified according to the research criteria. Extensive follow-up calls were also made to those whose lines were busy or who did not answer. A total of 79 interviews were completed.

Criteria for qualification and recruitment also required that the sample be sex balanced and include a range of pre-retirement occupational status to the
extent possible. For this study, only residents of Upper Arlington were included in the sample. The sample was a convenience sample and, as such, allowed for recruitment at a much lower cost per interview than would wider sampling of retirees within similar upper socioeconomic strata. Such generalizability is appropriate to an initial study testing working hypotheses such as those proposed herein.

After completing the phone survey, respondents were asked to participate in a follow-up mail survey. This procedure is described below.

Measures

The constructs referred to in the working hypotheses were operationalized through administration of The Salience Inventory (Super & Nevill, 1985) accompanied by a demographic/lifestyle questionnaire. The Salience Inventory (SI) registers participation in, commitment to, and value expectations related to five life roles previously identified by Super (1976) in his Life-Career Rainbow: student, worker, homemaker (including parent, spouse, partner), leisurite, and citizen. The Rainbow helps illuminate the amount of time spent by a person in a particular role (temporal importance), the extent of emotional involvement in that role, and the variation of temporal importance and emotional involvement with personal and environmental determinants.
Using the Rainbow as a base, the Work Importance Study (Super, 1982) developed a model of the importance of work and other life/career roles. The model has three components: commitment -- the emotional attachment to role that does not necessarily imply action; participation -- the extent to which a person expends time and energy in a role; and knowledge -- the cognitive aspect that a person acquires through actual experience in a role (not accounted for in the SI but, rather, in other inventories). A subsequent model was developed and expressed in the construction of the SI. The model underlying the SI is Super's (1983) Developmental Assessment Model that includes the psychological elements of the development of career within other life role contexts and brings the concept of "salience" into view. Salience combines the components of commitment and participation and the nature of values held by a person. With the inclusion of the salience concept, the model enables the counselor to determine career maturity and, by extension, readiness and preparation for other life roles (Super, 1984).

Super (1983) has suggested definitively that the SI can be useful in research on career maturity, and Nevill and Super (1986) suggest further uses in studying the importance of each role as roles change over time, the impact of the roles on one another, the values sought in each role, and role choices and satisfactions. The SI could be used to determine how salient needs and
values would be addressed as a person moved from employment to retirement, as well as, in this study, to assess continuation of the vocational view of self and the relationship of retirement satisfaction to perceived salience of life roles.

The SI includes 170 items that can be self-administered and answered within 30 to 45 minutes. It has three parts that assess salience of life/career roles: studying, working, community service, home and family, and leisure activities. Three scales are scored on the SI: the Participation scale (P), the Commitment scale (C), and the Value Expectations scale (V). The response ratings range from "1" (Never or Rarely, and Little or None) through "2" (Sometimes and Some) and "3" (Often and Quite a Lot) to "4" (Almost Always and A Great Deal). The SI is completed on answer sheets, which are electronically scored by the publisher. Scoring was done by the study investigator.

The P scale is a set of 10 items that are behavioral in content. They ask "what you actually do or have done recently" with respect to four-point ratings across the five life roles. Participation is the measure of action, not emotional attachment to role or knowledge about a role. The C scale measures the extent of commitment to role (10 items; four-point scale) by asking "how you feel about" the five roles. It is the attitudinal, affective component of the SI and assesses degree of identification with each role. The V scale asks "what
opportunity do you see now or in the future to . . ." and, as such, measures the extent to which a person expects to find satisfaction in a given role. The V scale assesses value expectations through 14 items on the four-point scale across 14 values that include both intrinsic and extrinsic values (Nevill & Super, 1986). The 14 values and their corresponding item stems are:

1. Ability Utilization use all your skills and knowledge in . . .
2. Achievement know that your efforts will show in . . .
3. Aesthetics make life more beautiful by . . .
4. Altruism help people with problems in . . .
5. Autonomy act on your own in . . .
6. Creativity discover or make new things in . . .
7. Economics have a high standard of living through . . .
8. Life Style live life your own way in . . .
9. Physical Activity be physically active in . . .
10. Prestige be admired for your knowledge/ skills in . . .
11. Risk feel that you can take some risks in . . .
12. Social Interaction do things with other people in . . .
13. Variety do a number of different things in . . .
14. Working Conditions have good conditions for . . .

Nevill and Super (1986) explain that these items were selected from the Values Scale (Super & Nevill, 1986) for three reasons: independence and reliability as estimates of the items' representation of the universe of values; discriminating nature of the values with respect to occupations, as shown in other studies; and economy of administration.
Measures of internal consistency (alpha coefficients) were above .80 for three test populations (high school and college students and adults). Test-retest measures of stability (college students) were less than .70 for 10 of the 15 scales (Super & Nevill, 1986). Varying the sequence of the SI did not affect the reliabilities of the Values Expectations scale. These reliabilities remained lower than those for either the Participation or the Commitment scales.

Content validity of the SI derives from the career development literature base used to specify the life roles. Refinement of definitions of these roles was based on field trials. Item construction derived from reactions of subjects, staff agreement, and item-scale correlations.

Construct validity measures are evident in the relative rankings of the five life roles endorsed by the high school, college, and adult subjects. The rankings reflect developmental changes. Among the adult population, Leisure becomes less important. Commitment to Home and Family and Value Expectations in Home and Family become more prominent. However, the worker role ranks second among the five roles across all three scales for adults.

Interscale correlations for the three populations (concurrent validity) show that Commitment is measured by both the Values Expectations scale and the Commitment scale. Theoretically, the two scales are similar. Theoretical difference is found in the Participation scale, which shows less correlation to
the other two scales. Convergent and divergent validity of the scales are supported (Nevill & Super, 1986).

The demographic/lifestyle questionnaire, developed by this author and administered prior to respondents' agreement to complete the SI, includes items to determine age, sex, race/ethnicity, prior occupational status, current retirement income range, social supports, financial responsibilities, retirement duration, retirement decision type (voluntary/involuntary), and the relationship of vocational/career features to activities in retirement. It also includes an index of items to measure level of satisfaction with retirement. Respondents were asked to indicate on a ten-point scale their well-being on the following features of their retirements:

- disposable income
- daily worry, vigilance, and stress
- extent of positive social contact
- quality of interpersonal relationships
- quality of involvement in community/neighborhood activities
- cohesion within the community in which they live
- attitudes of others with respect to their retirement status
- health concerns
- independence/autonomy
perceived ability to age in place
self-esteem
optimism
perceived personal agency

A measure of internal consistency (alpha coefficient) of this index was above .90 for the test population of retired adults. Test-retest measures of stability for the test population were above .60 for 7 of the 15 scaled items. Lower stability measures for the remaining 8 items may reflect small cell sizes (N=16). The unbiased estimate of reliability under the strict parallel model is .89.

Design

This study explored the hypotheses that retired people who attribute greater importance than do others to the work role and to work-like roles, participate in and commit more fully to work-like activities, and perceive that they will have more opportunities to use their skills and knowledge in work-like activities will be able to identify better the vocational features they have brought to their retirements and will report higher levels of satisfaction with retirement. More specifically, we expected to find that the greater the importance attributed to work-like activity and work-like roles in the SI, the higher a retired person would score on the Retirement Satisfaction Index (RS)
in the demographic/lifestyle questionnaire. We also expected that the more
retired people participated in activities related to working (paid or unpaid work
or community service), the higher they would score on the RS. And, we
expected that the more retired people felt commitment to, and had expectations
of engaging in, work-like activities, the higher their RS scores would be.

Initial frequencies and breakdowns of the survey data
(demographic/lifestyle questionnaire) and the SI results provided direction for
subsequent analyses by specifying significant combinations of levels of
variables. Factor analysis and multiple regression defined the relationship
among the independent variables (the SI scales C, P, and V and
demographic/lifestyle characteristics) and their effects on retirement satisfaction
(RS), the dependent variable. The regression model was: \( RS = C + P + V + \)
demographic/lifestyle variables.

Procedure

The Upper Arlington Commission on Aging and the Upper Arlington
Senior Association assisted in this study of recently retired people. The study
data will provide the Commission on Aging and Senior Association with
information useful in program development. A full summary report on the
study, including selected tables and narrative, will be provided to the
Commission and Senior Association.
As stated in the Subjects section of this chapter, CJI Research Corporation (CJI) conducted the survey interviews using its professionally trained staff of interviewers. The interviewers were trained according to professional interviewing practices. Participation by respondents was entirely voluntary, and strict rules guaranteeing confidentiality of responses and respondent identities were followed. These rules are those found in the Code of Standards for Survey Research of the Council of American Survey Research Organizations (CASRO) (see Appendix C). The survey interviews were conducted with a random selection of retired persons in Upper Arlington. The introductory script qualified the respondent as a person retired within the past two to four years and asked for his or her participation in the full phone interview. A total of 79 interviews were completed. The sample was sex balanced and included a range of pre-retirement occupational status to the extent possible.

The role of the Commission and the Senior Association in this supplemental study was limited to two components:

- providing access to recently retired respondents in the community interested in becoming involved in interviews as randomly selected respondents; and
- providing comments on the survey instruments to be used.
The Commission and the Senior Association announced the upcoming survey in the community newspapers, validating the nature of the study and encouraging retired people to participate in the survey if they were called at random. The Commission and Senior Association were provided advance copies of the survey instruments to be used in the project for their review and approval. Appropriate revisions were made in the demographic/lifestyle questionnaire, and the instrument was pretested with five respondents selected at random. Revisions based on the pretest were included in the final instrument.

After the full phone interview, using the demographic/lifestyle questionnaire, was completed, the voluntary cooperation of the respondent was sought to complete a supplementary mailed questionnaire that would further explore retirement issues. This questionnaire was the SI. CJI staff prepared and completed the mailing to willing respondents, ensuring confidentiality according to CASRO standards, and using unique identifiers that would link SI respondents to their respective phone survey questionnaire data. A replacement sample, also uniquely identified, was used to control for attrition of subjects, and all cases of phone survey respondents who declined to participate in the mail survey were retained as a collateral sample for comparison of data results. All other identifying information referring to names, addresses, or telephone
numbers was destroyed in paper and data files within three months after the end of the project and before delivery of the summary report. The unique identifiers were used only for data matching. Completed SI instruments, mailed back to CJI, were scored and merged with their respective phone survey components.

Proper approvals were obtained from The Ohio State University Human Subjects Committee. Participants were told in the letter mailed with the SI instrument that the investigator would remain available for a limited time for discussion of study results.
CHAPTER III

RESULTS

Initial Demographic and Satisfaction Information

Analysis of the information collected in the phone survey and in the mailed SI questionnaire (N=79) reveal a sample of retirees which is two-thirds (67%) male, who were employed full-time (97.5%) in largely professional (46.8%) and managerial (20.3%) positions prior to retiring, and who perceived they had "careers" (83.3%), not just jobs/or positions, during their employed years (see Table 1). Other demographic characteristics are consistent with this profile, suggesting a relatively well-educated, affluent retiree sample. Almost 85% of the retirees are college educated. Over three-fourths (75.9%) are married (most without other people in the household -- 77.2%). And the majority (79.4%) fall within the 65-74 age range (a range that will begin to be filled over the next decade with the first wave of the baby boomer cohort).
The general nature of the sample's retirement is also clear from the demographic data. The data appear to show a sample of retirees who have not been forced to retire and who do not suffer overmuch from economic pressures. Almost all the retirees (91.7%) state they experienced a voluntary retirement decision. (Of these, 74% say they "wanted to retire" and were not offered an early retirement offer.) Although 58.2% say their retirement activities are "very different from the work I did" while employed, a full 40.5% find that their retirement activities are either "like the work I did" or a "mixture" of employment-like activities and different routines. And while, as expected, there is a gap between pre- and post-retirement household income (see Q28 and Q29 in Table 1), it is not the large gap that might be evident in lower socio-economic groups of retirees.
Table 1

Retirees: Sample Demographics (N=79)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1 Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 Occupation During Employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Sales, Service, Clerical, Trade)</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 Employment Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-Time</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4a Employment Type in Retirement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteering</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely Retired</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

Variable %

Q4b Part-Time Employed/Volunteering Retirees:

Similarity of Current Work to Pre-Retirement Work (n=44)

   Very Similar  22.7
   Somewhat Similar  27.3
   Not Similar at All  34.1
   Unsure  15.9

Q5 Type of Retirement Decision

   Voluntary  91.7
   Involuntary  5.6
   No Response  2.8

Q6 Want to Retire? (n=77)

   Wanted to Retire  74.0
   Early Retirement Offer  22.1
   Both  3.9

Q7b Perceived "Career" or "Jobs/Positions"?

   Career  83.3
   Both  16.7
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q8 Perceived Similarity of Retirement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities to Pre-Retirement Work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are Like the Work I Did</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Different from Work I Did</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24 Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate/Professional School</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25 Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Married</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Widowed</td>
<td>13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Divorced</td>
<td>6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Single</td>
<td>3.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q26 Household Size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>77.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q27 Age (n=68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q28 Gross Retirement Household Income (n=64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $50,000</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $50,000</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q29 Gross Pre-Retirement Household Income (n=66)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $50,000</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $50,000</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Because of branching from Q5, n was too reduced to record responses to Q7a.

Levels of satisfaction in various aspects of retirement were also gauged in the phone survey. Retirees were asked to rate on a 1-10 scale (1=very dissatisfied; 10=very satisfied) their levels of satisfaction with the following elements of life in retirement:

- extent of positive social contact
- quality of interpersonal relationships
- quality of involvement in community/neighborhood activities
- cohesion within the community in which they live
- attitudes of others with respect to their retirement status
- health concerns
- independence/autonomy
perceived ability to age in place

self-esteem

optimism

perceived personal agency

Table 2 shows the rank order of mean ratings (from highest to lowest) of these aspects. The retirees indicated their highest satisfaction with three aspects: (Q11/12 Quality of Interpersonal Relationships, 9.20; Q20 Perceived Ability to Age in Place, 9.09; and Q22 Optimism, 9.05). The next three highest rated aspects were Independence and Autonomy, Self-Esteem, and Attitudes of Others toward Retirement Status, followed closely by Extent of Positive Social Contact, overall Retirement Satisfaction, and Perceived Personal Agency. The aspects garnering the lowest satisfaction ratings were Quality of Involvement in Community, Health Concerns, and Community Cohesion. (See the questionnaire in Appendix B for the full item statements of each aspect.)
Table 2

Retirement Aspects: Mean Satisfaction Ratings (In Order of Decreasing Satisfaction)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Q11/12) Quality of Interpersonal Relationships</td>
<td>9.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q20) Perceived Ability to Age in Place</td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q22) Optimism</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q18/19) Independence and Autonomy</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q21) Self-Esteem</td>
<td>8.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q15) Attitudes of Others Toward Retirement Status</td>
<td>8.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q10) Extent of Positive Social Contact</td>
<td>8.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q9) General Retirement Satisfaction</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q23) Perceived Personal Agency</td>
<td>8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q13) Quality of Involvement in Community</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q16/17) Health Concerns</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q14) Community Cohesion</td>
<td>6.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A "retirement satisfaction index" (RS) was constructed from the retirees' ratings of these aspects and from the one overall rating (Q9) of their general satisfaction in retirement. (See Table 3.) This index shows almost 32% of the retirees indicating high levels of retirement satisfaction across the rated aspects, with another 44.3% moderately satisfied. A little less than one-fourth (24.1%) of the retirees indicated low levels of retirement satisfaction. This variable (RS) was used as the dependent variable in the subsequent regression analysis.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retirement Satisfaction (RS) Index</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low RS</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate RS</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Rs</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participation, Commitment, and Value Expectations Across Life Roles

As explained earlier, the SI was used in this study to assess continuation of the vocational view of self and the relationship of retirement satisfaction to perceived salience of life roles. The three parts of the SI assess salience of
life/career roles: studying, working, community service, home and family, and leisure activities. Three scales are scored on the SI: the Participation scale (P), the Commitment scale (C), and the Value Expectations scale (V). The response ratings range from "1" (Never or Rarely, and Little or None) through "2" (Sometimes and Some) and "3" (Often and Quite a Lot) to "4" (Almost Always and A Great Deal).

Total scores, means, and standard deviations for the sample of retirees within the five life/career roles and across the three scales are shown in Table 4. The P and C scales have a maximum total score possible of 40 and a minimum of 10. The V scale has a maximum of 56 and minimum of 14.

Across the three scales, home/family and leisure activities receive the highest scores. Retirees thus indicated that they engage in (P) these roles more frequently than they do in studying, working, or community service and that they attribute greater importance (C) to these roles and expect to express various values (V) in these roles in the future.

The studying role received the lowest mean score across the three scales. Working and community service mean scores fell between the top ranked home/family and leisure roles and the low-ranked studying role (see Table 4).
Table 4

Salience Inventory Scores for Retirees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(What you actually do or have done recently) (Maximum = 40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Study</td>
<td>18.85</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>1395.00</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Work</td>
<td>19.65</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>1513.00</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Service</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>1495.00</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Home</td>
<td>28.45</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>2134.00</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Leisure</td>
<td>28.04</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>2103.00</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(How you feel about it) (Maximum = 40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Study</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>1629.00</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Work</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>1723.00</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>23.05</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>1729.00</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td>35.26</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>2715.00</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>30.37</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>2308.00</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 (continued)

**Salience Inventory Scores for Retirees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value Expectations (What opportunity do you see now or in the future to . . .) (Maximum = 56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>27.32</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>1994.00</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>27.58</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>2124.00</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>2247.00</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>43.80</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>3285.00</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>40.35</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>3026.00</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Factor Analysis

A factor analysis was used to identify factors that could serve as unifying constructs for the scale, role, and demographic variables. First, a correlation matrix for all purely demographic variables and the SI variables was computed (see Tables 5 and 6). Factor extraction and rotation (see Tables 7 and 8) were then used to ascertain how well the model fit the data and to make the factors more interpretable.

Correlation Matrix

The correlation matrix for all variables (Table 5) shows that 32 of the coefficients are greater than .27 in absolute value. (The highest absolute correlations are found between sets of the P, C, and V scale variables and the life/career roles. Each variable except Q5 (Retirement Decision Type) has at least one high correlation with at least one other variable in the matrix.

Bartlett's test of sphericity was large (770.67230), and the significance level was small (.00000), indicating that the correlation matrix is not an identity matrix and that use of the factor model is appropriate.

Factor Extraction

Estimates of the initial factors were obtained from principal components analysis (see Table 7). Eigenvalues (total variance explained by each factor) for eight factors were greater than 1.0, and 76.6% of the total variance was
attributable to those eight factors. Therefore, it was determined that the eight factors might be adequate to represent the data. A factor structure matrix (Table 7) shows the factor loadings (standardized regression coefficients) for each factor. By squaring the coefficients for each variable, we obtained the amount of variance accounted for in each variable by each factor. The total variance accounted for by the retained factors is shown in the communalities listed in Table 7.

**Factor Rotation**

Varimax rotation was used to limit the number of variables with high loadings on a factor. This provides a simpler structure for interpretation. Table 8 shows the rotated factor matrix.

Review of the loadings suggested nomenclature for the factors. Factor 1 shows high loadings for P-Study, C-Study, V-Study, and Occupation. That factor was named "Self-Improvement." Factor 2 loaded high for P-Leisure, C-Leisure, and V-Leisure, and V-Home and was named "R & R." Factor 3, high for P-Service, C-Service, V-Service and Retirement Satisfaction (RS), was named "Giving Back." Factor 4, loading high for P-Work, C-Work, and V-Work, was named "Vocational Purpose." Factor 5, high for P-Home, C-Home, V-Home, Occupation, and Q24 (Educational Attainment), was named "Home Base." Factor 6 was named "Occupation and Income," (high on Occupation
and the two income variables, Q28/29). Factor 7, loading high on Q25 (Marital Status), Q26 (Household Size), and Q27 (Age) was named "Alone at Last," and Factor 8, loading high for Q5 (Retirement Decision Type) and RS2 (Retirement Satisfaction) was named "Voluntarily Retired."
Table 5

**Correlation Matrix for All Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P-Study</th>
<th>P-Work</th>
<th>P-Srvc</th>
<th>P-Hme</th>
<th>P-L'sure</th>
<th>C-Study</th>
<th>C-Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-Study</td>
<td></td>
<td>.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Work</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Service</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Home</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Leisure</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Study</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Work</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5 (continued)

**Correlation Matrix for All Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P-Study</th>
<th>P-Work</th>
<th>P-Srvc</th>
<th>P-Hme</th>
<th>P-L'sure</th>
<th>C-Study</th>
<th>C-Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>-.27</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.34</td>
<td>-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5 (continued)

**Correlation Matrix for All Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C-Svc</th>
<th>C-Hm</th>
<th>C-Ls</th>
<th>V-Sty</th>
<th>V-Work</th>
<th>V-Svc</th>
<th>V-Home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.32</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>-.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5 (continued)

Correlation Matrix for All Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>V-Ls</th>
<th>Occ</th>
<th>VolR</th>
<th>Educ</th>
<th>MarSt</th>
<th>#in hh</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Post R Inc</th>
<th>Pre R Inc</th>
<th>RS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V-Ls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.27</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.24</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The anti-image correlation matrix (Table 6) confirmed the appropriateness of the factor model, because the negatives of the partial correlation coefficients between pairs of the variables are small (with the linear effects of the other variables eliminated). The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling was in the "middling-mediocre" range (0.70's as "middling," 0.60's as "mediocre") at (.62), considered good for a small sample of N=79. The KMO level allows us to proceed with the factor analysis. Also, measures of sampling adequacy on the diagonals of the anti-image correlation matrix (Table 6) are large, a requirement for a good factor analysis.
Table 6

**Anti-Image Correlation Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P-Study</th>
<th>P-Work</th>
<th>P-Srvce</th>
<th>P-Hme</th>
<th>P-L’sure</th>
<th>C-Study</th>
<th>C-Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-Study</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Work</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Service</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.23</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Home</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Leisure</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>-.50</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Study</td>
<td>-.59</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Work</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>-.73</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>-.26</td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>-.25</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>-.38</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 (continued)

**Anti-Image Correlation Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P-Study</th>
<th>P-Work</th>
<th>P-Srvc</th>
<th>P-Hme</th>
<th>P-L'sure</th>
<th>C-Study</th>
<th>C-Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>-.39</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.38</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>-.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>-.36</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>-.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.30</td>
<td>-.29</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.27</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>-.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>-.23</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>-.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>-.25</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-.23</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 (continued)

**Anti-Image Correlation Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C-Svc</th>
<th>C-Hm</th>
<th>C-Ls</th>
<th>V-Sty</th>
<th>V-Work</th>
<th>V-Svc</th>
<th>V-Home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td>-.32</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>-.40</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.24</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>-.54</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>-.63</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.28</td>
<td>-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 (continued)

**Anti-Image Correlation Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>V-Ls</th>
<th>Occ</th>
<th>VolR</th>
<th>Educ</th>
<th>MarSt</th>
<th>#in hh</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Post R Inc</th>
<th>Pre R Inc</th>
<th>RS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V-Ls</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.59</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>-.25</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>-.38</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.50</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7

Initial Statistics, Factor Structure Matrix, and Final Statistics

Initial Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>% of Var</th>
<th>Cum%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-Study</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.03344</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Work</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.56563</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Service</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2.68469</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Home</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2.34305</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Leisure</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.97143</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Study</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.55500</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Work</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.19940</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.02379</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>.91082</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>.80218</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>.72482</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>.64538</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>.51202</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>91.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>.43996</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>.32246</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>.29172</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>95.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7 (continued)

**Initial Statistics, Factor Structure Matrix, and Final Statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Statistics</th>
<th>1.00000</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>.21537</th>
<th>.9</th>
<th>96.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>.18625</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>.14606</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>.11673</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>.10116</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>.08093</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>.06574</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>.06197</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7 (continued)

**Initial Statistics, Factor Structure Matrix, and Final Statistics**

**Factor Structure Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Study</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Work</td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Service</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Home</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Leisure</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Study</td>
<td>.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Work</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7 (continued)

**Initial Statistics, Factor Structure Matrix, and Final Statistics**

**Factor Structure Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>-.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>-.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7 (continued)

Initial Statistics, Factor Structure Matrix, and Final Statistics

Final Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Communality</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>% of Var</th>
<th>Cum%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-Study</td>
<td>.86545</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.03344</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Work</td>
<td>.86910</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.56563</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Service</td>
<td>.86413</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.68469</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Home</td>
<td>.72946</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.34305</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Leisure</td>
<td>.73709</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.97143</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Study</td>
<td>.89845</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.55500</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Work</td>
<td>.90920</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.19940</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>.86900</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.02379</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td>.72359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>.84214</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7 (continued)

Initial Statistics, Factor Structure Matrix, and Final Statistics

Final Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Communality *</th>
<th>Factor Eigenvalue</th>
<th>% of Var</th>
<th>Cum%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>0.85181</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>0.82393</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>0.86027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>0.73451</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>0.86919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>0.50408</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>0.71076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>0.49252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>0.71644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>0.75053</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.77117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>0.69137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>0.79949</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>0.49275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8

Rotated Factor Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 Self-Improve-</th>
<th>2 R&amp;R Back</th>
<th>3 Giving Purpose</th>
<th>4 Voc. Base</th>
<th>5 Occ &amp; Inc.</th>
<th>6 Alone Last</th>
<th>7 Volunt'y Retired</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-Study</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Work</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Service</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Home</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Leisure</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Study</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Work</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Service</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Home</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leisure</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Study</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Work</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Service</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Home</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Leisure</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8

Rotated Factor Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>1 Self-Improvement</th>
<th>2 R&amp;R</th>
<th>3 Giving Back</th>
<th>4 Voc. Purpose</th>
<th>5 Home Base</th>
<th>6 Occ &amp; Inc.</th>
<th>7 Alone at Last</th>
<th>8 Volunt'y Retired</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>-.50</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>-.27</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolR</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.28</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarSt</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>-.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#in hh</td>
<td>-.20</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>-.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.82</td>
<td>-.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8 (continued)

Rotated Factor Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-Improve-</td>
<td>R&amp;R Back</td>
<td>Giving</td>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Home Base</td>
<td>Occ &amp; Inc.</td>
<td>Alone at Last</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post R Inc</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre R Inc</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regression Analysis

A regression analysis (see Table 9) was conducted to determine whether the eight factors are important predictors of retirement satisfaction (RS) and to determine what weight each predictor variable contributed in predicting RS. Independent variables were the eight factors. The dependent variable was retirement satisfaction (RS). Three procedures were used: forward, backward, and stepwise (see Table 9). Forward entry, with all variables in the equation, yielded an R Square of .60 (Adjusted R Square = .49). (Adjusted R Square in each case is lower than R Square, indicating attempts to correct R Square to achieve a better fit of the model in the population.) Backward elimination left Factor 7 out of the equation and an R Square of .59 (Adjusted R Square = .50). Stepwise entry reached tolerance levels after three factors were entered, leaving Factors 2, 3, and 8 in the equation but yielding a reduced R Square of .46 (Adjusted R Square = .41).

The equation resulting from the backward procedure was chosen as the best fit for the model. This equation, as noted above, includes all the factors except Factor 7 ("Alone at Last"). Using the B's (partial regression coefficients) from this step as the coefficients for the factors yields the equation in Figure 1.
RS = 2.02 - .43 (Voluntarily Retired) + .09 (Home Base) + .16 (Giving Back) -
.16 (Vocational Purpose) + .16 (R & R) - .13 (Occupation and Income) - .17
(Self-Improvement)

Figure 1. Regression equation: Predictors of retirement satisfaction.
Table 9

Regression Analysis of the Predictors of Retirement Satisfaction

Forward Entry

Multiple R .77
R Square .60
Adjusted R Square .49
Standard Error .53

Analysis of Variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.09564</td>
<td>1.63696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.80436</td>
<td>.28401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = 5.76369 Signif F = .0002
Table 9 (continued)

Regression Analysis

Backward Entry

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple R</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Square</td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R Square</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of Variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.84123</td>
<td>1.83446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9.05877</td>
<td>.28309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = 6.48021
Signif F = .0001

Factor

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntarily Retired</td>
<td>-.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Base</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving Back</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Purpose</td>
<td>-.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; R</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation and Income</td>
<td>-.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Improvement</td>
<td>-.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9 (continued)

Regression Analysis

Stepwise Entry

    Multiple R  .67
    R Square     .46
    Adjusted R Square  .41
    Standard Error  .58

Analysis of Variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.96640</td>
<td>3.32213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11.93360</td>
<td>.33149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = 10.02185  Signif F = .0001
CHAPTER IV
DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The sample for this study, a sample of convenience, reveals demography and satisfaction ratings that reflect an affluent, professional group of retirees, just as its responses to the SI reflect retirees who expect to bring satisfaction into their retirements. The results can be generalized to people who have recently retired (within the past two to four years), who are largely male, who were employed full-time in primarily professional and managerial positions, and who perceive they have had "careers." While this restriction of range is a limitation here, it affords us the opportunity to examine our working hypotheses and plan for further research. The data culled from the factor and regression analyses further bear this out.

As stated earlier, the purposes of this study were to examine the effects of perceived importance of the work role (commitment), the extent of participation in various life roles, and the value expectations attributed to life role activities on retirement satisfaction. The working hypotheses, in summary, stated that retired people with higher levels of participation, commitment, and perceived opportunities vis-a-vis "work-like activities" would have higher levels of retirement satisfaction than would retired people with lower levels of such
participation, commitment, and perceived opportunities. The data offer initial support for these hypotheses, within the constraint of the nature of the sample.

The demographic data and retirement satisfaction ratings from the phone survey, revealing as they do a professional/managerial group of retirees who in no way were forced to retire and who do not suffer economically, show us people who engage in work-like activities (40.5% of the sample) in their retirements. They are an optimistic group, most highly satisfied with their interpersonal relationships and their perceived ability to age in place. Along with these aspects of retirement, they feel most satisfied with their levels of independence and autonomy, self-esteem, and how others perceive their retired status. The strong emphasis on home/family and leisure in the Salience Inventory (SI) data supports this as well. The respondents have the vocational history and personal and social support systems that can only benefit them in retirement.

But the data also show substantial participation and commitment to work and community service (a "work-like" activity), particularly when the SI scores for these two life/career roles are aggregated. When they are combined, work/service approaches the high score levels of the home/family and leisure roles. It is "home/family and leisure" that provide the base for satisfaction, but
it is the purposefulness of work-like activities that rounds out the satisfying
nature of the sample's retirements.

The results of the factor analysis amplify this, and the regression
equation provides a good "fit" for the working hypotheses. The regression
equation shows seven factors with varying influence on the level of retirement
satisfaction perceived by the retirees in the sample. These factors are named:

  - Voluntarily Retired
  - Home Base
  - Giving Back
  - Vocational Purpose
  - R & R
  - Occupation and Income
  - Self-Improvement

The seven factors reveal an underlying construct that includes elements
of the desire for self-improvement, "r & r," and a solid home base, along with
the need for vocational purpose and the need to "give back" to the community
(through service). The results support the theoretical constructs (see Chapter 1)
that underlie the working hypotheses and the concept of vocational script
development. These theoretical constructs include the constructivist approach
of proactive adaptation and work psychology's "continuing self," the recreation of self in the context of various life roles.

Working hypothesis #1 is supported in general by the high correlations between Participation (P) and Commitment (C) and Value Expectations (V) scale scores. That is, those retirees who have participated most fully in work-like activities (in the work and community service roles) have the highest levels of expectation that they will continue to express their values within these roles in the future. Specific support for hypothesis #1 is less clear from the results and points out another limitation of the study. Lack of resources precluded the conduct of preliminary qualitative research in the form of focus groups of retirees. Such qualitative investigation could have revealed the patterns of vocational script identification so that items eliciting such information could have been included in the phone questionnaire.

Working hypotheses #2, #3, and #4 are supported by the data. These hypotheses state that retired people who evidence higher levels of Participation (P), Commitment (C), and Value Expectations (V) for work-like activities (in the work and community service roles) will have greater retirement satisfaction (RS) than those who evidence lower levels of P, C, and V for work-like activities. As the regression equation shows, three key factors influencing RS are a need for "vocational purpose," desire for "self-improvement," and desire
to "give back" to the community (through community service). These three factors each reflect high levels of Participation (previous involvement in work-like activities), Commitment (the degree of identification with work and community service roles), and Value Expectations (expected opportunity to engage in work-like activities). Hypothesis 4, specifically, gets significant support from the data. This hypothesis states that retired people who perceive they will have more opportunities to use their skills and knowledge in work-like activities will report higher levels of retirement satisfaction. That is, retirees with higher P scale scores will have higher RS scores. The factor analysis shows this to be the case. The three key factors influencing RS (vocational purpose, self-improvement desire, and giving back through community service) are essential elements of the concept of value expectations.

The results also can offer direction for design of pre-retirement planning and counseling programs. It is useful to group these programs into three specific areas of therapeutic assistance, all of which would use the tools of narrative and reminiscence. The first would entail helping individuals define the connections among their various life events and personality changes. As individuals engage in this effort they would learn how to remember, synthesize, summarize, and value their experience, all skills necessary for optimism and planfulness. Counselors operating in this phase enlist clients' ability to engage
in a "life review." Clients are asked to define (in writing) a series of key events. These would include obvious events associated with relationships (birth, marriage, death) as well as failures and successes within life roles (as daughter or son, parent, student, spouse, partner, etc.) and within functional areas (home, school, play and leisure, and work). Clients are then asked to define levels of importance or value for each event with respect to the degrees of autonomy and agency they experienced at the time. This process engages clients in the activities of delineation, definition, values clarification, and synthesis, all activities essential for the development of self-awareness. At the end of this phase, clients have a retrospective map that shows the contours of these personalities, a kind of genealogy of personality.

The second area encourages people to define their work experiences as a career, learning to identify themes and patterns of preferences and expertise, intrinsic and extrinsic rewards, and behavioral responses. It is at this point that a vocational script can take form and shape, providing the link between vocational and avocational activities in daily life from youth to old age.

At this second level of intervention, counselors bring clients farther along to another dimension of "review." Using the skills of delineation, definition, clarification, and synthesis developed in the first phase, clients evaluate their work experience. For each work experience described earlier
they are asked to define various vocational "features." These features would include patterns of relating to an occupational status hierarchy, valence of specific types of work rewards, typical patterns of response to job seeking and job entry, the usual fabric of work relationships, the areas and types of skill and expertise that were developed, and the touch points for "burnout" and job exit. These features are then summarized by clients, with counselors' assistance, into career definitions. The career definition can be narrated, typed, and transcribed for use in the third area of counseling assistance.

The third counseling area focuses on the definition and enrichment of the future. Here the individual can sharpen skills in applying the vocational script to planning, becoming aware of the role of the script in perseverance, and developing autonomy within the roles and relationships of the later years of employment and the period of retirement.

In this last phase, counselors become good coaches, explaining, modeling, encouraging, and reinforcing development of the career definition into a vocational script. For clients this entails bringing the career of the past into the present and future by inserting a self-narrative into the career definition. In this process the career definition becomes a story of the self persevering, building autonomy and personal agency, feeling productive, and bringing these patterns into the future. Clients ramify their career definitions
into truly vocational views of the self, descriptions of the specific individual patterns developed over the life span through vocational experiences, "call forth" self-definition. These vocational views, or scripts, are again narrated, taped, and transcribed, to be used in both explanatory (looking back at life roles and experiences) and predictive ways (looking forward to changes in work life and to aging and retirement.

Specific applications within these three areas work because they integrate memory and desire, past with present, present with future, and experience with goals.

Future research can develop further the working hypotheses of this study, replicating the study with a larger sample, investigating different socioeconomic and occupational status groups, and dimensionalizing the demographic information more comprehensively. Further work in the area of vocational script development and counseling for retirement satisfaction could focus on the role of voluntarism and community service in the lives of people who retire. It could illuminate the roles of increased community service activity and part-time employment among retirees in their perceived vocational agency. The relationship of the concepts of values expectations, commitment, and participation could be developed further within the context of counseling retirees in the development of vocational agency. And finally, a typology of
retirement satisfaction could be hypothesized and investigated in order to
increase our understanding of the specific elements of such satisfaction and
how it might differ among various socioeconomic and cultural groups.
APPENDIX A: HUMAN SUBJECTS FORMS
Research Involving Human Subjects
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___ DISAPPROVED
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It is the responsibility of the principal investigator to retain a copy of each signed consent form for at least three (3) years beyond the termination of the subject's participation in the proposed activity. Should the principal investigator leave the University, signed consent forms are to be transferred to the Human Subjects Review Committee for the required retention period. This application has been approved for the period of one year. You are reminded that you must promptly report any problems to the Review Committee, and that no procedural changes may be made without prior review and approval. You are also reminded that the identity of the research participants must be kept confidential.

Date: August 12, 1994

HS-025B (Rev. 2/94)
BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
HUMAN SUBJECTS REVIEW COMMITTEE (HSRC)
THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

RESEARCH PROTOCOL:

9480233 SATISFACTION WITH RETIREMENT: VOCATIONAL SCRIPT DEVELOPMENT, Samuel H. Osipow, Joan Simon, Psychology

presented for review by the Behavioral and Social Sciences Review Committee to ensure proper protection of the rights and welfare of the individuals involved with consideration of the methods used to obtain informed consent and the justification of risks in terms of potential benefits to be gained, the Committee action was:

____ APPROVED

X  ____ APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS*

____ NO REVIEW NECESSARY

____ DEFERRED*

____ DISAPPROVED

*CONDITIONS/COMMENTS:

Subjects were deemed NOT AT RISK and the protocol was unanimously APPROVED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITION:

1. Print letters to subjects on OSU letterhead and state the purpose of the study is co-investigator’s dissertation research.

If you agree to the above conditions, PLEASE SIGN THIS FORM IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW AND RETURN WITH ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUESTED TO THE HUMAN SUBJECTS REVIEW DESK, 300 RESEARCH FOUNDATION, 1660 KENNY ROAD, CAMPUS, within one week. Upon such compliance, the approval form will be mailed to you. (In case of a deferred protocol, please submit the requested information at your earliest convenience. The next meeting of the Committee will be two weeks from the meeting date indicated above.)

DATE 8/4/94

Signatures(s)

Principal Investigators

HS-025A (Rev. 2/91)
(CONDITIONS/COMMENTS)
Dear Mail Survey Respondent:

I want to thank you for agreeing to participate in this important survey. The purpose of this survey is to provide the information required for my dissertation research. Your responses to the enclosed questionnaire, along with the responses of many other Upper Arlington retirees, will also assist the City of Upper Arlington in identifying various aspects of life in retirement and in planning effectively for the needs of older adults.

As you will see, the enclosed survey questionnaire asks you to indicate your answers on a separate answer sheet. All directions are included for each section of the questionnaire. It should take you approximately 25 minutes to complete the questionnaire. I would suggest reading all the directions for all sections before you begin answering the questions. This will help familiarize you with how the questionnaire will proceed. Please be assured that strict confidentiality of your responses will be maintained.

When you have completed the questionnaire, it is extremely important that you return the answer sheet in the stamped envelope provided. Using this coded envelope means that we can match your answer sheet responses to your answers to the phone survey and still maintain confidentiality.

If there are parts of the questionnaire for which you need clarification, please call me at 488-2466. If I am not there, I will return your call.

After the mail survey is completed and all participants have sent in their answer sheets, the data will be analyzed. A report summary of the survey will be announced several months later and will be available through the City of Upper Arlington. I will remain available for consultation on results of the study for a period of time after the report summary is available.

Thank you once again for participating in this survey.

Very truly yours,

Joan Simon, Ph.D. Samuel H. Osipow, Ph.D. (Adviser)
RETIREMENT SATISFACTION AND 
DEMOGRAPHIC/LIFESTYLE QUESTIONNAIRE

I SCREEN/TALLY SECTION

RESPONDENT # ___________ TIME START: :__:_ TIME STOP :__:_

INTERVIEWER ID. __ __ KEY ENTRY ID. __ __

______________________________

DO NOT READ RESPONSES UNLESS INSTRUCTED TO DO SO

Hello, my name is ___________. I'm with CJI Research calling in connection with the Upper Arlington Senior Needs Study. May I please speak with any household member who is retired. Would there be such a person in your home? (GET NAME AND CALL BACK TIMES IF NECESSARY.
ASK FOR REFERRAL TO OTHER RETIRED PERSON IF NECESSARY.)

(QUALIFY THE RESPONDENT): Hello Ms./Mr. _________________. Let me explain the purpose of my call. Our firm is assisting Upper Arlington in their assessment of the needs of older people in the community by conducting this survey. This survey is the one that was just announced in the neighborhood paper and is sponsored in part by the Upper Arlington Commission on Aging and the Upper Arlington Senior Association. The results of this survey will help the City of Upper Arlington identify aspects of retirement that are critical in meeting the needs of older citizens. I have one initial survey question. Would you please tell me if you have been retired from active employment in a job outside the home for at least two years?

(IF YES, PROCEED. IF NO, GET REFERRAL TO OTHER RETIRED PERSON IF POSSIBLE.)

Good. Now, we have a brief survey we'd like to conduct with you. It should only take about ten to fifteen minutes of your time and would really be of
value to us. Would you mind participating? (GET CALL BACK TIME IF NECESSARY. NOTE SEX AND PROCEED TO Q2 after tallying sex.)

1. SEX (TALLY)

   1  male
   2  female
   (BALANCE SEX AS APPROPRIATE.)

2. Here's our first question. And be assured that all your responses are completely confidential. All identifying information from this phone survey will be stricken from all records when this survey is completed. Now, can you tell be for how many years you have been retired?

   1  less than 2 years/greater than 4 years  (TALLY, USE TERM SCRIPT, AND SAVE NAME/PHONE FOR REPLACEMENT GROUP)

   (TERM SCRIPT: "Thank you very much. We would like to have your permission to call you back later if we need to ask further questions in our survey. Would that be ok with you? If YES, SAVE. Thank you for your time.")

   2  between 2 and 4 years  (CONTINUE WITH Q3)

   9  ref  (TERM)
II  CAREER HISTORY/PERCEPTIONS

3.  And what was your primary occupation during the years you were employed?

________________________________________ (IF NECESSARY, ASK: "AND JUST EXACTLY WHAT DID YOU DO IN THAT OCCUPATION?" -- RECORD BELOW.)

________________________________________

(CODERS ONLY: CODE ABOVE OCCUPATION ACCORDING TO FOLLOWING LIST:)

01  Armed Services
02  Clerical/Office/Bookkeeping/Secretarial
03  Craftsman/Skilled Trade
04  Farmer/Fisher
05  Laborer/Factory non-skilled
06  Operative/Truck driver/Equipment operator
07  Managerial
08  Professional (Education/Legal/Medical/Technical/Clergy)
09  Proprietor (Owner/President)
10  Sales
11  Service
12  Other Occupations
13  Housewife/Homemaker
14  Retired
15  Student
16  Unemployed

99  ref

4.  Were you usually employed full-time or part-time over the years you worked in this occupation?

    1  Full-time (30 or more hours per week)
    2  Part-time (less than 30 hours per week)
    3  Varied (VOL)
    9  ref
4a. And are you now working part-time, volunteering, or would you say you are completely retired?

1 part-time (4b)
2 volunteering (4b)
3 mixture (VOL) (4b)
9 ref (5)

4b. And is that work you're doing now very similar, somewhat similar, or not similar at all to the work you did prior to officially retiring?

1 very similar
2 somewhat similar
3 not similar at all
8 unsure
9 ref

5. And when you retired, was that a voluntary retirement on your part, or was it involuntary?

1 voluntary (6)
2 involuntary (7a)
9 ref (7b)

6. Did you simply want to retire or was that some type of early retirement offer?

1 wanted to retire (7b)
2 early retirement offer (7b)
3 both (VOL) (7b)
9 ref (7b)

7a. Would you say you would have really liked to stay on in your job if you could have?

1 yes
2 no
3 dk/unsure
9 ref
7b. And would you say you had a "career," or would you say that over the
time you worked you really just had a series of jobs and positions?
1 career
2 jobs/positions
3 little of both/varied (VOL)
8 dk/unsure
9 ref

8. Many people who are retired tell us that they often find themselves
doing things in retirement that are like the work they did when they
were employed. Other people say the activities in their retirements are
very different from when they were employed. What would you say?
(REPEAT IF NECESSARY)
1 is like the work I did
2 activities are very different from work I did
3 a mixture
4 dk/unsure
9 ref

III RETIREMENT SATISFACTION

9. And, overall, on a scale of one to ten, where one is very dissatisfied and
ten is very satisfied, how satisfied would you say you are with your
retirement in general?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref

And now, on the same scale of one to ten, please tell me how satisfied
you are with the following things: (DO NOT READ TITLES)

EXTENT OF POSITIVE SOCIAL CONTACT

10. the amount of contact you have with friends and other people you like
to do things with

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref
QUALITY OF INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS
11. the quality of your relationships with your friends

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref

12. the quality of your relationships with people in your household

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref

QUALITY OF INVOLVEMENT IN COMMUNITY
13. your involvement in community or neighborhood activities

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref

COMMUNITY COHESION
14. how well your community or neighborhood pulls together on issues that affect the daily lives of residents

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref

ATTITUDES OF OTHERS TOWARD RETIREMENT STATUS
15. the attitudes of other people toward you now that you are retired

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref

HEALTH CONCERNS
16. how concerned you are on a day-to-day basis with health insurance issues

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref
17. how concerned you are with your own health or that of someone else in your household

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  98  99
very dis.   very sat.   dk   ref

INDEPENDENCE AND AUTONOMY
18. how independent you feel

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  98  99
very dis.   very sat.   dk   ref

19. how much control you feel you have now over the way in which you live

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  98  99
very dis.   very sat.   dk   ref

PERCEIVED ABILITY TO AGE IN PLACE
20. the extent to which you will be able to stay in the place you live as you age

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  98  99
very dis.   very sat.   dk   ref

SELF-ESTEEM
21. how you feel about yourself and how well you're doing overall

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  98  99
very dis.   very sat.   dk   ref

OPTIMISM
22. how optimistic you feel about enjoying your retirement

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  98  99
very dis.   very sat.   dk   ref

PERCEIVED PERSONAL AGENCY
23. the extent to which you think you are able to control how you live your life in retirement

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 98 99
very dis. very sat. dk ref

IV DEMOGRAPHICS

And now I need to ask you just a few final questions that are for classification purposes only. In no way will your responses be identified with you personally. My first question is . . .

24. What was the last grade in school you had the opportunity to complete? (READ RESPONSES)

1 Up through eighth grade?
2 Through high school graduation?
3 Some college or technical school?
4 College graduation?
5 Graduate or professional school?
9 (DO NOT READ) REFUSED

25. Are you single, married, widowed or divorced?

1 single
2 married
3 widowed
4 divorced
9 ref

26. How many people live in your household?

99=ref
27. In what year were you born?

19____

99=ref

28. And last, for classification purposes only, please stop me when I reach the group in which your household's total annual income falls...(READ RESPONSES)

1  Under $10,000 a year
2  Between $10,000 and $30,000
3  From $30,000 to 50,000
4  From $50,000 to 70,000
5  Above $70,000
9  ref

29. And in what range was your household's total annual income before you retired?
(READ RESPONSES)

1  Under $10,000 a year
2  Between $10,000 and $30,000
3  From $30,000 to 50,000
4  From $50,000 to 70,000
5  Above $70,000
9  ref

(PROCEED WITH RECRUITMENT SCRIPT FOR MAIL SURVEY ON NEXT PAGE):
"I want to thank you for participating in this survey. We also have a follow-up mail survey I would like to send to you. Your answers to the mail survey questionnaire will be very valuable to us in our study of retirement in Upper Arlington. May I send that mail questionnaire to you. Your identity will remain confidential. No return address on the mailed questionnaire will identify you. May I send that to you to fill out. (IF ASKED, IT WILL ONLY TAKE ABOUT HALF AN HOUR OF YOUR TIME) (IF ASKED, THE SURVEY WILL BE CONFIDENTIAL BECAUSE ONLY IDENTIFYING NUMBERS WILL BE USED. ALL ADDRESSES AND PHONE NUMBERS WILL BE DESTROYED.)

(CHECK DISPOSITION BELOW):

________ MAIL SURVEY AGREEMENT ________ MAIL SURVEY SENT
(Y OR N)

________ RESPONDENT NUMBER ________ DATE SENT

INITIALS ________ SENDER'S

FOLLOW-UP CALL TO MAIL SURVEY - DATE: __/__/__
(INTEVIEWER MAKING CALL: ____________________________)
APPENDIX C: CASRO CODE OF STANDARDS

FOR SURVEY RESEARCH
CASRO
Code of Standards for Survey Research

Introduction
This Code of Standards for Survey Research sets forth the agreed-upon codes of ethical conduct for survey research organizations. Acceptance of this Code is mandatory for all CASRO members.

The Code has been organized into sections describing the responsibilities of a survey research organization to respondents, clients and outside contractors and in reporting study results.

This Code is not intended to be, nor should it be, an immutable document. Circumstances may arise that are not covered by this Code or that may call for modification of some aspect of this Code. The Standards Committee and the Board of Directors of CASRO will evaluate these circumstances as they arise, and, if appropriate, revise the Code. The Code, therefore, is a living document that seeks to be responsive to the changing world of survey research.

1. Responsibilities to Respondents

A. Confidentiality

1. Survey research organizations are responsible for protecting from disclosure to third parties—excluding clients and members of the public—the identity of individual respondents as well as respondent- identifiable information, unless the respondent expressly permits such disclosure.

2. This principle of confidentiality is qualified by the following exceptions:

a. The identity of individual respondents and respondent-identifiable information may be disclosed to the client to permit the client to remove the identity of individuals, e.g., the names and other data from the survey. The client may use such data only for the purpose of determining the results of the survey. This provision is intended to permit, but not require, the client to publish or otherwise disclose the results of the survey.

b. The survey research organization has the responsibility for ensuring that subcontractors (interviewers, interviewing services and validation, coding, and tabulation organizations) and consultants are aware of and agree to maintain the confidentiality of respondents or respondent-identifiable information disclosed to them.

c. Before permitting clients or others to have access to complete questionnaires in circumstances other than those described above, respondent names and other respondent-identifiable information should be deleted.

d. Invisible identifiers on mail questionnaires that connect respondent answers to particular respondents should not be used. Visible identification numbers may be used but should be accompanied by an explanation that such identifiers are for control purposes only and that respondent confidentiality will not be compromised.

e. Any survey research organization that receives a client or other entity information that shows or reasonably believes to be confidential respondent-identifiable information should decline to use such information unless the information was disclosed in accordance with the principles and procedures described in this Code.

1. The use of survey results in a legal proceeding does not relieve the survey research organization of its ethical obligation to maintain confidentiality of respondent-identifiable information or lessen the importance of respondent anonymity. Consequently, survey research firms, confronted with a subpoena or other legal process requesting the disclosure of respondent-identifiable information should take all reasonable steps to oppose such requests, including informing the court or other decision-maker of the factors justifying confidentiality and respondent anonymity and interposing all appropriate defenses to the request for disclosure.

B. Privacy and the Avoidance of Harassment

1. Survey research organizations have a responsibility to strike a proper balance between the needs for research in contemporary American life and the privacy of individuals who become the respondents in the research. To achieve this balance:

a. Respondents will be protected from unnecessary and unwanted intrusions and/or any form of personal harassment.

b. The voluntary character of the interviewer/respondent contact should be stated explicitly where the respondent might have reason to believe that cooperation is not voluntary.

2. This principle of privacy includes the following specific applications:

a. The research organization shall make every reasonable effort to ensure that the respondent understands the purpose of the interviewer/respondent contact.

(1) The Interviewer/research company representative must provide prompt and honest identification of his/her company affiliation.

(2) Respondent questions should be answered in a forthright and non-deceptive manner.

b. Deceptive practices and misrepresentation, such as using research as a guise for sales or solicitation purposes, are expressly prohibited.

c. Survey research organizations must respect the right of individuals to refuse to be interviewed or to terminate an interview in progress. Techniques that infringe on these rights should not be employed, but survey research organizations may make reasonable efforts to obtain an interview including: (1) explaining the purpose of the research project; (2) providing a gift or monetary incentive adequate to elicit cooperation; and (3) re-contacting an individual at a different time if the individual is unwilling or unable to participate during the initial contact.

d. Research organizations are responsible for arranging interviewing times that are convenient for respondents.
e. Lengthy interviews are a burden. Research organizations are responsible for weighing the research need against the length of the interview and respondents must not be entrapped into an interview by a misrepresentation of the length of the interview.

f. Research organizations are responsible for developing techniques to minimize the discomfort or apprehension of respondents and interviewers when dealing with sensitive subject matter.

g. Electronic equipment (taping, recording, photographing) and one-way viewing rooms may be used only with the full knowledge of respondents.

II. Responsibilities to Clients

A. Relationships between a survey research organization and clients for whom the surveys are conducted should be of such a nature that they foster confidence and mutual respect. They must be characterized by honesty and confidentiality.

B. The following specific approaches describe in more detail the responsibilities of research organizations in this relationship:

1. A survey research organization must assist its clients in the design of effective and efficient studies that are to be carried out by the research company. If the survey research organization questions whether a study design will provide the information necessary to serve the client's purposes, it must make its reservations known.

2. A research organization must conduct the study in the manner agreed upon. However, if it becomes apparent in the course of the study that changes in the plans should be made, the research organization must make its views known to the client promptly.

3. A research organization has an obligation to allow its clients to verify that work performed meets all contracted specifications and to examine all operations of the research organization that are relevant to the proper execution of the project in the manner set forth. While allowing clients to examine questionnaires or other records, the survey research organization must continue to protect the confidentiality and privacy of survey respondents.

4. When more than one client contributes to the cost of a project specially commissioned with the research organization, each client concerned shall be informed that there are other participants (but not necessarily their identity).

5. Research organizations will hold confidential all information that they obtain about a client's general business operations, and about matters connected with research projects that they conduct for a client.

6. For research findings obtained by the agency that are the property of the client, the research organization may make no public release of revelation of findings without expressed, prior approval from the client.

C. Evidence in any form and in any amount is unacceptable and is a violation of a research organization's fundamental ethical obligations. A research organization and/or its principals, officers and employees should never give gifts to clients in the form of cash. To the extent permitted by applicable laws and regulations, a research organization may provide nominal gifts to clients and may entertain clients, as long as the cost of such entertainment is modest in amount and incidental in nature.

III. Responsibilities in Reporting to Clients and the Public

A. When reports are being prepared for client confidential or public release purposes, it is the obligation of the research organization to ensure that the findings they release are an accurate portrayal of the survey data, and careful checks on the accuracy of all figures are mandatory.

B. A research organization's report to a client or the public should contain, or the research organization should be ready to supply on short notice, the following information about the survey:

1. The name of the organization for which the study was conducted and the name of the organization conducting it.

2. The purpose of the study, including the specific objectives.

3. The dates on or between which the fieldwork was done.

4. A definition of the universe of the survey and the survey is intended to represent and a description of the population frame(s) that was actually sampled.

5. A description of the sample design, including the method of selecting sample elements, method of interview, cluster size, number of callbacks, respondent eligibility or screening criteria, and other pertinent information.

6. A description of results of sample implementation including (a) a total number of sample elements contacted, (b) the number not reached, (c) the number of refusals, (d) the number of terminations, (e) the number of non-elites, (f) the number of completed interviews.

7. The basis for any specific "completion rate" percentages should be fully documented and described.

8. The exact wording of the questions used, including interviewer directions and visual exhibits.

9. A description of any weighting or estimating procedures used.

10. A description of any special scoring, data adjustment or indexing procedures used. Where the research organization uses proprietary techniques, these should be described in general and the research organization should be prepared to provide technical information on demand from qualified and technically competent persons who have agreed to honor the confidentiality of such information.)

11. Estimates of the sampling error and of data shown when appropriate, but when shown they should include reference to other possible sources of error so that a misleading impression of accuracy or precision is not conveyed.

12. Statistical tables clearly labeled and identified as to questions asked, including the number of raw cases forming the base for each cross-tabulation.

13. Copies of interviewer instructions, validation results, code books, and other important working papers.

C. As a minimum, any general public release of survey findings should include the following information:

1. The sponsorship of the study.


3. The sample description and size.

4. The dates of fieldwork.

5. The names of the research agency conducting the study.

6. The exact wording of the questions.

7. Any other information that a layperson would need to make a reasonable assessment of the reported findings.

D. A survey research organization will seek agreements from clients so that citations of survey findings will be presented to the research organization for review and clearance as to accuracy and proper interpretation prior to public release. A research organization will advise clients that if the survey findings publicly disclosed are incorrect, distorted, or incomplete, in the research organization's opinion, the research organization reserves the right to make its own release of any or all survey findings necessary to make clarification.

IV. Responsibility to Outside Contractors and Interviewers

Research organizations will not ask any outside contractor or interviewer to engage in any activity which is not acceptable as defined in other sections of this Code of Standards for Survey Research.

* * *

Council of American Survey Research Organizations, 3 Upper Devon, Belle Terre, Port Jefferson, NY 11777 (516) 928-6954
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